Volume 3

Pages 454 - 629

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT
ANTHONY ADDERLEY, WALTER ROBERTS
III,

Plaintiffs,

VS. ) No. C 07-0943 WHA

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS
ASSOCIATION and NATIONAL FOOTBALL
LEAGUE PLAYERS INCORPORATED d/b/a
PLAYERS INC,

) San Francisco, California

Defendants.

Wednesday October 22, 2008

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS

1001 Page Mill Road

Building 2

Palo Alto, California 94304

BY: RONALD S. KATZ, ESQ.

RYAN S. HILBERT, ESQ.

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS

7 Times Square

New York City, New York 10036

BY: L. PETER PARCHER, ESQ.

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS 11355 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90064

and any cities, carriering

BY: CHAD HUMMEL, ESQ.

(Appearances continued on next page)

Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR, RPR,CRR Official Reporter - U.S. District Court (415) 794-6659 APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

Also for Plaintiffs: MCKOOL SMITH

300 Crescent Court

Suite 1500

Dallas, Texas 75201

BY: LEWIS T. LECLAIR, ESQ.

JILL ADLER NAYLOR, ESQ. ANTHONY GARZA, ESQ. BRETT CHARHON, ESQ.

For Defendants: DEWEY & LEBOEUF

1301 Avenue of the Americas

New York City, New York 10019-6092

BY: JEFFREY L. KESSLER, ESQ.

DAVID GREENSPAN, ESQ. DAVID G. FEHER, ESQ.

ROY TAUB, ESQ.

MOLLY DONOVAN, ESQ. JASON CLARK, ESQ.

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153-0119

BY: BRUCE S. MEYER, ESQ.

Also Present: KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

710 Sansome Street

San Francisco, California 94111-1704

BY: R. JAMES SLAUGHTER, ESQ.

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812

Official Reporter - U.S. District Court

1	PROCEEDINGS'
2	OCTOBER 22, 2008 7:34 A.M.
3	
4	(The following proceedings were held in open court,
5	outside the presence of the jury.)
6	THE COURT: All right. I want you to know on the
7	Friss, I completely misunderstood the procedural context in
8	which this was coming up.
9	I thought this was something you were giving me that
10	the defense was propounding and was going to be read in as a
11	defense witness. And now I understand that the plaintiff is
12	the proponent of this witness.
13	So I'm not going to rule right now because I'm still
14	sorting this out, but just a minute. In the future, when
15	you give me these there was nothing on here that tells me
16	who was the proponent of the witness and whose objections I was
17	ruling on. I completely misunderstood the context.
18	Then I began to suspect that I had misled myself, and
19	I sent out that order yesterday to get this clarified. I now
20	have a better understanding. In fact, you, Mr. Hummel, are the
21	proponent of this witness.
22	MR. HUMMEL: Under the rules, Your Honor, we
23	designated certain testimony from Mr. Friss.
24	THE COURT: You are the proponent at trial.
25	MR. HUMMEL: We would call him as our witness if he

1	were here.
2	THE COURT: Yes, that's right. You are the
3	proponent.
4	MR. HUMMEL: Correct.
5	THE COURT: They are entitled to lead the witness.
6	MR. HUMMEL: No, Your Honor, not if he is an adverse
7	witness, respectfully.
8	THE COURT: He is a third party.
9	MR. HUMMEL: Correct.
10	THE COURT: He is a third party, and you are calling
11	him.
12	MR. HUMMEL: Right.
13	THE COURT: If this guy was called live at trial,
14	Mr Kebble?
15	MR. KESSLER: Kessler.
16	MR. HUMMEL: Kessler, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: would be allowed to lead all day long.
18	MR. HUMMEL: I disagree, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: You're wrong. Now, just sit down. I'm
20	not going to entertain anymore argument.
21	I read the brief on it. I feel like I'm misled. I
22	misled myself. But you are the proponent of the witness. If
23	you call this guy live at trial you're saying he can't lead?
24	MR. HUMMEL: Correct.
25	THE COURT: You're wrong.

1	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor
2	THE COURT: He's a first party.
3	MR. HUMMEL: May I
4	THE COURT: Yes, he went and got a declaration from
5	the guy.
6	MR. HUMMEL: Right.
7	THE COURT: Yes, he may have written it.
8	MR. HUMMEL: Yes.
9	THE COURT: That happens all the time. People go and
10	get statements from third parties all the time so they can
11	impeach them at trial.
12	MR. HUMMEL: Of course. So they could impeach him at
13	trial. What you wouldn't allow him to do at trial is to say:
14	"Mr. Friss, let me read you paragraph 1 of your
15	declaration."
16	THE COURT: You did not object on that ground,
17	unfortunately.
18	MR. HUMMEL: We did.
19	THE COURT: No, you didn't. You objected to it as
20	leading, but that's he can lead. He can lead, but he
21	cannot the proper objection would have been: It assumes
22	facts not in evidence. No one bothered I read that. No one
23	bothered to bring that up.
24	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, it's hearsay, as well. And
25	that objection is correct as to form, because the declaration

1	is an out-of-court statement by the witness.
2	THE COURT: The declaration is not going to come in.
3	MR. HUMMEL: So then why
4	THE COURT: The declaration itself won't come in, but
5	the parts that were read in the question that would have
6	been a proper objection, but it was not made, and you waived
7	it.
8	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, we objected as to form
9	THE COURT: No.
10	MR. HUMMEL: which is
11	THE COURT: No. You objected, comma, leading. I
12	read it. I've got it right here. Do you want to see it in?
13	MR. HUMMEL: No. There are times when it was
14	"Objection, leading," that's correct.
15	THE COURT: You said:
16	"Object to the form, leading."
17	MR. HUMMEL: That's correct.
18	THE COURT: "Objection, leading. Objection, leading.
19	Objection, leading."
20	MR. HUMMEL: And, Your Honor
21	THE COURT: Never: "Objection, assumes facts not in
22	evidence."
23	If you had said that, you would have given the lawyer
24	a chance to fix it. It's a very common mistake for young
25	lawyers who never tried a case to make. I'm not trying

1	to excuse what Mr is Mr. Greenspan here today?
2	MR. GREENSPAN: I'm the
3	THE COURT: Are you the guy who did this?
4	MR. GREENSPAN: The leading question.
5	THE COURT: Probably never tried a case. You should
6	have you should have you would have known not to proceed
7	in this manner.
8	But you didn't put him on notice that he was making a
9	mistake. You said it's leading. Yeah, it was leading. But
10	now that you are the proponent, he can lead.
11	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, we'll preserve this.
12	THE COURT: All right. That point is preserved for
13	appeal.
14	MR. HUMMEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: But there is a different problem. There
16	is a different problem here, and that's why I'm not ready to
17	completely rule on this.
18	Get this. I've already ruled on this. But I've got
19	to sort through it. It's not so easy. He puts it in the
20	present tense.
21	"It is Topps' understanding that" I've already
22	ruled the present tense doesn't matter. That's just an
23	opinion. What matters is the intent back at the time they were
24	doing the deal.
25	All right. So then I write in the margin:

1	"Today's understanding not relevant so I've
2	already ruled that out.
3	Except, then I get to the next page, and then he
4	says:
5	"Well, was this your understanding at the time?"
6	He says:
7	"Yes."
8	So he kind of fixes it up.
9	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor
10	THE COURT: I'm not finished going through this. Not
11	all of this is going to come in, but some of it is going to
12	come in, contrary to what I said the other day because I had
13	totally misunderstood the procedural context.
14	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, and to be clear, we
15	obviously reserve the right. If you rule that they can put in
16	what, in my mind, is obviously leading hearsay testimony, we
17	may not choose to read Friss affirmatively in our case. In
18	which case they would have to.
19	THE COURT: Then it would be a much different
20	problem, wouldn't it?
21	MR. HUMMEL: Correct. That's right.
22	THE COURT: Well, then, I wish you had told me that
23	and saved me a lot of pain and suffering overnight.
24	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, to me it was very clear that
25	that testimony

1	THE COURT: Fair enough. Fair enough. I think
2	listen. These are not easy evidentiary problems. And you had
3	a definite good faith basis to make these objections.
4	MR. HUMMEL: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: There's no doubt about it.
6	MR. HUMMEL: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: But now that I understand the context and
8	that you're the proponent of the witness, which I didn't
9	understand until the thing got submitted last night I'm
10	looking at these different colored things. No one bothered to
11	tell me whose objections they are.
12	Now that I figured it out and went through and did
13	the Rosetta Stone code that you gave me last night, I
14	understand that you're the proponent of the witness.
15	MR. HUMMEL: And we may not be, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: If you decide not to call him
17	MR. HUMMEL: Right.
18	THE COURT: that is a different problem.
19	And you're going to be in trouble, Mr. Greenspan,
20	because of all these leading questions. If you're the
21	proponent then
22	MR. GREENSPAN: No, Your Honor. The deposition
23	itself, which plaintiff subpoenaed, they were the ones that
24	marked the declaration
25	THE COURT: Please, no. I'm telling you,

Mr. Greenspan, depositions are one thing. At trial I've got to 2 treat it as: Who is the proponent of the witness here? Ι 3 don't look to see who took the deposition. It's only in a rare 4 case that might have something to do with it. 5 But the principal thing is if -- I have to just say 6 if this guy was called as a witness live here at trial, would 7 these kind of questions be allowed on cross-examination? Now, if you are the proponent of the witness, there 8 9 is no way I would allow you to lead like this. 10 MR. GREENSPAN: Even then, Your Honor, I will note 11 there are many questions in there that we believe are either not leading or were not objected to for form at the deposition. 12 13 THE COURT: That would be a good point, except the one I'm looking at right now were objected to precisely on that 14 15 ground. MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I think if we end up as a 16 proponent of the witness we will then redesignate those 17 portions we think are consistent with Your Honor's rulings. 18 19 We do think there are portions that will not be subject to what Your Honor is saying, because either they did 2.0 2.1 not make the objection or the questions were not leading. 22 we will go through that if we become the proponents. 23 THE COURT: When Mr. Greenspan took over there are a 24 couple of questions that are not leading. But 80 to 90 percent 25 of them are. It's like he doesn't know how to ask a nonleading

question. He's afraid of the answer or something. 2 So you -- look. I'm not going to fix it up for 3 somebody. I'm not finished with this yet. I will try to get 4 it done by, maybe -- I don't know, sometime today. 5 MR. KESSLER: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: That's going to be the ground rule. 7 I want to go back and emphasize that the understanding today of what any of these -- that's just an 8 9 opinion. What matters is what the -- I already said this. It's the objective test. 10 But because you're applying D.C. law and it does seem 11 to allow some subjective intent, I think the only subjective 12 13 intent that matters is what they thought at the time. And in the contract and any subsequent actions like some other 14 15 contract was entered into because they thought it meant -- the other contract meant X. 16 17 MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor? THE COURT: Okay. We can allow that. But we're not 18 going to allow present-day opinions. And by "present day," I 19 2.0 mean when they ask him at the deposition: 2.1 "What do you think this means?" and they say: "It means X," that's inadmissible. 22 23 All right. 24 MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, I'd like to save the Court 25 some time. If you're inclined to let them lead if we were the

1	proponent, we will not call him in our case. So we will
2	redesignate. I want to save the Court time and not have you do
3	it, then.
4	THE COURT: You are not going to call Warren Friss?
5	MR. HUMMEL: We will not call him in our affirmative
6	case, if that's Your Honor's inclination.
7	THE COURT: That's my inclination for sure.
8	MR. HUMMEL: All right, Your Honor. Then, we will
9	take that back and redesignate. We don't want to waste the
10	Court's time on this.
11	THE COURT: Well, I have got a lot of preliminary
12	notes on there now. I can't give it back to you.
13	MR. HUMMEL: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Unless you really have to have it, and
15	then I'll have to
16	MR. HUMMEL: We don't have to have it, but I'm giving
17	notice to the other side. And Mr. Kessler indicated he would
18	designate him.
19	MR. KESSLER: We would redesignate, Your Honor,
20	obviously.
21	THE COURT: Since this guy is your friend, why don't
22	you just call him as a witness in the case?
23	MR. KESSLER: He's not our friend. He's a third
24	party.
25	THE COURT: You wrote the declaration. Come on. You

could get this guy out here. 2 MR. KESSLER: As Your Honor knows, you can approach a 3 third party. You can get them to agree to submit a 4 declaration. They are a licensee of the company. He's on the 5 East Coast, and I don't know whether we can call him or not. 6 THE COURT: He has a contract with you that he's 7 supposed to help cooperate. MR. KESSLER: I don't believe that kind of provision, 8 9 Your Honor, would require him to come to a litigation. 10 In any event, since they have --It's your problem. Next time you better 11 THE COURT: teach your associates not to ask leading questions if they ever 12 13 want to get it in evidence at trial. MR. KESSLER: We will go through the deposition 14 again, and if we decide to designate it in our case we will not 15 16 designate the ones that are leading. We will designate the 17 other portions. THE COURT: It's been withdrawn from the plaintiff. 18 19 If you want to try your best to get some of that into evidence, 2.0 more power to you. MR. KESSLER: We will look at that, Your Honor. 2.1 22 Thank you, Your Honor. I apologize for MR. HUMMEL: 23 the confusion. 24 THE COURT: Anything you want to bring up this 25 morning?

1 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I think EA is here and 2 filed a motion with the Court. 3 **THE COURT:** I read your motion. Who is this? 4 Slaughter. 5 MR. SLAUGHTER: Yes, Your Honor. James Slaughter 6 from Keker & Van Nest. 7 THE COURT: You have an uphill battle here, but I'll 8 hear what you have to say. 9 MR. SLAUGHTER: Your Honor, we seek only to have very minimal portions of this document redacted and kept 10 11 confidential. EA is a third party to this action. They produced 12 13 documents pursuant to a subpoena. The document was produced on a highly-confidential basis. They were expected to be 14 15 maintained on an attorneys' eyes only basis. And as far as we 16 understand, throughout the course of this litigation they have 17 been maintained on an attorneys' eyes only basis. They have 18 not been publicly disclosed. 19 We learned only yesterday, for the first time, that 2.0 the document might come into evidence unredacted and unsealed. We don't seek to have the entire document sealed, as I 2.1 22 mentioned, Your Honor, but just solely the price terms, which 23 are EA's, you know, most confidential trade secret. 24 what they do with -- this is how they negotiate with their

25

third parties.

1 THE COURT: They are a public company, aren't they? How could the amount of money they get be a trade secret? 2 3 MR. SLAUGHTER: The amount of money that they 4 negotiate with one licensor is going to directly affect how 5 they negotiate with other licensors. This is their business 6 practice. 7 If the other licensors know what EA is willing to pay, that directly affects their business practices, Your 8 9 This is the exact kind of confidential financial information that is normally kept secret. 10 11 And we're not -- as I mentioned, we're not asking the entire document be kept secret, just those portions of the 12 13 document that relate to the pricing information. I understand that the defendants support the request. 14 15 The plaintiffs do not; take the position with respect to the 16 request. And so we would request, Your Honor, that just those 17 portions of paragraph 6 of the Exhibit 80 be redacted relating to the price. 18 19 The Court is now going to rule. THE COURT: 2.0 motion is denied. I'm going to explain why it's denied. 2.1 The courts of the United States belong to the people 22 of the United States. 23 The Ninth Circuit, in a decision called Kamakana vs. 24 City and County of Honolulu -- which you did cite in your 25 memorandum, but you didn't cite it for the proper and most

pertinent proposition -- the Ninth Circuit has said when a case goes to trial and/or on summary judgment, the public -- not these parties -- the public has an additional interest in 4 knowing the operations and the workings of its federal courts.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

And if somebody's case gets thrown out or not thrown out, the public has a right to come in and see why so they can look over the shoulder of the judge and see if the judge or the jury is doing the right thing.

Now, so the Ninth Circuit specifically modified the good cause test that you rely on, and imposed a compelling reasons standard.

Your memorandum doesn't even mention the compelling reasons standard. Doesn't even mention it. It relies on good cause. Yeah, it's true for discovery purposes that would have been enough to keep it under seal.

But for purposes of a trial and the ability of the public to come in here and see how we operate, there is a much higher standard, which you ignored in your memorandum.

And, therefore, your motion is denied. Ignored.

So the Court is sympathetic to the idea that you've got some information there you don't want the competitors to know about but, you know, that happens all the time in cases. It happens all the time.

In order to get to the bottom and the truth and to allow these parties to have their day in court, something has

got to give now and then. 2 And what's got to give in your case is that you 3 happened to do a deal with one of these parties. Your company, 4 I mean, not you. And your company is now stuck with the fact 5 that they are embroiled in somebody else's litigation and all 6 of this is going to be public. 7 Now, I don't see anything so -- I see that it's of some passing interest, how much has been paid in this case by 8 9 your company. But it's not like the recipe of Coca-Cola. MR. SLAUGHTER: It may not -- sorry, Your Honor. 10 I'm making my ruling now. 11 THE COURT: Fair enough, Your Honor. Appreciate 12 MR. SLAUGHTER: 13 it. THE COURT: The ruling has been made. The motion is 14 15 denied. 16 The public, as far as I'm concerned, as soon as this comes into evidence they can come look at it, now that you've 17 drawn so much attention to it. 18 19 Thank you. 2.0 All right. Any more issues you want to bring up? 2.1 MR. KESSLER: Nothing from us, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Do we have our next witness ready to go? 23 MR. KESSLER: Is he in the courtroom? I believe 24 they're calling Mr. Doug Allen. 25 THE COURT: All right. Let's have our jury come in.

1	THE CLERK: We are missing one juror.
2	THE COURT: Everyone have a seat. I guess we'll take
3	a few moments. Come get me. Come get me as soon as they are
4	ready, Dawn.
5	(Proceedings in recess from 7:51 to 8:46 a.m.)
6	THE COURT: Our jurors are all here now.
7	Are we set to go out here? Are we all set out here?
8	Are we ready?
9	MR. KESSLER: Yes, we are, Your Honor.
10	MR. PARCHER: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury.
12	MR. KATZ: Your Honor, if need be, may I move over
13	there again?
14	THE COURT: Of course.
15	MR. KATZ: I'll just wait until they come in.
16	THE COURT: You can do that.
17	(Thereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom.)
18	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat. I know all of you
19	tried very hard to get here on time. If it wasn't for that
20	terrible accident over there, everybody would have been here
21	even earlier than normal. So thank you for that continued
22	attention to your job in this case.
23	You will remember yesterday we had Mr. McNeil as a
24	witness. He is finished now. And the plaintiff is ready to
25	call their next witness. So please proceed.

1	MR. PARCHER: We called Doug Allen to the witness
2	stand, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Doug Allen. Let's bring
4	him forward.
5	Does the camera work?
6	THE CLERK: I think so. I tried to change the
7	setting.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Allen, please raise your right hand
9	and the clerk will swear you in.
10	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
11	THE WITNESS: I do.
12	THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: We're going to try and see if our camera
14	works today. And we need to take your picture for the closing
15	arguments.
16	THE CLERK: Yes, it worked.
17	THE COURT: Good. Thank you.
18	Go ahead, Mr. Parcher.
19	MR. PARCHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	DOUGLAS ALLEN,
21	called as a witness for the Plaintiff herein, having been first
22	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. PARCHER:
25	Q. Good morning, Mr. Allen.

- A. Good morning.
- $2 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . I'm Peter Parcher. We haven't officially met before. I
- 3 | shook your hand a moment ago.
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . I am one of the lawyers for the plaintiffs, and I'm going
- 6 to be questioning you this morning.
- 7  $\mathbf{A}$ . All right.
- 8 Q. Nice to meet you.
- 9 A. Nice to meet you.
- 10 THE COURT: Keep your voice up or lean forward. You
- 11 can adjust that mic. It's important that it catch your voice
- 12 so the jury can hear everything you say.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 14 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 15  $\| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$  So as I understand it, Mr. Allen, you're now an executive
- 16 | with the Screen Actors Guild?
- 17 A. That's correct, I am.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  What is your position?
- 19  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I am the national executive director and the chief
- 20 | negotiator for the Screen Actors Guild.
- 21 | Q. How long have you been with the Guild?
- 22  $| A \cdot A$  little less than two years.
- 23 **Q.** And they're located in Hollywood?
- 24 A. In Los Angeles.
- 25 Q. Forgive me. I'm a New Yorker. Los Angeles?

- A. That's right.
- 2  $||\mathbf{Q}|$  There's a difference, right? Hollywood is just a part of
- 3 | Los Angeles?

- 4 **A.** An important part.
- 5 | O. And as a director of the Screen Actors Guild I would
- 6 | imagine, am I correct, that you have occasion to come in
- 7 | contact with actors and actresses, directors and so forth?
- 8 A. I do on a daily basis, yes.
- 9 Q. On a daily basis. And how about their agents, do you have
- 10 occasion to come into contact with the agents of the actors and
- 11 | actresses, directors and whatnot?
- 12 **A.** On occasion. Less often than my membership, but, yes.
- 13  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  But you have a working familiarity, don't you, with the
- 14 | agencies that represent talent?
- 15 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to
- 16 | relevance, what --
- 17 | THE COURT: Where's the relevance, Mr. Parcher?
- 18 | MR. PARCHER: The relevance is I'm going to ask the
- 19 | witness if he knows the percentage that these talent agencies
- 20 | customarily charge their talent.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained. Not relevant.
- 22 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
- 23 | BY MR. PARCHER:
- 24 | Q. You -- prior to being with the Screen Actors Guild, I
- 25 gather you spent quite a few years with the defendants

- 1 employed, correct?
- $2 \parallel A$ . I was with the NFL Players Association for 25 years.
- 3  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  When you say you were with the Players Association, what
- 4 | was your capacity?
- 5 | A. Well, I had different titles and responsibilities during
- 6 the years. But when I left I was the assistant executive
- 7 | director.
- 8 Q. And you had been there for several years?
- 9 | A. About 20.
- 10 Q. Our relevant period in this case, although there are
- 11 occasions to go earlier and later, but our relevant period is,
- 12 | I think, 2004 to 2007. You certainly were the executive person
- 13 | at that time, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. I had that job title and responsibility during that
- 15 | time. I did.
- 16  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Well, you actually had a second job title during those
- 17 | years, didn't you?
- 18 | A. I did.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And would you tell Judge Alsup and the jury what that
- 20 | title was?
- 21 | A. I was the president of NFL Players Incorporated, which was
- 22 known as Players Inc.
- 23 | Q. Now, at the time -- would it be fair to say that your
- 24 | executive position with the union, the NFLPA, made you the
- 25 | number two man in the organization?

- A. Uhm, I was certainly described that way by some.
- $2 \| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$  Well, the president of the union was a Mr. Upshaw,
- 3 || correct?
- 4 | A. No, no. The -- he was the executive director of the
- 5 | union.
- 6 Q. Oh, I've got it --
- 7 || **A.** The president was always an active player.
- 8 Q. Okay. I guess I'm saying the wrong titles. I'm not doing
- 9 that deliberately.
- 10 A. No problem.
- 11 Q. No, but I just want to tell you I probably should know
- 12 | better, but I don't.
- So -- but for all practical purposes, Mr. Upshaw was
- 14 the number one man on a day-to-day basis with the union,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 **Q.** And you were the number two man?
- 18  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  Uhm, I was certainly described that way by some. I'm not
- 19 | trying to be difficult, but there were other people who had
- 20 responsibilities that were at the same level as mine.
- 21 | Q. Okay. So you're saying you were number two along with
- 22 | others, is that it? There were a bunch of number twos?
- 23 | A. No, I'm thinking of our general counsel who was at the
- 24 | same level I was at.
- 25 Q. But as far as an executive position as distinguished from

- 1 | a legal position, you were the number two, weren't you?
- 2 A. Yes, I was.
- $3 \parallel \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}$  Right. And as the president of Players Inc -- withdrawn.
- 4 Mr. Upshaw was the chairman of Players Inc?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And you were the president?
- $7 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . I was.
- 8  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  So could we say number one and two there, as well?
- 9 A. Certainly.
- 10 Q. All right. Now, I'd ask you to take a look at -- the
- 11 exhibits should all be before you. I hope they are. I hope
- 12 | they're organized properly.
- 13 | I would ask you to take a look at Trial Exhibit 125.
- 14 | I'll tell you what it is. It's the agreement between Players
- 15 | Inc and the NFLPA, dated 9th of May, 1994.
- 16 Have you got it there, sir?
- 17 **A.** I do.
- 18 Q. Would you take a look at -- I think it would be the last
- 19 | page. I just want to make sure that it's -- see the signature
- 20 page?
- 21 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 22 | Q. Okay. I'll get it in evidence first. That's your
- 23 | signature under "National Football League Players Inc?" That's
- 24 | you, Douglas F. Allen?
- 25 **A.** That's correct.

And the signature above, do you recognize that on behalf 2 of the National Football League Players Association by Eugene 3 T. Upshaw, Jr., Executive Director. Do you recognize that 4 signature? 5 Yes, I do. 6 MR. PARCHER: I'll move this trial exhibit into 7 evidence, Your Honor. MR. KESSLER: No objection. 8 9 THE COURT: And the number again? MR. PARCHER: It's trial Exhibit 125. 10 THE COURT: Very well. 11 12 Received. 13 MR. PARCHER: Thank you. (Trial Exhibit 125 was received into evidence.) 14 15 BY MR. PARCHER: I'll turn your attention to page 6 of this exhibit. 16 17 MR. PARCHER: Put that up on the board. 18 (Document displayed.) 19 BY MR. PARCHER: 2.0 It says in paragraph 5 (a) that there will be a royalty in 2.1 the amount of 37 percent of gross licensing revenues. see that there? 22 23 I do, yes. 24 And that was the money that was going to go to the --

monies derived from licenses, that's the percentage of that

- I money that was going to go to the players; is that correct?
- $2 \parallel A$ . To the active players, that's correct.
- 3  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Okay. Now, did -- and none of this money was ever
- 4 | intended, this 37 percent, none of that percentage was ever
- 5 | intended to be paid over to retired players; is that -- excuse
- 6 me. I'm asking you a question. I shouldn't say, Is that what
- 7 | you're saying?
- 8 Is that your position?
- 9 **A.** Could you repeat the question, please?
- 10 Q. Well, you've got 37 percent here. I gather it's
- 11 | indisputable that that's the money that was supposed to go to
- 12 the active ballplayers who were in the union, right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, was any of that 37 percent ever intended, from
- 15 | the first minute or the first second that this document was
- 16 negotiated, was it ever intended to go to the retired players?
- 17 A. No, sir, it wasn't.
- 18 Q. Okay. So there's nothing in this exhibit, the agreement
- 19 | between the players' union and Players Inc, that suggested in
- 20 | any manner, shape or form that the retired players were going
- 21 to get anything for licensing their names and likenesses under
- 22 group licenses, correct?
- 23 | A. I haven't reviewed the document.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  You can review it, sir. This is a document that you
- 25 | signed, correct, sir?

- **A.** I'm sorry. Would you repeat?
- $2 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . This is a document you signed?
- 3 **A.** That's correct.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . This is a document you had a strong hand in developing,
- 5 | along with Mr. Upshaw, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  In fact, the truth is it's you and Mr. Upshaw who decided
- 8 | what would be in this document in the first instance, wasn't
- 9 || it?

- 10 I'm not talking about the legal language, but the
- 11 | substance?
- 12 A. Well, this was done -- this document was prepared in close
- 13 consultation with our tax counsel.
- 14 Q. Leaving aside tax counsel, the terms of this agreement
- 15 | between the Players Association and Players Inc were decided
- 16 upon in the first instance by Gene Upshaw and Doug Allen,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 **A.** They were based on --
- 19 Q. Could you say "yes" or "no"?
- 20  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  Well, it's hard for me to answer that question without an
- 21 | explanation. I'd like to try to do that, if that's all right.
- 22  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  I'll say this to you, sir. If you'll say "yes" or "no" --
- 23 MR. PARCHER: If I may do this, Your Honor.
- 24 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 25  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  If you'll say "yes" or "no" for me, and an explanation is

1	required beyond that, your counsel has already said that he's
2	going to take quite a bit of time in asking you questions. And
3	you can explain it then.
4	THE COURT: No, not that's not the right ground
5	rule.
6	Can you answer the question "yes" or "no"?
7	THE WITNESS: Could you please repeat the question?
8	MR. PARCHER: Would you mind reading it back?
9	THE COURT: Here's the ground rule. This is
10	effectively cross-examination because you are on opposite
11	sides.
12	Mr. Parcher is entitled to get a "yes," or a "no" or
13	an "I don't remember," unless you just can't answer one of
14	those at all without putting in some short explanation.
15	If you've got to have an explanation, I'll let you
16	make one, unless I think you're abusing the privilege of doing
17	that.
18	So let's hear the question, and you tell me if you
19	think you can answer it, "yes", "no" or "I don't recall."
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Do you want to repeat it so the court
22	reporter doesn't have to go back?
23	MR. PARCHER: Oh, sure.
24	Pardon me. You got it?
25	(The question was read by the reporter as follows:)

1	"QUESTION: Leaving aside tax counsel, the
2	terms of this agreement between the Players
3	Association and Players Inc were decided upon
4	in the first instance by Gene Upshaw and Doug
5	Allen, correct?"
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	BY MR. PARCHER:
8	Q. Thank you.
9	So Gene excuse me Gene Upshaw and Doug Allen
10	decided that at least in this agreement there would be no money
11	or no percentage of money set aside for retired football
12	players that signed group licensing authorizations, correct?
13	Yes or no, sir?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Now, I'd ask you to take a look at Trial Exhibit No. 132.
16	That's the if I'm correct, I'm led to believe that's the
17	constitution of the National Football League Players
18	Association.
19	Looks like you've got a blurred copy there because
20	you're holding it horizontally and mine is vertical.
21	A. The first page is horizontal.
22	MR. PARCHER: Could I, Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: Go ahead. Straighten it up, please.
24	BY MR. PARCHER:
25	Q. Maybe I'm on the second page and don't realize it. I will

- point it out to you. If you don't mind, I'm just coming up to show you where I'm at. This is the page I'm going to refer to, okay (indicating)?

  A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would you agree that it was the -- was this the
  Constitution? Do you recognize it? I'll represent to you that
  it's my belief that it is, if we can save some time.
- 8 **A.** Yes.

16

- THE COURT: Look. Don't testify.
- 10 MR. PARCHER: No, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: Your belief doesn't matter. What matters
  12 is what this witness says under oath.
- 13 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Let's do it the right way.

  15 You find the right page and answer the question.
  - MR. PARCHER: Sorry, Your Honor. I was just trying to move it along.
- 18 | THE COURT: I know you are.
- 19 MR. PARCHER: I'm not going to do it again.
- THE COURT: You start going down that path, pretty
  soon you lawyers from both sides will be laying all kinds of,
  quote, beliefs before the jury, and they'll think that's
  evidence.
- 24 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 25 THE WITNESS: It appears to me to be, but I will

- point out that it's dated March, 2007, which is after I left the NFLPA.
- 3 BY MR. PARCHER:
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . Yes, but you were with the union for well over 20 years,
- 5 || correct?
- $6 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . I was.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. Take a look at the page that I asked you to look
- 8 | at. That's the Constitution of your union, isn't it?
- 9 **A.** Are you talking about the second page?
- 10 Q. That's correct.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 MR. PARCHER: I'll move this 132 into evidence, Your
- 13 | Honor.
- 14 MR. KESSLER: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: 132 is received.
- 16 (Trial Exhibit 132 was received into evidence.)
- 17 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 18  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. It says there:
- 19 | "We, the National Football League Players
- 20 | Association, pay homage to our predecessors for their courage,
- 21 | sacrifice and vision."
- 22 Would you agree that the "predecessors" are the
- 23 | retired football players?
- 24  $\|\mathbf{A}.\|$  I would, yes.
- 25 Q. Do you believe -- did you believe at the time you were

- 1 part of the organization, the number two man, that the union
- 2 | had a responsibility to pay homage to their retired players for
- 3 | their courage, sacrifice and vision?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Yes, sir, I did.
- 5 ||Q| Matter of fact, you're a retired player yourself, right?
- $6 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . I am.

11

- 7 **Q.** Still are retired. I'm not trying to take it away from 8 you. You're still a retired player.
  - Then it says, to go on, that:
- "The union pledges to preserve and enhance the

democratic involvement of our members, confirm our willingness

- 12 to do whatever is necessary for the benefit of our members."
- Did you subscribe to that at the time you were part
- 14 of the organization?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir, I do.
- 16 Q. So you believe you have a duty to do whatever is necessary
- 17 | for the benefit of the retired players, correct?
- 18 MR. KESSLER: Objection, Your Honor, to the form of
- 19 | the question, "duty."
- 20 | THE COURT: No, the witness can -- no, that's a
- 21 proper question. Overruled.
- 22 Please answer.
- 23 || THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat it.
- 24 | BY MR. PARCHER:
- 25  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Yes. I'm asking if you believe -- believed at the time

1	you were there that you had a duty to see that the union
2	conducted itself, and you particularly conducted yourself to do
3	whatever was necessary for the betterment of the retired
4	players?
5	THE COURT: Just a second. This case is not a
6	Section 301 labor case, sir. So why are we going into the
7	union constitution?
8	MR. PARCHER: Because the union had a duty, in my
9	respectful opinion, Your Honor, to use its best efforts, as I
10	believe Your Honor has already told the jury. The union had a
11	duty to do what was right for the these players.
12	THE COURT: I never said when did I say that?
13	You're telling me I said that?
14	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: I don't remember. If I said that, it
16	must have been in a different context. This case is about the
17	GLA.
18	MR. PARCHER: No.
19	THE COURT: Only the GLA. All these other documents
20	might have something to do with how that GLA gets constructed.
21	MR. PARCHER: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: But you cannot be trying to win this case
23	based on some theory that the constitution of the union imposed
24	a duty that unless it somehow gets read into the GLA.
25	MR. PARCHER: Yes, I

	II
1	THE COURT: But the
2	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor
3	MR. PARCHER: Excuse me, please. I would like to
4	respond to that.
5	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Parcher. What do you say
6	to that?
7	MR. PARCHER: Well, I'm respectfully disagreeing.
8	Perhaps it's my fault because I'm making it look left when it's
9	center right.
10	There are two prongs to this case. One is breach of
11	contract, and the other is breach of fiduciary obligation.
12	THE COURT: Only as it relates to the GLA.
13	MR. PARCHER: Right. I'm saying there is a duty. It
14	began it began with the constitution in the union. It goes
15	through several other documents that I'm about to introduce
16	that clearly demonstrate that these men who spoke for this
17	union had a duty to do the right thing by these guys.
18	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to instruct the
19	jury.
20	I'm going to let you pursue this line of questioning,
21	but before this case ever started we had a lot of motions. And
22	the Court has already ruled that this case you've got to decide
23	is two issues, and they both are related to the GLA.
24	This case is not about a broad-ranging duty of
25	fairness by the union to its members. That would be a

1	different kind of a lawsuit.
2	This case is about the GLA that the class members
3	signed. And that's what hooks them all together, is this GLA.
4	The Court has previously said there are two issues
5	for you to decide: The meaning of the GLA and whether it was
6	violated by the defendants, and secondly, whether or not the
7	defendants violated any fiduciary duty as it relates to the
8	GLA.
9	MR. PARCHER: Okay.
10	THE COURT: Not as it might have related to the union
11	constitution.
12	MR. PARCHER: I accept that entirely.
13	THE COURT: All right. Well, then, I'm going to let
14	you pursue this line of questions based on the union
15	constitution.
16	MR. PARCHER: Right, right.
17	THE COURT: But at some point you've got to connect
18	it to the GLA.
19	MR. PARCHER: Right. Well, I'm just
20	THE COURT: Fine.
21	MR. PARCHER: I've just begun.
22	THE COURT: All right. You're talking about duties
23	and so forth.
24	MR. PARCHER: I am talking about duties.
25	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, again, just to add an

objection to this line, the evidence will show most of the 2 class members are not members of the union. They didn't join. 3 So I don't see how he can connect this to the constitution. 4 That's part of my objection. 5 THE COURT: I'm going to let him give it a try. 6 But I need to remind the jury, at the end of the day 7 the fiduciary duties that count have to be related to the GLA. Otherwise, it's not in this case. 8 9 So, Mr. Parcher, you can have some flexibility to try to connect up the union constitution to the GLA in some manner. 10 11 I'll give you that flexibility. 12 MR. PARCHER: I appreciate that, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's an important distinction for all 13 to keep in mind. 14 15 Thank you. 16 MR. PARCHER: I've heard you clearly, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 BY MR. PARCHER: 18 19 Is there a question before you? I think --2.0 THE COURT: Please ask a fresh question. BY MR. PARCHER: 2.1 22 I can't ask you the exact question again without having it 23 read back. I'll just rephrase it. Rephrase it. 24 THE COURT: 25 MR. PARCHER: No, I'm saying I'm going to do that.

# BY MR. PARCHER:

- 2  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Do you believe that it was the duty of your union to do
- 3 | whatever was necessary for the benefit -- betterment of retired
- 4 players who were a member of the union?
- $5 | \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes}, I do.$
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 I'll call your attention to Trial Exhibit 5, not yet
- 8 | in evidence. Do you have it there, sir?
- 9  $\|\mathbf{A}$ . Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. Now, that -- if I understand it correctly, this is a
- 11 | replica of a Web site that NFLPlayers.com put out to provide
- 12 | information to the retired players; is that right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And do you recognize this as one -- as one -- as
- 15 | a piece -- as a part of the Web site? You can look through it
- 16 || if you want.
- 17 **A.** Yes, this is -- this is a -- a screen shot from the
- 18 | NFLPlayers.com Web site.
- 19 MR. PARCHER: Move Trial Exhibit 5 be placed in
- 20 evidence.
- 21 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, can we get a foundation for
- 22 | what period of time this was? The Web site obviously changes
- 23 | daily. I don't know what this is being offered for.
- 24 | THE COURT: All right. Please lay a foundation for
- 25 | the time period.

1 Does the witness know the time period? 2 MR. PARCHER: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Tell us what time period the 4 Exhibit No. 5 was operative, roughly. 5 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 6 BY MR. PARCHER: 7 Well, if you -- I'm sorry to say neither do I. It's not on the document itself, is it? 8 9 T --Α. I don't see it, if it is. 10 11 I didn't see it, no. 12 THE COURT: Well, does it look like one that was 13 probably there whenever -- the time period of this lawsuit, which is, what, 2002 to 2005, something like that? 14 15 THE WITNESS: Uhm --BY MR. PARCHER: 16 17 Let me go further. Look at page 2. 18 MR. PARCHER: Do you mind, Your Honor? 19 THE WITNESS: There is a -- there's a date on the 2.0 bottom of the second page. BY MR. PARCHER: 2.1 22 I don't see it. Tell us where it is. Yes. 23 "Copyright 2006, Players Inc." 24 MR. PARCHER: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: That's close enough. What is this

exhibit, number 5? 1 2 MR. PARCHER: Trial Exhibit No. 5, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Received. 4 (Trial Exhibit 5 was received into evidence.) 5 BY MR. PARCHER: 6 Turn to the second page of trial Exhibit No. 5. Turn to 7 the first paragraph of the second page. (Document displayed.) 8 9 MR. PARCHER: Could you move it up so we can see the -- just the top line, too, the head thing. See "What is 10 11 Players Inc?" If you move it up just a little. That's it. 12 Thank you. 13 BY MR. PARCHER: It says that Players Inc -- I'm not reading it verbatim, 14 15 I'm summarizing it. It says that Players Incorporated, officially known as Players Inc, is the for-profit licensing 16 17 and marketing subsidiary of the NFL Players Association. 18 That's correct, isn't it? 19 Yes. Α. 2.0 Formed in 1994. Its message is to take the helmets off the players and market them as personalities as well as 21 22 professional athletes. Is that the mission? 23 Uhm, yes.

24

25

and signed the GLA, would have a right to believe that what Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR, RPR,CRR

So anybody who was retired, that was asked to sign a GLA

- 1 | Players Inc was going to do for them is take the helmets off
- 2 the players and market them as personalities as well as
- 3 professional athletes, correct?
- 4 | A. Well --
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Yes or no, sir?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then it goes on to say:
- 8 Players Inc, which represents more than 1800
- 9 | active players" -- and that number was more or less the same
- 10 | throughout the years, right, a little more, a little less, but
- 11 | a vast majority of the active players, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. And over 3,000 retired players. -- "has been aggressive
- 14 | in its efforts to expand player marketing opportunities,"
- 15 || right?
- 16 **A.** Right.
- 17 Q. So any retired player who was being asked to sign a group
- 18 ||licensing authorization by the union would have a right to
- 19 | believe from this that Players Inc had been aggressive on
- 20 | behalf of retired players to expand their marketing
- 21 | opportunities, right?
- 22 **A.** That's correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. And then, if you'll turn to trial Exhibit No. 5 --
- 24 | excuse me. I'm giving you the same exhibit twice. My mistake.
- 25 Trial Exhibit 23. That's a letter of yours, sir.

1 Do you recognize your signature at the bottom? Yes, I do. 2 Α. 3 0. Is this a letter that you sent out to union members? 4 Uhm, that's correct. 5 MR. PARCHER: I move this entry into evidence, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: The number again? 8 MR. PARCHER: 23. 9 MR. KESSLER: No objection. THE COURT: Received. 10 (Trial Exhibit 23 was received into evidence.) 11 12 MR. PARCHER: Thank you. 13 BY MR. PARCHER: Take a look at the first paragraph, first. 1990 --14 15 "Dear NFLPA member: In 1994, the NFLPA created a 16 separate marketing and licensing subsidiary, Players Inc." 17 That's true, isn't it? 18 Yes, it is. 19 "Since then, Players Inc has marketed NFL players, active 2.0 and retired, in a variety of ways." 2.1 Do you see that, sir? 22 I do. A. 23 In terms of -- withdrawn. 24 Take a look at the next to last -- well it's actually 25 three from the last paragraph, the one that begins "Help us."

Do you see where it says there:

"Help us market retired players and show your support for NFLPA and Players Inc by signing and returning the enclosed GLA today."

So you were soliciting the retireds to sign this group licensing authorization, weren't you?

- A. Yes. We were asking them to sign the GLA.
- 8 Q. Right. And you -- and when I say "you," you collectively,
  9 you, you the union, or you Players Inc, solicited the retired
  10 players to sign GLAs often and in many different ways. That's
- 12 **A.** Yes, it is.

true, isn't it?

1

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. So a retired player who got this letter in the mail, and enclosed in a packet was a group licensing authorization, he would be entitled to believe that you were asking him to sign a group licensing authorization because Players Inc was an organization that had marketed NFL players active and retired in a variety of ways.
- 19 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? I -- I lost the 20 question --
- 21  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  The flow?
- 22 | A. -- while I was looking at the document. I apologize.
- 23 Q. You don't have to apologize. My wife tells me all the 24 time: What did you say?
- 25 What I'm saying is -- what I'm saying is, one: You

- 1 solicited the retired ballplayers to sign the GLA in this 2 letter, right?
- 3  $\|\mathbf{A}\|$  We asked retired players to sign this, in this letter.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . So excuse me. So the answer is "yes"?
- $5 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes} \|$

8

9

15

16

17

23

- 6 Q. Thank you.
  - And beyond that, before you asked them, before you made your pitch for them to fill out the GLAs that you enclosed -- you enclosed the GLA in the letter you sent, right?
- 10 **A.** That's right.

you say since then:

- 11 Q. So before you got to the point where you said "would you please sign, you retired fellows, would you please sign a group licensing authorization," you said to them in the first paragraph, since 1994 Players Inc -- that's not the word but
  - "Players Inc has marketed NFL players, active and retired, in a variety of ways," right?
- 18 | **A.** Right.
- Q. So you're telling these retired fellows in this letter and in other solicitations, both before and after, you're telling them: Sign up, because Players Inc is in the business of marketing retired players, right? And you've done it in a
- 24 | A. Correct.

variety of ways.

25  $\| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$  Now, correct me if I'm wrong. The truth is that with a

very minor exception involving photos of some kind that I don't understand, so I can't even ask the question properly, but with a very minor exception Players Inc has never received a license from any organization, from the beginning of Players Inc until the time that you left, never received a license, according to your side, from any licensee that included a group licensing of retired players, correct?

MR. KESSLER: Objection. No foundation in the evidence for that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Please answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. With the objection I lost the substance of the question.

#### 14 BY MR. PARCHER:

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

- Q. Very simple, sir. You've been with the organization before you left to help out the actors and actresses, you --
- 17 | you -- your career was the union and Players Inc, right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And as part of your responsibilities, number two man in
- 20 | both organizations, you were out there encouraging, soliciting,
- 21 persuading, cajoling the retired players to sign group
- 22 | licensing authorizations, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And in doing so, you were suggesting to them, amongst
- 25 other things -- I'm not saying that's all you were suggesting,

- 1 but amongst other things you were suggesting to them that since
- 2 | 1994, Players Inc marketed retired players in a variety of
- 3 | ways, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Now, I'm saying to you, since 1994 and up to the time that
- 6 you left, isn't it true that never once did Players Inc, the
- 7 | union, or anybody connected with Players Inc or the union, get
- 8 | a license from any third party that including group licensing
- 9 of retired players, according to your position?
- 10 Yes or no?
- 11 **A.** No.
- 12 **Q.** "No," meaning they never did?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- 15 Now, is there a reason, in 2006 -- you -- you weren't
- 16 | intending to deceive any of the retired players when you were
- 17 | encouraging them to sign GLAs, were you?
- 18  $\|$  **A.** Absolutely not.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And, by the way, I've handed you a -- you know, one
- 20 ||letter. But the fact is, from the inception of Players Inc
- 21 | until the time you left, there was constant solicitation of the
- 22 | retired persons, right, to sign GLAs?
- 23 A. We asked retired players to sign the retired players' GLA
- 24 on a regular basis, yes.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And is there a reason that you didn't say to them up to a

- point in time we've never been able -- you sign, but don't -
  don't think you're going to get a share of any money. Never

  mind what the contract says. Don't think you're going to get a

  share of any money because we don't seem to be able to get you

  into the license business?
- 6 Did you have a reason you didn't tell them that?
  - **A.** We did tell them that.
- 8 Q. When did you tell them that?
- 9 A. At the retired players conventions and chapter meetings on 10 a regular basis.
- 11 Q. Yes? Show me one single document. I have one. I don't
  12 want to be disingenuous. I have a Touchback document. That's
- 13 | your newsletter?

18

- 14 A. That's the retired players newsletter of the NFL Players
  15 Association, yes.
- 16 Q. Right, right. I have a Touchback letter which I'm going 17 to get to later.
  - But you're saying on a regular basis you would go to the retired guys and say, "I can't seem to get you a license"?
- A. We would explain to them that we were attempting to

  collect a sufficient number of retired players together who had

  signed the retired players' GLA and offer those players to

  licensees.
- We had not been able to convince the licensees to use the players on that basis. They used retired players in other

- 1 ways on an ad hoc basis, but they weren't interested in
- 2 securing the rights to all of the players, including players
- 3 | like me, who had very short careers or didn't have any real
- 4 | celebrity.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . Mr. Allen, most respectfully, sir, I'm going to ask you to
- 6 respect my process and answer my question. Just answer my
- 7 | question.
- 8 A. I'm sorry, I really was trying to do that.
- 9  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Well, okay.
- 10 THE COURT: All right.
- 11 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 12  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We all have our own impressions of what you were trying to
- 13 | do.
- 14 THE COURT: Be that as it may, ask a fresh question
- 15 | and try to answer "yes", "no," "I don't recall," if it calls
- 16 | for a "yes" "no" or "I don't recall."
- 17 Go ahead.
- 18 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 19 Q. Show me one single document of correspondence, memoranda,
- 20 | minutes of a convention where you sat with retired players and
- 21 | said, "We've been unsuccessful," other than the one document I
- 22 | happen to have in my possession.
- 23  $| A \cdot |$  I personally did that myself.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  So we have to take your word for the fact that every time
- 25 you went to a convention you sat with the retired ballplayers.

Did you sit with one or two? Or did you get them all together, make a speech? What did you do?

A. I mean, in my report to the entire convention, which would be hundreds of retired NFL players, I described the Players Inc programs and made that point to them whenever I was talking to them, that we were trying to collect that group together and we were going -- we were doing so diligently and continuing to try to get the marketplace interested in -- in the collection of a large number of retired players.

But we hadn't succeeded in getting someone to take all of the players. They were taking the players they wanted at the top of the celebrity pyramid and not further down with retired players like me.

- Q. My question to you is: Did you get the fellows together in a group, or did you speak to them one-on-one? How did you convey this information to them?
- 17 A. In my case, I was reporting to the convention from -- as
  18 the president of Players Inc and the assistant executive
  19 director of the NFLPA.
  - Q. So you made a speech to everybody in the room, right?
- || A. I described how it was working and made that point.
- **Q.** Could you answer my question? Did you make a speech to the room?
- **A.** I did.

2.0

25 Q. And the speech would say, amongst other things:

- 1 "We've been trying like heck, but we can't get the job done"? 2 3 Essentially, yes. 4 Essentially. And this was repeated to them over and over 5 and over and over again so that none of them could have any 6 false hope, right? 7 It was explained to them on a regular basis, year in and 8 year out. 9 Then, how it is it -- would you consider yourself, sir, in Q. addition to being an administrator, a super salesman? 10 Not particularly, no. 11 Α. You would not? 12 Q. 13 Α. No. So how do you think -- I don't know what the facts are, 14 but how do you think you were able to -- from 1994 to 2007 you 15 16 never got these fellows one license? 17 MR. KESSLER: Objection, Your Honor, to the form of
- 19 MR. PARCHER: I haven't asked a question yet.
- 20 | THE COURT: What about --

that question.

- 21 MR. KESSLER: It's a completely argumentative
- 22 | question. It wasn't asking for any fact.
- 23 MR. PARCHER: I'm sorry. That is a fact, Judge.
- 24 | It's not so, and I didn't finish my question.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Ask it without things like

- 1 your lead-in, how do you think, "I don't know what the facts 2 are," and so forth. Just ask a normal question.
- 3 MR. PARCHER: I thought I was, Judge.
- 4 THE COURT: Well, you had a preparatory comment. It
- 5 | wasn't a question.
- 6 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
- 7 | THE COURT: Try again.

#### 8 BY MR. PARCHER:

- Q. I'm trying to reframe it in my mind.
- 10 How many times, from 1994 -- I'm not asking you for
- 11 the exact number, just your best approximation. How many times
- 12 did you tell these retired players that you were having no
- 13 | luck; in effect, nobody was interested, from the beginning of
- 14 your job doing it until the time you walked out the door?
- 15 | Would you say hundreds of times?
- 16 **A.** Uhm, no.
- 17 Q. Dozens of times?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Many dozens of times?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Pick a number. I'm not holding you to it.
- 22 A. It would be a guess.
- 23 Q. Well, I'm not asking you to guess.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  Many dozens of times is a reasonable estimate.
- $25 \| \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \|$  40, 50, 60 times?

- **A.** Probably more than that.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Probably more than that, right?
- And, nevertheless, sir, you were successful year in
- 4 | and year out in persuading these players to continue signing
- 5 | GLAs, right?
- 6 A. Uhm, some of them. I mean, it varied from player to
- 7 player.

- 8 Q. But each year you'd keep asking?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. You had this sense of optimism, did you, that because of
- 11 your efforts it was all going to change one day, and they
- 12 | better get on the gravy train?
- 13 A. I don't remember ever using the phrase "gravy train."
- 14 I was certainly optimistic and energetic in trying to
- 15 provide an opportunity for the GLAs to be marketed as a group.
- 16 **Q.** Okay.
- 17 **A.** As an entire group as opposed to ad hoc.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  I'm questioning you about your optimism, sir. Year one,
- 19 | 1994, you're unsuccessful, right?
- 20 **A.** Uhm, yes.
- 21 | Q. You're optimistic, right?
- 22 Year two, you're unsuccessful, right?
- 23 **A.** Right.
- 24 **Q.** You're optimistic, right?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I don't want to make this a tortuous exercise, sir. So
- 2 | we'll just say years three, four, five, six, seven, eight,
- 3 | nine, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and how many it takes to go from 1994
- 4 | to the time you left, each and every year you were
- 5 unsuccessful, and each and every year you were optimistic. Is
- 6 that what your testimony is to this court and jury?
- $7 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes} \cdot$
- 8 Q. Yes or no?
- 9  $\|\mathbf{A}$ . Yes.
- 10 | **Q.** Yes.
- 11 And for that reason it didn't bother you at all to
- 12 continue soliciting these retired players to sign GLAs, is that
- 13 | your testimony, yes, or not?
- 14 **A.** I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And for that reason, because you had this sense of
- 16 optimism, despite all these years of lack of success, it didn't
- 17 | bother you at all to continue to ask these fellows to sign
- 18 | GLAs.
- 19 | A. We were --
- 20 | Q. Could you just say "yes" or "no"?
- 21 **A.** I'm sorry. Repeat the question.
- 22 | Q. Yes, sir.
- 23 MR. PARCHER: Your Honor doesn't want me to ask the
- 24 | reporter?
- 25 THE COURT: If the reporter can find it quickly, go

ahead. 1 2 Go ahead, Katherine. 3 (The question was read by the reporter as follows:) 4 "QUESTION: And for that reason, because you 5 had this sense of optimism, despite all these 6 years of lack of success, it didn't bother 7 you at all to continue to ask these fellows to sign GLAs?" 8 9 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. PARCHER: 10 11 "No," meaning you're agreeing it didn't bother you? Q. 12 That's correct. 13 All right. Now, isn't it a fact, sir, that there was a Q. significant benefit -- withdrawn. 14 15 Players Inc is a for-profit company, right? That's correct. 16 17 And it was formed or caused to be formed by you and 18 Mr. Upshaw to be the licensing and marketing arm for the union, 19 right? 2.0 It was -- it was formed by the NFL Players Association 21 Board of Players Reps, or by an act of them, but, yes. 22 Well, wait a minute. Wait a minute. I understand the 23 distinction, but for all practical purposes this was Upshaw and 24 Allen's brainchild, wasn't it?

25

Α.

There were many people involved in that. It wasn't just

- 1 Gene Upshaw and me.
- 2 Q. No, but you're not disclaiming --
- $3 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Not at all.
- $4 \| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \mathbf{q}_{\bullet} \|$  a significant role in this process, are you?
- 5 **A.** No, no, no.
- 6 Q. And you're not disclaiming on Mr. Upshaw's behalf a
- 7 | significant role in this process, are you?
- $8 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Not at all.
- 9  $\mathbb{Q}$ . You two fellows were the straw that stirred the drink,
- 10 || right?
- 11 | A. We were certainly among the straws. There were many
- 12 people involved in the formation and implementation of Players
- 13 | Inc.
- 14 Q. You were just a worker amongst workers, right?
- 15  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  No, I wasn't suggesting that. I just didn't want to take
- 16 all of the credit.
- 17 Q. Yeah. Well, okay.
- 18 There was a significant benefit to Players Inc and
- 19 the union in getting a large number of retired players to sign
- 20 | GLAs, wasn't there?
- 21 ||**A.** There was a hope that it would provide a productive
- 22 | result, certainly.
- 23 Q. I'm not asking you that, sir. Perhaps it's my question.
- 24 | Perhaps it's my question. Let me try to rephrase it.
- 25 There was a significant benefit -- leave aside the

- 1 players. So far you would agree the players that signed the
- 2 GLAs haven't gotten any money as a result directly from revenue
- 3 | from a licensee, right?
- 4 **A.** There are players that have --
- 5 Q. No, from group licenses?
- 6 **A.** I'm trying to answer the question. There were players who
- 7 | received money from licensees who also signed GLAs.
- 8 Q. Now, going back to -- I'm going to question you about ad
- 9 hocs. I promise you before you go back to Los Angeles to
- 10 | resume your duties, I'm going to question you about ad hocs.
- 11 Right now I'm not questioning you about ad hocs.
- 12 **A.** Okay.
- 13 Q. Just so the jury understands, when you say "players who
- 14 | happen to sign group licenses who also receive individual
- 15 | money, when they receive that individual money you call them
- 16 | "ad hocs," right?
- 17 **A.** That's correct.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And when they receive that individual money, you didn't
- 19 commission 30 percent of it, did you?
- 20 **A.** No.
- 21 | Q. Matter of fact, you took maybe a 1 percent administration
- 22 | fee or 1.5 percent administration fee, correct?
- 23 **A.** It was something in that neighborhood.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And that money, the ad hoc money, the monies received by
- 25 | individuals because some licensee wanted their individual

- 1 | license, that wasn't shared with anybody, was it?
- 2 A. Sorry. Say that again.
- 3  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  The money that was received by an individual ad hoc.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Right.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . As a result of licensing his name or likenesses to a
- 6 | licensee was not shared with anybody, was it?
- 7 **A.** No.
- 8  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  It didn't go to the union and the union kept 63 percent or
- 9 the union and Players Inc kept 63 percent, correct?
- 10 **A.** Yes. It all went to the players involved.
- 11 Q. 37 percent didn't go to the active players?
- 12 **A.** No.
- 13 Q. Right? But nothing, of course, went to the retireds,
- 14 || right?
- 15 | A. If they were retired players receiving the money, they got
- 16 | the money.
- 17  $\mathbb{Q}$ . I'm talking about the groups.
- 18 || THE COURT: Well, just a second. Mr. Parcher --
- 19 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
- 20 THE COURT: Sometimes I think you just don't say -- I
- 21 | mean, you say it without referring to the groups or whatever.
- 22 MR. PARCHER: Yeah.
- 23 THE COURT: And then, the witnesses --
- 24 MR. PARCHER: Get confused.
- 25 THE COURT: No. The witness is answering the

question as you yourself have phrased it, and then you blame 2 him --3 MR. PARCHER: Right. 4 THE COURT: -- because you didn't put the word 5 "group" in there. 6 MR. PARCHER: Okay. 7 THE COURT: So when he's answering a question, don't interrupt him. Let him finish his answer. 8 9 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. Your Honor, I also have an objection to 10 MR. KESSLER: Mr. Parcher throwing in the word "groups" as opposed to 11 referring to the retired player group licensing authorization 12 13 or the ad hocs, because the ad hocs could also be groups. it's creating a very confusing record on that issue. 14 15 I think he should either have the retired player 16 group licensing authorization or the ad hocs, but not use the 17 word "groups." It's not clear what he's referring to. 18 THE COURT: Just -- I am not going to rule on that 19 point until I hear the particular questions. But conceivably there are circumstances where it would matter whether it was an 2.0 2.1 ad hoc group versus a GLA group. 22 In other words, a group under the GLA versus a group 23 under the ad hoc, possibly. I don't know. I guess that's the 24 distinction counsel is making. 25 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Conceivably, that can make a difference, and be aware of that possibility.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

### BY MR. PARCHER:

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

- Q. I'll start afresh, sir, and certainly accept the Court's admonition that probably I think I'm asking you question A, and I'm phrasing it so it sounds like question Z. And then, I'm saying: "Why don't you answer question A?"
  - If I'm doing that I can only tell you I'm not doing it on purpose. Doesn't mean I'm not doing it. I'm not doing it on purpose. I want your honest answers, and I assure you my questions are all in good faith.
- 13 **A.** Thank you.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- When an individual athlete, retired football player, receives an ad hoc license, none of that money is shared with Players Inc, correct?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  None of that money is shared with the union, correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. None of that money is shared with the retired players who
- 22 signed group licensing authorizations, correct?
- 23 || **A.** That's right.
- 24 Q. And that wouldn't matter whether the ad hoc was an active 25 player or a retired player. It wouldn't be part of the group

- licensing arrangements, right?
- 2 **A.** Well, it would -- essentially, that's correct, yes.
- $3 \| \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Right}$ . And, quite often, the retired players who are
- 4 | fortunate enough to be ad hocs have their own agents, don't
- 5 | they?
- 6 A. Some do. Some don't.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . In which case they don't have to pay an agent commission,
- 8 do they?
- 9 A. I -- it depends on what their arrangement with the agent
- 10 || is.
- 11 Q. If they don't have an agent.
- 12 **A.** That's right. They wouldn't be paying commissions to an
- 13 | agent.
- 14  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And as a key executive of the Screen Actors Guild, it
- 15 | wouldn't surprise you if they did have an agent they paid a
- 16 | commission?
- 17 | A. I miss the connection with the Screen Actors Guild.
- 18 Q. Well, you have a real familiarity with talent as a result
- 19 of your position in the Screen Actors Guild.
- 20 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I have my objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 Look. We're not going to get into Hollywood and
- 23 | Screen Actors Guild.
- 24 MR. PARCHER: Okay. Well, could I --
- 25 THE COURT: We've got enough on our plate to deal

with the football. 2 MR. PARCHER: I'm almost positive Your Honor --3 THE COURT: Let's stick with football. 4 MR. PARCHER: I'm almost positive Your Honor is going 5 to say "no," but could I have a sidebar for a minute? 6 THE COURT: Not now, no. This witness will be on the 7 stand for a while. MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. 8 9 THE COURT: Whenever the jury takes their break we will take it up then. 10 MR. PARCHER: Certainly isn't significant that I do 11 12 it now. 13 Thank you. I appreciate that. BY MR. PARCHER: 14 I'd like -- isn't it true that there is a significant 15 benefit to the union and to Players Inc, in having retired --16 17 withdrawn. Isn't it true that there's a significant benefit to 18 19 the union and Players Inc in having a large number of retired 2.0 players sign group licensing authorizations? Yes or no? 2.1 I can't answer that "yes" or "no." I don't believe so. Ι 22 need to be able to give you a short explanation. 23 MR. PARCHER: Before you do, Your Honor obviously is 24 going to rule. 25 THE COURT: Go ahead. Give your explanation.

- THE WITNESS: It was certainly our hope that that would be the case. We were not able to accomplish that.
- 3 | Although, we were -- were persistent and diligent in trying to.

### 4 BY MR. PARCHER:

- 5 Q. Wait a minute. I'm talking about a benefit to the union
- 6 and Players Inc. I am not talking about or asking you about
- 7 | benefit to group -- to retired players who signed a group
- 8 | licensing authorization.
- 9 Isn't it true that the more players retired that you
- 10 | signed, the greater critical mass that you were able to have
- 11 when you went out to speak to potential licensees?
- 12 **A.** If they were the right players.
- 13 | Q. Wait a minute. You continued year in and year out to tell
- 14 the retired players it was important that they sign GLAs,
- 15 || right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. That the union needed them to sign GLAs, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Did you ever say -- did you ever say that the reason it
- 20 | was important was so that you could have a critical mass?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And that's the truth, isn't it?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Now, let's talk about what we mean by -- "we" meaning you,
- 25 | right? And, also, your expert -- if you know this. I don't

- 1 know. You might have been a long way at sea [sic] by that 2 time.
- THE COURT: He is not with the company anymore.
- 4 MR. PARCHER: Well, he may know this, though.
- 5 | THE COURT: I think talking about experts is
- 6 | premature. Stick with what he knows.
- 7 MR. PARCHER: He may know they had an expert, Your
- 8 | Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. You can lay a foundation and
- 10 | see if he does.
- 11 MR. PARCHER: Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 13 Q. You know that the defendants hired an expert in this case,
- 14 | don't you?
- 15 A. No, I don't. I have no knowledge of that.
- 16 Q. Then I can't ask you a question. Should have listened to
- 17 | the Court.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 20 **Q.** Do you know a Roger Noll?
- 21 | A. I know who Roger Noll is.
- 22 | Q. What do you know about him?
- 23  $\|$ **A.** He's an economist.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Do you know whether or not he expressed any opinion about
- 25 the benefit of the union signing up large numbers of retired

- 1 ||players?
- 2 A. I have no idea.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If he did, would you respect his opinion? Is he a man
- 4 | whose opinion you respect?
- 5 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object. He has no
- 6 | foundation at all. He doesn't know anything about this
- 7 subject.
- 8 MR. PARCHER: Well, how do we know that?
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. We're not going
- 10 || to --
- 11 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 12 Q. Have you ever hired Roger Noll?
- 13 **A.** Have I? No.
- 14  $\mathbb{Q}$ . "You," being the union.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I think the answer to that question is yes, in a
- 16 | litigation in the, uhm, the -- that occurred in the late '80s
- 17 and early '90s.
- 18  $\|Q_{\bullet}\|$  So when you were with the union you hired him as an
- 19 | expert.
- 20 | **A.** I didn't.
- 21 Q. Well, who did?
- 22 | A. I -- well, I wasn't involved in that at all. That was
- 23 | done by, uhm, our general counsel and litigation counsel.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Well, do you respect the views of -- do you think your
- 25 | litigation counsel was hiring somebody who didn't know what he

was doing?

- **A.** I didn't participate in that.
- Q. I'm asking you for your thought process. You're the number two man in the company. You have a general counsel, right?
- THE COURT: This is argumentative. You're asking
  him -- this is just an argument, Mr. Parcher. The Court is
  going to sustain its own objection.
- 9 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
- 10 THE COURT: Move to something more directly relevant 11 to the GLA.
- 12 MR. PARCHER: I'll respectfully -- well, yes, sir.
- 13 Yes, sir.
- 14 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 15 Q. So let's talk about a critical mass. At one time didn't
- 16 you say that one of the good things about having all the -- you
- 17 | know, so many people sign up was that the Players Inc, the
- 18 | NFLPA would become one-stop shopping?
- 19 **A.** That was certainly our hope.
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Well, to a large degree you became one-stop shopping,
- 21 | didn't you?
- 22 | A. In what respect? I'm not sure I understand --
- 23 Q. In being able to go over to licensees like Electronic Arts
- 24 | and tell them, in effect, you're the only game in town. You
- 25 got loads and loads of ballplayers. And if they want to

license ballplayers, they ought to come to you. That respect. Well, we certainly hoped that we would have -- with 2 3 respect -- you're asking me about retired players? I'm not 4 clear. 5 Well, it's retireds and active. But retired players were 6 a big part of your critical mass, right? 7 THE COURT: Look. This is a fair question. And you should give an answer to this. 8 9 Counsel is asking this: Wasn't there a benefit to Players Inc to be able to hold yourself out to people like 10 11 Electronic Arts, that you had a large number of people signed up for licenses to use their name and images? 12 13 THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 There's your answer. Now, move on. 16 MR. PARCHER: I need that question and answer read 17 back, please, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Maybe I didn't understand what you 19 were getting at. 2.0 MR. PARCHER: Maybe you did. 2.1 THE COURT: Read it back. This is a relevant point, 22 and we're going to make sure that the questions and the answers 23 are fairly put and fairly answered. 24 Go ahead. Read it back. 25 (The reporter read as follows:)

"THE COURT: Counsel is asking this: Wasn't there a benefit to Players Inc to be able to hold yourself out to people like Electronic Arts that you had a large number of people signed up for licenses to use their name and images?

"THE WITNESS: No."

# BY MR. PARCHER:

- Q. Are you telling this court and this jury that it wasn't important to be able to announce to prospective licensees that you had many, many ballplayers signed -- signed up with you?
- 12 A. They knew who we had, and they knew who we didn't have.
- 13 And the problem was we didn't have the ones they -- in every
- 14 instance the ones they wanted. We had the ones they didn't
- 15 | want.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 16 Q. I don't know what you're talking about. Are you talking 17 about ad hocs? What are you talking about?
- 18 A. I'm talking about the group of players who signed the 19 GLAs.
- 20 Q. That's not what Judge Alsup -- forgive me if I misstate
  21 what I think the Court asked.
- 22 That's not what Judge Alsup asked you. Judge Alsup 23 asked you, isn't it true, isn't it true that with -- using EA 24 as an example -- doesn't have to be EA.
  - That with respect to prospective licensees it was

1 important to you fellows to be able to say you had a lot of 2 ballplayers to offer them if they wanted a license. 3 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, objection. Could we get 4 retired or active combinations? 5 MR. PARCHER: It doesn't matter to me, and I don't 6 have to do that. 7 THE COURT: All right. You can answer the question as phrased, but if it makes a difference in terms of retired 8 9 versus active you can give that by way of explanation. Please give an answer. 10 THE WITNESS: It does make a difference if we could 11 hold out to a company like EA that we had essentially every 12 13 active player. We could not make that representation about 14 retired players. 15 We had -- some of them signed the GLAs. We had some of them that we could convince to be involved in designated 16 17 programs, and we had some that we couldn't. 18 So it was not -- EA knew what the -- what the lay of the land was and what our ability to deliver them was. 19 2.0 So it was -- we were interested in working with them 21 to get the retired players that they wanted, but we were not 22 able to say to them, essentially, that we have the same 23 capacity with retired players that we did with active players. BY MR. PARCHER: 24

25

Q.

So it was no benefit to you whatsoever to be able to say

- 1 | you've got over 2,000 men that have retired that have signed
- 2 GLAs, as well as 1800 active players; is that what you're
- 3 | telling this court?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . They were --
- $5 \mid \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}$  Yes or no? Yes or no? I'm entitled to that, sir.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let him say "yes" or
- 7 | "no," but you can add an explanation. So do your best.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Uhm, it was a benefit with respect to
- 9 active players. It was -- it was not a benefit with respect to
- 10 retired players, because we didn't have everybody that they
- 11 wanted. And we had some that they didn't want.
- 12 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 13 **Q.** You -- you are the optimist, right?
- 14 A. Yes, I am, actually.
- 15  $\| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$  Yes. And you're the guy that believes that despite the
- 16 | fact of years and years of failure people are going to want
- 17 || retired players, right? That's why you keep asking them to
- 18 | sign GLAs, right? Yes or no?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Right. And so being an optimist you still say it's that
- 21 | important to be able to tell the prospective licensee that
- 22 | you've got lots of them? It's not a part of your pitch at all?
- 23 | You don't even mention them? Yes or no?
- 24 | A. We mentioned our access.
- 25 **Q.** Your what?

- A. Our access.
- 2 Q. Right. And that would include over 2,000 retired players,
- 3 ||right?

9

- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . To the extent they -- the players they wanted had signed
- 5 | those GLAs.
- 6 Q. No --
- 7 A. Excuse me. I'm not finished.

To the extent that those players they wanted had signed the group licensing authorization forms.

- 10 Q. Is there such a thing -- withdrawn.
- 11 Did you ever make this statement --
- MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, could I have an objection
  to counsel's behavior? He's making facial gestures and
  movements in response to the witness's testimony. I don't
- 15 | think it's appropriate.
- MR. PARCHER: If Your Honor pleases, I need to
  respond to that before Your Honor rules. May I? It's only
  la fair.
- 19 Thank you. I appreciate that.
- I watched Counsel open to the jury in a

  supplicant-kind-of-way explaining himself to them with certain

  types of gestures and mannerisms. I sit there and watch it and

  I say not a word.
- I talk a certain way. I don't mean it
  disrespectfully. And for him to do it, for him to do that is

1	very inappropriate.
2	Some people sit straight ahead stone faced. Some
3	people do what he does, and some people do what I do. That's
4	called "America."
5	I've got a license to practice law. I'm doing my
6	duty here like everybody else in this courtroom.
7	Sorry, I have said more than
8	THE COURT: Wait. Look, the only thing your
9	gestures so far are okay.
10	MR. PARCHER: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: But your you make speeches ahead of
12	your questions. That's not proper. And I urge you to stick to
13	questions and not not make comments.
14	MR. PARCHER: I'm doing the best
15	THE COURT: It's not your job to make commentary as
16	we go along.
17	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Do your best to stick to asking
19	questions. I don't think your facial expressions have gotten
20	out-of-hand. But even if they have, it's not going to mislead
21	the jury.
22	MR. PARCHER: My wife and Aunt Frances would both
23	appreciate that comment, Your Honor.
24	I'll order that portion of the transcript and send it
25	home this evening.

THE COURT: Very well. Go ahead.

MR. PARCHER: Thank you.

#### BY MR. PARCHER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. Did you ever make this statement, sir:

players involved"?

"It had been our experience in the past that the confusion made it more difficult for competitors to secure a reliable critical mass of players, and in our view was better for the marketplace. It was procompetitive to be a reliable provider of a critical mass of those players because there were very few places that could be accomplished efficiently, reliably and the benefit of the

- 15 **A.** I believe so, yes.
- 16  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And was that true?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you have such a thing -- I may not have the right
  19 title, and I want to give it to you. I'll tell you the trial
- 20 exhibit. That will help. It's Trial Exhibit No. 12.
- 21 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 22  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  This is a -- you got it there?
- 23  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I think so.
- 24 Q. Take your time.
- 25 | A. Yes.

Q. At the top it would appear to be a memorandum that was sent out to National Football League Players Association

contract advisors attending a 2006 seminar, correct?

 $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Yes.

3

- 5 Q. Now, do you recognize that document? This is one of the 6 things you sent out, right?
- $7 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes} \cdot$ 
  - MR. PARCHER: Move its admission, Your Honor.
- 9 MR. KESSLER: No objection.
- 10 MR. PARCHER: This is trial Exhibit 12.
- 11 THE COURT: Received.
- 12 | (Trial Exhibit 12 was admitted into evidence.)
- 13 MR. PARCHER: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 15 **Q.** Take a look at page 6. Well, first of all, who were the contract advisors? Tell the Court and the jury that.
- Who were you writing this to? Who are you talking to here?
- 19 A. The NFLPA contract advisors were agents that represented
- 20 the players and were registered with the union in their
- 21 negotiations with NFL teams for their player contracts.
- 22 Q. So you're talking to the agents now, the guys that you're
- 23 hoping will help you persuade licensees to want a license from
- 24 | you fellows, right?
- 25  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I didn't understand that question. I'm sorry.

- Q. You're talking in this document, Trial Exhibit 12, you're referencing men and women whom you're hoping are in one way or another going to be helpful to you in getting third parties to want to ask for licenses from Players Inc and the union?
  - **A.** Well, the -- the purpose -- if I could explain.
- Q. I don't want an explanation. You can tell one of the tensions we're having is I want an answer to my question, if you can give it.
  - Judge Alsup has told you if you can't apparently the Court is willing to give you some latitude.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. That question, though, I
  12 didn't understand the question.
  - So the fourth thing you can say is you don't understand the question, or "please rephrase it" or something, if it's true that you really can't understand it.
- 16 I don't understand that question.

## 17 BY MR. PARCHER:

5

9

10

13

14

15

- **Q.** Do you understand my question?
- 19 A. I don't understand the question. If you would repeat it,
- 20 | it would help.
- 21 Q. Yes. You sent Trial Exhibit 12 to a group of contract
- 22 | advisors attending the 2006 seminar, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And these group of contract advisors, for the most part,
- 25 were players' agents?

A. Yes.

1

8

9

14

15

16

- 2 **Q.** And you were addressing them for what purpose?
- 3 A. To explain the NFL sponsorship agreement and its effect on 4 club sponsorships and agent obligations.
- Q. One of the things you said here on page 6 of this exhibit,

  if you'll take a look at it, starts with the word -- it's

  paragraph Roman numeral II, "Value."
  - MR. PARCHER: If we could get it up on the board there.

10 | (Document displayed.)

- 11 Q. "The agreement between the NFL and Players Inc is a valuable asset for sponsors interested in utilizing NFL players."
  - Now, weren't you, in effect, selling them on a thought that what you offered there was something valuable that they ought to be listening to?

17 Yes or no, sir?

- 18 A. I'm sorry, I was -- I was familiarizing myself with the document, and I apologize for that, because I wanted to make 20 sure I was refreshing my recollection about what it says.
- Q. If you don't -- if you don't mind, obviously, if you want to read the document I'm sure that the Court would say take your time and read the whole document.
- But I'm not questioning you about the whole document.

  25 I'm questioning you right now about paragraph 2 on page 6 of

- 1  $\parallel$ this Trial Exhibit 12.
- 2 Do you see it? I could point it out to you if you're
- 3 || not clear?
- 4 MR. PARCHER: May I, Your Honor?
- 5 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 6 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Here. This is what I'm reading to you.
- 8 **A.** Okay.
- 9 **Q.** Got it?
- 10  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I was looking up here. This was part of my confusion.
- 11 "Value" is here as well as there.
- 12 | Q. I'm not --
- 13 **A.** Thank you.
- 14 Q. I'm an adversary, so I don't want to say I'm trying to be
- 15 | helpful, but I am trying to be helpful to a certain extent,
- 16 anyway.
- 17 Okay. You're with me now, right?
- 18  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I see what part of the document you're talking about.
- 19 | Could you repeat the question?
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Sure. I'll go again.
- 21 | In this paragraph Roman numeral II on page 6 of Trial
- 22 Exhibit 12, it starts with the heading or the word:
- 23 Value. The agreement between the NFL and
- 24 | Players Inc is a valuable asset for sponsors interested in
- 25 | utilizing NFL players."

Do you see that?

Yes, I do. 2 Α.

1

6

10

15

16

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- 3 And now I'm asking you -- I don't know how I phrased it 4 before, but the substance of my question to you is: By saying
- 5 that to them you're, in effect, pitching them. You're, in

7 "We got something of value. We've got something of value here by the fact that we've got, you know, players 8 9 that are signed to us."

Right?

effect, telling them:

- 11 Pitching whom? Α.
- 12 These men and women that are attending the seminar.
- 13 If you'll -- if I could explain, if you'll note at the top 14 of the page it says:
- "Agreed upon language which both the NFL and Players Inc used to explain the sponsorship agreement to NFL 17 sponsors."

We were educating the player contract advisors, the active player contract advisors on how the -- the terms of that agreement would be explained to NFL sponsors so they would understand it.

- Okay. But you're telling them here that it's okay to tell people you're a good organization. You do -- you've got a good thing going here, and for them to understand that as they go
- 25 out to do their jobs, right?

- A. Well, the "value" referred to is the agreement between the

  NFL and Players Inc. The agreement between the NFL and Players

  Inc is a valuable asset for sponsors interested in utilizing

  NFL players.
  - Q. Okay. Mr. Allen, I don't want to fence with you.

The agreement -- we've already established this. The agreement between Players Inc and a professional association -- it's a trial exhibit, I could refer you back to it -- is an agreement by which the union --

MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object. He's confusing the agreements. This is between the National Football League and Players Inc not between the NFLPA and Players Inc. He's completely confusing the record on this.

It had nothing to do with the earlier testimony.

MR. PARCHER: Okay. I think that's a valid -- I think that's valid.

THE COURT: Why don't you correct that point and move on to something --

19 MR. PARCHER: Yes. Thank you. Sorry about that.
20 Right.

## 21 BY MR. PARCHER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 22 Q. The second line of that paragraph 2 says:
- "Sponsors can take advantage of one-stop
  shopping that is quick and convenient, without additional
  agency fees."

1 Now, aren't you telling them by that sentence: 2 "'One-stop shopping' means we've got enough 3 players signed up that whoever the licensee is, prospective 4 licensee, doesn't have to go anywhere else, "right? 5 what you're telling them? Active players. 6 Α. 7 Q. Where does it say "active players" here? The agreement between the NFL and Players Inc deals with 8 9 active players. Where does it say "active players" where you tell people 10 11 in a complete -- sir --12 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, now I object. 13 MR. PARCHER: Excuse me. 14 MR. KESSLER: It says it on the next paragraph. 15 There is no foundation for his question. The next to the last 16 paragraph says "active." 17 He shouldn't be asking that question, Your Honor. 18 It's misleading, Your Honor. I object. 19 MR. PARCHER: I respectfully disagree. I don't want 2.0 to get into a --2.1 THE COURT: Well, do this. If it does say "active" 22 in the next paragraph, point that out to the witness, and then 23 ask him, if you believe that the statement does not pertain to 24 active or --25 MR. PARCHER: Right. There's a third paragraph. We

```
can put it up for you to see. Make it easy for you, Your
 2
   Honor.
 3
              Is it possible to put both the paragraph that I'm
 4
    talking about and the following paragraph for the Court to see?
 5
    That's the paragraph I'm reading.
 6
              We'll put it more clearly. I'm reading the second
 7
   sentence of that paragraph. There's another paragraph below.
              I'll read it to you, Judge. It will be easier for
 8
 9
   you.
10
              THE COURT: I don't see which one you're talking
11
   about.
12
                            Okay. Okay. So, here, I'll read it to
             MR. PARCHER:
13
   you.
14
              THE COURT: He's blowing it up now. All right.
15
   Let's see.
16
              (Document displayed.)
17
              MR. PARCHER: Right. That's a paragraph that follows
18
   my paragraph.
19
              THE COURT: All right. So now everyone sees that
2.0
   paragraph.
             MR. PARCHER: Refers to active.
2.1
22
              THE COURT: Go back and put the -- so I want to make
23
   sure the witness -- I want you to see this paragraph that
24
   follows.
25
              Are you seeing that one?
```

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: All right. Now, go back to the paragraph
3	you were asking about.
4	MR. PARCHER: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: And let's see
6	MR. PARCHER: Right.
7	(Document displayed.)
8	THE COURT: All right. And your question is?
9	MR. PARCHER: On the second sentence of the first
10	paragraph, the value I shouldn't say the first paragraph.
11	The paragraph that has Roman numeral II, that begins
12	with the word "Value." I'm questioning this witness about the
13	second
14	THE COURT: The issue is whether "NFL players," as
15	used in that sentence, refers to active players only.
16	MR. PARCHER: No. The issue is: Would you say in
17	the second sentence, "sponsors can take advantage of one-stop
18	shopping," et cetera, that that necessarily refers to active
19	players rather than all the players that he's got signed up?
20	THE COURT: All right. That's a fair question. Go
21	ahead and ask the question.
22	BY MR. PARCHER:
23	Q. It doesn't say that there, does it, sir? Yes or no?
24	That you surely can answer "yes" or "no" by just
25	looking at the board. No, it does not, right?

 $\|\mathbf{A}.\|$  No.

- 2 Q. Now, is it your position -- how many people attend these
- 3 | conventions? I'm not holding you to a precise number. On
- 4 | average.
- $5 \, | \, \mathbf{A} \cdot \, \, 300, 400, 500.$  This isn't a convention. It's an annual
- 6 required meeting of the registered contract advisors.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. Forgive me for saying "a convention."
- 8 So you get three, four, five hundred people that are
- 9 | in the licensing business in a room. And is it your position
- 10 to Judge Alsup and this jury that you don't at all make
- 11 reference to retired players in that room when you're pitching
- 12 these guys?
- 13 Yes or no?
- 14 **A.** Yes, we make reference in those discussions to retired
- 15 players.
- 16 Q. But not in this document. Here you're only talking about
- 17 | to them about actives when you say "one-stop shopping."
- 18 | Is that your testimony?
- 19 **A.** That's correct.
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Show me one place in this document -- take your time.
- 21 | Show me one place in this document where you refer to retired
- 22 | players who have signed group licensing authorization.
- 23 Show me one place in this document that goes to four,
- 24 | five hundred people that are in the business, that are in the
- 25 | licensing business, when you made a reference to it.

1	You should say it's not there.
2	A. This document is a description of
3	Q. Could you just show me where it is? It's very simple. If
4	you go through the document and look at a paragraph
5	THE COURT: The document is the one we have on the
6	screen?
7	MR. PARCHER: Well, that's a part of it. I'll hand
8	it up so you know what I'm talking about.
9	THE COURT: What is the exhibit number?
10	MR. PARCHER: The exhibit is Trial Exhibit No. 12.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MR. PARCHER: It's an eight-page document entitled
13	"Memoranda," and it's directed to these three, four, five
14	hundred persons who were called "NFLPA contract advisors"
15	attending the 2006 seminar.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And the question is: Where in the
17	document is there a reference to the retired players' GLA?
18	MR. PARCHER: Yes.
19	THE COURT: All right. Stop.
20	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Take your time and see if you can find
22	any such reference.
23	MR. KATZ: Your Honor, it's a little bit cold in the
24	courtroom. I don't know if Your Honor can adjust that or not.
25	I see a lot of people with their hands in their pockets.

1	THE COURT: That's their problem.
2	The how about my jury? Any members of the jury
3	cold?
4	(Jurors shaking heads negatively.)
5	MR. KATZ: It's just me, Your Honor. Sorry.
6	THE COURT: You're sitting too far away from the heat
7	that's being generated.
8	(Laughter)
9	THE COURT: I like it to be a little on the cold side
10	because, otherwise, people get drowsy. We also are saving
11	energy.
12	MR. PARCHER: Jimmy Carter used to insist probably
13	before Your Honor was born that it be 68 degrees in every
14	courtroom. And if you didn't like it, you had to bring a
15	sweater with you.
16	THE COURT: I was in the Justice Department when
17	Jimmy Carter was president, and believe me, we all wore
18	cardigan sweaters.
19	MR. PARCHER: I do.
20	THE COURT: We kept the temperature at 65.
21	MR. PARCHER: 65?
22	THE COURT: That's what he wanted.
23	MR. PARCHER: I thought it was 68.
24	THE COURT: No, 68 was the normal. 65 was this is
25	coming out of your time, so let's move on.

1	MR. PARCHER: I was a public defender representing
2	poor people accused of serious crimes when you were prosecuting
3	them, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Those were the days. Okay.
5	MR. PARCHER: Yes, they were.
6	THE COURT: Would you proceed with your next
7	question.
8	BY MR. PARCHER:
9	Q. Well, I'm waiting for an answer to this question.
10	A. I don't believe there is any reference.
11	Q. Thank you, sir.
12	MR. PARCHER: Now, I'd like to put I'm sure
13	there's no objection. I want to put the GLA up on the board.
14	I was going to put the Adderley one up, Trial Exhibit 110.
15	THE COURT: Any objection?
16	MR. KESSLER: No objection.
17	THE COURT: Received.
18	(Trial Exhibit 110 was received into evidence.)
19	MR. PARCHER: I misplaced my document.
20	THE COURT: We're going to take about ten more
21	minutes, and then take a recess for the jury unless someone
22	needs it sooner.
23	MR. PARCHER: I got it.
24	THE COURT: All right. Take about let's go about
25	ten more minutes before we recess.

All right. Go ahead.

2 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.

## 3 BY MR. PARCHER:

- Q. This is -- do you have the right document before you,
- 5 Mr. Allen?

1

- 6 I can help you out.
- 7 || **A.** This right?
- 8 Q. Still hit the jump shot.
- 9 Trial Exhibit 110. That's it.
- 10 Now, this -- I've selected this document, just so you
- 11 know. Take a look at this for a minute. This is the group
- 12 | licensing authorization form that was in effect during the
- 13 | period that we're talking about here, correct?
- I happen to be giving you Mr. Adderley's, but there's
- 15 | no magic to the fact I'm giving you his.
- 16 A. It's the Retired Players Group Licensing Authorization
- 17 | Form.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Right. It changes later on. I think it's 2005, right?
- 19 || You made a change in the retired players authorization.
- 20 **A.** Changed in what respect?
- 21 | Q. Okay. I'll show it to you. It's out of context. But I'm
- 22 | just trying to establish that it's not just this document we're
- 23 | talking about. We're talking generally about the group
- 24 | licensing authorization form, okay?
- 25  $\|$ **A.** All right.

Q. Fine. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

24

25

Now, the second paragraph -- well, let me just go back a step. Do you have a general impression -- I don't expect you to know two-thousand-some-odd people.

You understand that we're here representing 2,067 people who are members of the class? If you don't, tell me. I'm just telling you that. I hope it's not going to be a problem.

Okay. I understand that you probably don't know all 2,067 of these men personally. That's a fair assumption, right?

12 **A.** Yes.

Ph.D.s or Rhodes scholars?

- Q. Okay. But as a generalization, is it fair to say that you don't picture the vast, vast majority of these men as Harvard
- 16 A. I wouldn't characterize them one way or the other. Some of them are successful businessmen, very successful lawyers,
- doctors, dentists. And some of them aren't. I mean, it's a diverse group.
- Q. Uhm. But for the most part -- but for the most part -well, let me just say it this way. This GLA was prepared -when I say "you," sometimes I mean "you," and sometimes I mean
  "you" the number two person. You know, the editorial --

You prepared this document, correct?

editorial you. You know, the defendants.

- 1 **A.** You mean me, personally?
- 2 Q. No. I just got through saying that.
- $3 \parallel A$ . I wasn't sure which one you were ending with. I'm sorry.
- 4 | Me personally or collectively?
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . Well, did you personally prepare the GLA that got sent out
- 6 to all the retired players?
- 7 | A. I -- you mean, did I actually -- you mean, you're talking
- 8 about the drafting of it?
- 9 Q. Well, did you have input into the language?
- 10  $\mathbf{A}$ . Yes.
- 11  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Of course you did. You're the number two guy there,
- 12 | right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Right. So you understood by the first paragraph -- I
- 15 | quess we'll start with that -- wait, wait. Withdrawn.
- 16 Am I correct in saying that none of the GLAs that you
- 17 | sent out were negotiated by a retired player before they
- 18 | signed?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  To the best of your knowledge, none of the retired players
- 21 | were represented by counsel prior to your -- prior to your
- 22 | receiving their signature which you solicited in the mail,
- 23 || correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  To the best of your knowledge, as the union person, you

never told any of these players: 2 "Watch yourself. You better get a lawyer here. 3 There may be some technical language as a linebacker, you may 4 not be all that familiar with, " did you? 5 Α. Did I say that? No. 6 0. You never told them: 7 "It might be a good idea to get lawyered up before you sign this, because this language may not be a 8 9 monument of clarity, " did you? I didn't believe the last part of that sentence, and I 10 11 didn't use -- I didn't say the first part to the players. Okay. So now going to the second paragraph. 12 13 (Document displayed.) Would you agree with this statement? That language: 14 15 "Group licensing programs are defined as programs in which a licensee utilizes a total of six or more 16 17 present or former NFL player images in conjunction with, or on products that are sold at retail or used as promotional or 18 19 premium items." 2.0 Would you agree that that sentence is perfectly clear? 2.1 22 I think it's clear, yes. 23 Q. Do you see any ambiguity in that sentence?

- Q. Do you see any ambiguity in
- 24 **A.** No.
- 25 Q. So that if six or more present or former NFL player images

are licensed in conjunction with or on products sold at retail 2 then it's part of the group licensing program, correct? 3 Α. Programs defined that way are group licensing programs. 4 Q. Yes, sir. 5 I'd like to turn your attention to the one, two, 6 three, four, fifth paragraph. 7 Just tell you, I was chastised by one of my Sometimes I call this the "group licensing 8 colleagues. 9 agreement." It's interchangeable with me, "group licensing authorization, group licensing agreement." 10 I'm referring to the same document. 11 MR. KESSLER: Well, Your Honor, I just note the 12 13 documents are different. So he may refer to the words the same, but there's one document that has this name, and there's 14 15 another document, as Your Honor knows, involving active players that has a different name. 16 17 So if counsel is going to confuse them --MR. PARCHER: I'll withdraw what I said. I'll 18 19 withdraw what I said. 2.0 **THE COURT:** You got in trouble making a speech. 2.1 you were making a speech, a preparatory speech, talking about 22 your colleagues were chastising you. And it was not a pending 23 question, and so then it just invited a speech by Mr. Kessler. 24 So --

MR. PARCHER: Since I'm not a masochist --

1 THE COURT: -- if you hadn't made the speech in the 2 first place, we would be to question number 14 by now. 3 MR. PARCHER: Since I'm not a masochist, I will try 4 not to do that again, because I'm feeling the -- I'm feeling 5 the wounds. 6 THE COURT: Just stick with this agreement and ask --7 these are legitimate questions to ask. So stick with your --8 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. 9 **THE COURT:** -- agreement on the screen, and let's continue on. 10 11 BY MR. PARCHER: 12 Okay. We're down to -- you see there, Mr. Allen: 13 "It is further understood"? 14 Are you talking about the last -- the next to the last 15 paragraph? 16 Yes. Q. 17 Right here. I see it. 18 It's up there on the board, just so you can double-check. 19 I'll make sure. 2.0 Right here. 2.1 MR. PARCHER: I should ask, Your Honor. 22 approaching the witness for a minute. 23 Yeah, that's it. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

## BY MR. PARCHER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2 Q. "It is further understood that the monies generated by such licensing of retired player group rights will be divided between the player and an escrow account."

And then it goes on. I will get to the rest of the sentence. I'm cutting you off just for question purposes.

Would you agree that from the first minute of the first day that the first retired person signed a GLA, down to the day that you left, that you never did establish an escrow account?

- **A.** That's correct.
- 12 Q. Now, to continue on with the sentence:
- 13 | "Will be divided between the player."
- "The player," presumably, is the person who signs the GLA, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And "an escrow account for all eligible NFLPA members,"
- 18 | those are the active fellows, right?
- 19 || **A.** No.

2.0

- $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Wait a minute. Is there a retired player -- withdrawn.
- 21 What document of the union's -- I have just forgotten
  22 the name. That's why I'm asking. I'm going to ask you
  23 questions about it. I know what it says, but I don't remember
- 24 the name of the document.

25 What document of the union establishes who is an

- 1 eligible NFLPA member who has signed a group licensing
- 2 | authorization form? What's it called? I think it's the
- 3 | bylaws, but I don't want to mislead you.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . I'm not sure I recall.
- 5  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Okay. Put it this way. Am I correct in saying that --
- 6 give me just a second.
- 7 MR. PARCHER: Can you, Your Honor?
- 8 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 9 Q. Am I correct in saying that there's nothing that you know
- 10 of that would have prevented the NFLPA from establishing
- 11 eligibility requirements that included the retired players?
- 12 **A.** I think that's correct.
- 13 Q. Didn't you ever say that exact thing?
- 14 | A. It --
- 15 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, he answered the question.
- 16 | He said --
- 17 MR. PARCHER: Okay. Withdrawn. Withdrawn.
- 18 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Didn't you ever say that the NFLPA board of reps, in their
- 20 consideration of that issue, determined that wasn't
- 21 | appropriate? Meaning that it wasn't appropriate to make
- 22 | retired players eligible.
- 23 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I now have an objection
- 24 | because he's -- he's reading testimony about the active player
- 25 pool fund.

1	MR. PARCHER: This is not fair, Judge.
2	MR. KESSLER: And he is mixing it now into this
3	subject, Your Honor. There's no foundation for that. It's
4	inappropriate.
5	MR. PARCHER: May I be heard, Judge?
6	THE COURT: It's time for our break, anyway. So
7	we'll let you go on with your break.
8	15 minutes. Please remember the admonition.
9	THE CLERK: All rise.
10	(Thereupon, the jury left the courtroom.)
11	THE COURT: All right. The witness can step down.
12	We don't need the witness, do we?
13	MR. KESSLER: Not right now, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: You can take your 15-minute break, as
15	well.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Allen.
18	Everyone else be seated.
19	The procedure that I like to use when depositions are
20	involved for impeachment is, as I said at the pretrial
21	conference, "do not do this," which lawyers abuse all the time.
22	They will say:
23	"In your deposition didn't you say the light was
24	green?"
25	And, in fact, in the deposition the question was

1	asked and they said:
2	"Well, somebody told me the light was green,"
3	which is different.
4	And if I let lawyers get away with that, they take
5	liberties, and they will not quote it exactly verbatim.
6	So the way you should do it is you just ask the
7	witness the question:
8	"Was the light green or red or yellow?"
9	And they say:
10	"The light was yellow."
11	And then, you can then read question and exact line
12	and so forth from the deposition in which they said something
13	to the contrary.
14	That way we will get the full context. If it said
15	"active" versus whatever, then that will be clear instead of
16	doing a memory test where you say:
17	"Didn't you say have you ever made the
18	following statement?"
19	And then, you read something, and we don't know
20	whether it's exact or not.
21	So that's the procedure that's going to be used. I'm
22	going to ask you to do it my way, Mr. Parcher. The way you're
23	doing it is in violation of my ground rules.
24	So, please, everyone has got to do this. I've
25	learned the hard way if I let the lawyers get away with asking

those kind of questions they take words out, they slip words in, they paraphrase, and pretty soon it's just tricking the 2 3 witness. 4 MR. PARCHER: Right. 5 THE COURT: So that's the way we're going to handle 6 So if it turns out that it's true that the context makes 7 a distinction between active and retired that will come out when you read the excerpt in. 8 9 Sure. Obviously, I respect that MR. PARCHER: completely. No buts. And I follow the Court's admonition. 10 Ι 11 just want to say at that moment in time -- it may be confusing the way I do things -- I wasn't impeaching this witness. 12 13 trying to get him to confirm that that's his position. hadn't -- he hadn't said "that's not my position." 14 15 THE COURT: You just should ask him. It would be 16 perfectly permissible for you to say, "do you agree that"? And 17 then say A, B, C, whatever you want to lard in there. 18 says "No, I don't agree with that," you can read what he said 19 in the deposition. 2.0 What I don't like is whenever you are communicating 21 to the jury, the way you're doing it is that you're reading 22 from something. MR. PARCHER: 23 Right. 24 THE COURT: And that leaves the impression with the 25 jury that you're reading from the deposition. That may or may

1	not be what he actually said in the deposition.
2	MR. PARCHER: Your Honor
3	THE COURT: That's the part that is borderline.
4	MR. PARCHER: I assure you, Your Honor, I'm not
5	arguing with the Court. I hope it doesn't sound like I am. I
6	respect that completely.
7	What's happening is I'm trying to when I say
8	"didn't you say, don't you agree," whatever it is that you want
9	me to do, I'm trying I'm literally doing it in reverse. I'm
10	trying to get it right. That's why I'm looking at the
11	document.
12	THE COURT: Well, you can
13	MR. PARCHER: See what I mean?
14	THE COURT: If you you can just instead of
15	saying "haven't you previously said," the way to do it is
16	because if it's coming out of the deposition that's not the way
17	to do it. The way to do it is:
18	"Do you agree with the statement?"
19	And then, you can read the statement.
20	MR. PARCHER: Thank you. I got it. Sorry about
21	that.
22	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MR. KESSLER: I had an additional objection, which is
25	

document was placed before the witness, not the retired player

GLA, the active player pool eligibility criteria.

And so he was being questioned at his deposition

about those criteria. And what counsel has now done -- and I

don't think there's a foundation for this -- is that he's

asking the witness questions as if those statements about the

active player, which is a document that says "eligibility

criteria for the pool of active player money." has something to

do with this retired player form. And that's what I was

10 objecting to. He has to have an foundation for the question.

MR. PARCHER: First of all, I want to point out for the record how my adversary is gesturing, just so we have that clear.

THE COURT: Is what?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARCHER: So my Aunt Frances gets both sides of the story here. That's not what I'm doing.

And you'll hear -- Your Honor will hear it when I do it.

THE COURT: It is okay for -- the reason that

Mr. Kessler is wrong is that if the question by the person at
the podium is, "Do you agree with the statement XYZ?" that
question does not invoke any deposition. That question does
not necessarily mean that he's reading from the wrong contract.

The witness can protect himself and say either "yes" or "no." And then --

1	MR. KESSLER: Of course, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: if it turns out he has to resort to
3	the deposition to impeach, it will then become clear because
4	we're going to read literally the questions and answers what
5	was the underlying impeachment material.
6	And if it turns out that Mr. Parcher was trying to
7	pull a fast one, it will then become clear to the jury.
8	MR. KESSLER: Very good, Your Honor. Thank you.
9	MR. PARCHER: I want to say what counsel is saying is
10	so disingenuous. It's already established in this depo and in
11	this thing he is referring to active players as the ones that
12	are eligible. And this question says:
13	"But if you wanted to, you also could have made
14	retired players?"
15	Okay. That's it.
16	THE COURT: All right. You had something you wanted
17	to do at a sidebar. Is that moot now, or do you need to go
18	into it?
19	MR. PARCHER: I don't remember.
20	THE COURT: I think it had something to do with
21	Hollywood.
22	MR. PARCHER: Oh, everybody is saying hold my fire to
23	another moment.
24	THE COURT: I'm going to treat that as moot until you
25	bring it up later.

1	MR. PARCHER: Not moot. Just holding
2	THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to have a sidebar
3	while the jury is here.
4	MR. PARCHER: No, no, no.
5	THE COURT: This is it. Withdrawn for now.
6	We'll take 15 minutes or so.
7	MR. PARCHER: Thanks for reminding me.
8	THE COURT: Here is the Glenn Eyrich transcript that
9	somebody asked me would you hand that to counsel?
10	I've ruled on those objections.
11	(Recess taken from 10:30 to 10:48 a.m.)
12	(The following proceedings were held in open court,
13	outside the presence of the jury.)
14	THE COURT: All right. Be seated, please.
15	What is the issue?
16	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, I have a short issue. We
17	have a concern, very quickly, about paragraph 29 of your
18	standing order, which is regarding speaking objections. We
19	have a strong view that Mr. Kessler has been making repeated
20	speaking objections, and we object to that.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Kessler, what do you say?
22	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I think my objections, when
23	they were speaking, were designed either because we had
24	speeches by counsel that I was responding to or, Your Honor,
25	since you don't want to have sidebars I have had to state the

basis for the objection. 2 I think I have to speak that way so Your Honor knows 3 the basis for the objection. 4 THE COURT: Well, the way to do it is to just say the 5 technical legal objection. You can say "Hearsay." You can say 6 something else. 7 But there was one time whenever I thought it was like deposition conduct when were you throwing the witness a life 8 9 preserver, and you didn't need to do it. So just make the legal objections. 10 11 Sometimes I will understand what you are getting at. Other times I will ask you to elaborate. If I ask you to 12 13 elaborate, then it's fine. You can --14 MR. KESSLER: Very good, Your Honor. 15 MR. PARCHER: I have a short one, too, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 In the -- I understand that in this MR. PARCHER: 18 convention that we were talking about, with the 500 agents, that the union has a rule that if you want to be part of that 19 2.0 organization you can't charge a commission of more than -- I 2.1 think it's 3 percent, but I don't know the exact number. 22 don't want to say that number. And I want to -- I want to get 23 him to acknowledge that, that the union itself is keeping the 24 agents --

What agents?

THE COURT:

1	MR. PARCHER: Why?
2	THE COURT: What agents?
3	MR. PARCHER: The agents that attend the convention
4	all have to I'm using the number 3 percent. I could be
5	getting misinformation, so I don't want to represent
6	THE COURT: How does that help? I don't understand
7	the relevance of that.
8	MR. PARCHER: Because these guys have charged
9	37 percent. It's outrageous. 63 percent.
10	THE COURT: Now
11	MR. PARCHER: I'm representing to this court that in
12	the history of the world Colonel Parker charged Elvis Presley
13	50 percent, and it was outrageous. They charge 10 percent.
14	They charge 15 percent. They don't charge and you're going
15	to see before the case is over with they went up to 69 percent.
16	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, the problem we have and
17	this is a perfect example Mr. Parker Parcher has no
18	understanding of the facts or evidence in this case.
19	The contract advisors he's referring to
20	MR. PARCHER: Excuse me. The witness is here. Could
21	we ask him to step outside maybe?
22	THE COURT: Well, no, because I'm going to give you
23	the ruling. You can ask whatever questions that you want so
24	long as you have a good faith basis to ask them.
25	MR. PARCHER: Thank you.

THE COURT: And if the witness knows the answer he 1 has got to admit it. But then, if there's something that's 2 3 misrepresented and there's confusion you just have to bring it 4 up on your turn. 5 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, can I make a 403 objection 6 and have the witness leave? I don't want to in any way put 7 anything in the witness's head, but I think you should rule on this before he comes back. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. The witness will step outside. 10 11 What is your 403? Okay. MR. KESSLER: Okay. The contract advisors he's 12 13 referring to and the 3 percent fee he's referring to has nothing to do with licensing. It is the fee -- they are the 14 15 advisors who negotiate NFL salaries with NFL teams. They have nothing to do with licensing at all. 16 17 That's why they're here. 18 So he wants to conflate and confuse the jury with 19 salary percentages that the union sets. It has nothing to do with licensing. 2.0 2.1 THE COURT: Is that true. 22 MR. PARCHER: I have no idea. What I do know --23 THE COURT: Then, if you don't know, then I'm going 24 to sustain the objection. 25 MR. PARCHER: Please, Your Honor. That's not right.

1 THE COURT: Well, you better know something about it, 2 because if it's salaries, that's not licensing. 3 MR. PARCHER: That's not right. 4 THE COURT: Tell me why it's wrong. 5 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. The -- an agent -- an 6 agent -- I don't care if he's a man in the moon or a woman in 7 Mars agent, an agent charges -- and I'm very familiar with this subject, which is not important to Your Honor, but I'm telling 8 9 you that I'm very familiar with this subject. They charge 10 percent. Maybe they charge 10 11 I'm talking about the best agents in the country 15 percent. charge 10 or 15 percent. 12 13 Maybe you'll get it up in a rare instance where there's a special thing between an agent and a particular 14 15 person, you'll get it up to 25 percent. The idea of taking a 63 percent commission for 16 17 anything, if you're an agent, is completely, completely 18 inappropriate. And to take that from men whom you're supposed to be 19 2.0 representing because you're their union, you're their Players 21 Inc, you're the ones -- he says it right in his document --22 THE COURT: But they're taking it only from the 23 actives. 24 MR. KESSLER: Correct, Your Honor. 25 MR. PARCHER: Wait a minute.

1	THE COURT: What has that got to do with the
2	retireds?
3	MR. PARCHER: Wait a minute. He says that they're
4	taking it only from the actives. That contract, PAPI, doesn't
5	say he's taking it only from the actives.
6	If I was representing a retired person, I would
7	think I would think that they're taking 63 percent from me.
8	It's as if what are you saying? That they anticipated
9	before the contract began that they would never be able to do a
10	group licensing?
11	It's a whole construct on their part. That's not so.
12	It has everything to do with that.
13	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, the specific issue that you
14	just ruled upon is whether or not the salary agent fees have
15	anything to do with licensing. Your Honor correctly ruled no.
16	And I believe
17	THE COURT: I'm going to stand by my ruling. I don't
18	think it has anything to do with licensing. So bring back the
19	witness.
20	Let's not get into that.
21	MR. PARCHER: I'll just ask if it does have anything
22	to do with it, Judge. We haven't established it yet.
23	THE COURT: Why?
24	MR. PARCHER: I don't know that.
25	THE COURT. I've ruled it out

1 MR. PARCHER: No, you haven't ruled it out. you've ruled out is asking the percentage if it turns out that 2 3 it has nothing to do with licensing. You haven't ruled out 4 that if it does -- if these do guys licenses, too, you haven't 5 ruled it out. 6 THE COURT: What is your offer of proof that it has 7 something to do with --MR. PARCHER: 8 I have no proof. 9 Your Honor, I'm representing as an MR. KESSLER: officer of the Court the 3 percent only applies to salary 10 11 licensing. In fact, agents who do marketing deals of players have no regulation. 12 13 As an officer of the Court Mr. Parcher has to have a good faith basis for his question. He can't just make it up. 14 15 THE COURT: Are you bringing Mr. Allen back in your 16 case? 17 MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor. In my direct 18 examination, which will be today, though, as we agreed we could 19 do it at one time. So he's going to have a long direct --2.0 THE COURT: At the rate this is going we are not 21 going to finish by 12:30. 22 MR. KESSLER: I think so. 23 THE COURT: I'm sustaining the 403 objection. The 24 Court is going to find that this is so far afield of the 25 issues -- it has some tangential relevance, yes. But under

1	Rule 403 the probative value of the 3 percent for people who
2	are agents on salaried issues is so far afield of the issues
3	we've got for this jury it's going to confuse them, and it is
4	just not fair to get into it.
5	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor
6	MR. PARCHER: I can't tell you how strongly I
7	disagree.
8	THE COURT: You disagree with my ruling? All right.
9	Great. That is why we have the Ninth Circuit.
10	MR. PARCHER: No, I'm not interested in the Ninth
11	Circuit. I want to win the case here.
12	THE COURT: In ever trial a judge makes a hundred
13	mistakes. At least one of them you can probably get me
14	reversed on. I'm doing the best I can. So accept my ruling,
15	and let's move on.
16	MR. PARCHER: I do accept it, but I'm not interested
17	in the Ninth Circuit.
18	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, I'm going to come to the
19	help of my partner, Peter Parcher.
20	THE COURT: No, you're not. You're going to sit
21	down.
22	MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, may I
23	THE COURT: You can make a supplemental ruling later
24	and file an exception to whatever you want.
25	But I'm getting irritated. You lawyers never accept

my rulings. 2 MR. HUMMEL: Your Honor, the document that 3 Mr. Parcher was talking about is a licensing document. And I'll show it to Mr. Kessler. It's Exhibit 12. 4 5 He just said it has to do with player salaries, and 6 I'm reading from it. 7 It says: "The NFL and Players Inc, the licensing and 8 9 marketing subsidiary of the National Football League Players Association, have entered into an agreement that allows the NFL 10 11 to convey to sponsors the exclusive right to utilize the group licensing rights of NFL players as assigned by Players Inc." 12 13 It's not a salary document. And I apologize for doing that to Your Honor, but I'm looking at Exhibit 12. 14 It's 15 on page 6, and I would be happy to hand it to you. THE COURT: Show me. 16 17 MR. HUMMEL: I will. 18 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, Mr. Hummel, okay, in all 19 due respect, is not addressing the issue. The 3 percent license fee, it's not a license fee. It's an agent commission. 2.0 It's not a license fee. 2.1 The agent commission has to do with salaries. 22 That 23 3 percent agent commission is not referenced anywhere in this 24 document. 25 This was a meeting of the agents for active players

to explain to them how they were going to use active players in sponsorship agreements for which, by the way, the agents charge 2 3 no money. 4 In other words, he's conflating the rule, which is a 5 rule of certified contract advisors, that for salary 6 negotiations, if you negotiate an active player's salary it's 7 3 percent. It has nothing to do with what Mr. Hummel said. 8 9 I'm shocked he would come in and try to mislead the court by talking about this document. 10 I withdraw the application, because I'm 11 MR. PARCHER: irritating the Court, and I don't mean to do that. And the 12 Court is thinking I'm not obeying a ruling. I withdraw it. 13 Τ can live without it, regardless of my professional opinion. 14 15 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's now move --16 MR. PARCHER: And if I've annoyed you, I'm sorry. 17 That's all I can say. 18 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, can I address the Court for a 19 moment on a related subject? 2.0 THE COURT: No. If it's on this subject, the answer 21 I've made a rule ruling, and we're going to move on. 22 No, I'm not trying to question the ruling. MR. KATZ: 23 THE COURT: What do you have to say? We've got a 24 room full of lawyers here. We've got more lawyers in the 25 courtroom than jurors.

1 And you lawyers are not being respectful of the 2 jury's time. Go ahead. We'll hear what you have to say. 3 MR. KATZ: Your Honor has said several times this 4 morning, most recently a few moments ago, that the 63 percent 5 comes out of the active players. 6 That is not our contention. 7 Our contention is that the escrow account is either the monies that were supposed to go in there and didn't go in 8 9 there are the monies in the escrow account for the active players, which is the only escrow account. 63 percent was 10 11 taken from those monies. Those monies were ours to share. So it's -- it is -- that's our contention. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. All right. So, of course, you can make that contention. 14 15 MR. KATZ: Right. THE COURT: And I misunderstood your contention. 16 17 MR. KATZ: Right. That's all I wanted, Your Honor. 18 I think it will save us time as we go forward. I'm 19 respectful --2.0 THE COURT: That's a fair point to have made. 2.1 MR. KATZ: Thank you. 22 I'm not accepting it. I'm not denying THE COURT: 23 That's going to be for others to decide. 24 MR. KATZ: We're going to be hearing from Mr. Kessler on this point. 25

1	THE COURT: They're entitled to make the argument.
2	MR. KESSLER: They are, Your Honor, except in opening
3	argument Mr. Parcher told the jury there was no escrow account.
4	Mr. Katz just said their contention is there's an escrow
5	account. They can't have both contentions.
6	MR. KATZ: In Mr. Kessler's special world I can't
7	have both those contentions.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. KATZ: Fortunately, it doesn't correlate with the
10	real world.
11	THE COURT: Good. You made your point. Let's bring
12	back our witness and bring back our jury.
13	You used 96 minutes on this witness alone so far.
14	And in my judgment, a lot of the time was wasted. So don't
15	come back asking for more time later, Mr. Parcher. I would get
16	right to your points and move to your next witness.
17	I'm going to say to the witness say "yes," "no," "I
18	don't recall" or "I don't understand the question." And limit
19	your explanations to half a sentence so we can move this along.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
21	(The following proceedings were held in open court,
22	in the presence of the jury.)
23	THE COURT: Okay. Welcome back. Please have a seat.
24	Mr. Parcher, please continue.
25	MR. PARCHER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me. Which document -- are you 1 2 going back to the documents? 3 THE COURT: He's going to tell you. 4 Just ask a question, and then Mr. Parcher will make 5 it clear what document he's on. 6 BY MR. PARCHER: 7 Am I correct in suggesting that it's your position that under the union charter or regulations the eligible NFLPA 8 members who would sign a group licensing authorization form is referring to active players not retired players? 10 11 I'm not sure what documents you're referring to. Oh, I'm sorry. We've got to go back then. 12 13 Trial Exhibit --14 MR. PARCHER: May I approach, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Please. Mr. Adderley is 110, I think. BY MR. PARCHER: 16 17 It's Trial Exhibit 110. Q. 18 A. All right. 19 Mr. Adderley. It's the GLA. Q.

- 2.0 Α. Okay.
- 21 And that's what's up on the board. You know that, right?
- 22 Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: In fairness to the witness, you didn't 24 say that. And on the cold record being transcribed we would 25 not know what was being referred to.

1 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: And if this was referred to in the 3 closing arguments, it wouldn't be clear, either. 4 So the witness had a point. 5 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Let's be clear on what document we're 7 referring to. I agree with the witness, Your Honor. 8 MR. PARCHER: 9 BY MR. PARCHER: 10 We're talking to Trial Exhibit 110. And in the fifth paragraph, where it refers to "will be divided between the 11 player" that's the signatory, as you said, right? 12 13 Yes. I'm sorry. "An escrow account for all eligible NFLPA members who have 14 15 signed a group licensing authorization form." 16 Do you see it up there? 17 Yes, I do. Α. 18 Now, I believe -- correct me, if I'm wrong -- you don't 19 need the document to respond to this. I believe that it's your 2.0 position that the persons referred to as "eligible NFLPA 2.1 members who signed a group licensing authorization form" in 22 Trial Exhibit 110 are the active players. 23 Your lawyer has argued that, and I believe that's 24 your position, right? 25 MR. KESSLER: Objection. No foundation.

MR. PARCHER:

Q. Is it your position --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

THE COURT: Mr. Parcher, please don't mix it up with what the lawyers -- just ask this witness -- ask him what he thinks it means. You're trying to say that the lawyer has argued some point.

How is this witness going to know? You're making a speech again.

11 MR. PARCHER: I believe he said it. I believe he 12 said it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm asking you to stick to -- stick to asking the question.

## 15 BY MR. PARCHER:

- 16 **Q.** Is it true that by "eligible NFLPA member" you mean to 17 refer to active players?
- 18 | A. No, it's not true.
- 19 **Q.** Who are you referring to?
- 20 **A.** This document refers to retired players and the monies 21 generated by such licensing of retired player group rights.
- 22 **Q.** So the retired players -- your position is that the
  23 retired players are all -- are eligible and can be eligible
  24 NFLPA members who have signed a group licensing authorization?
- 25 | A. That refers to the escrow account.

1	Q. Do you do you are you saying that a retired football
2	player who signed a group licensing authorization form can be
3	eligible under your union's rules and regulations? Is that
4	your testimony?
5	MR. KESSLER: Objection, Your Honor, as, again,
6	eligible in this document? Is that the question? I just want
7	a clear record.
8	MR. PARCHER: I'm just asking the witness not to
9	make any speaking objections. Make his objections. Let the
LO	Court rule.
L1	THE COURT: It was a speaking objection. That was
L2	not a legal objection.
L3	The objection is overruled.
L4	Is the question he said "eligible" as used up
L5	there means "retired."
L6	And I lost track, Mr. Parcher, what your follow-up
L7	question was. But it's fair for you to have a follow-up
L8	question, so please ask it again.
L9	BY MR. PARCHER:
20	Q. Can a retired player, who signed a group licensing
21	authorization, be an eligible player, as that word is used in
22	the union rules and regulations?
23	Yes or no, sir?
24	A. Yes.

MR. PARCHER:

I forgot how you told me to do it.

# BY MR. PARCHER:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. At some point --

THE COURT: Just say:

"Do you agree with this statement?"

#### BY MR. PARCHER:

- Q. Do you agree with this statement that paragraph 4(b) and 4(d) of the union rules and regulations would have excluded retired players who didn't meet the eligibility requirements established by the NFLPA board of player reps?
- MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, objection. Misstates facts in evidence. He's referring to the wrong documents. I can explain, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: If that's -- no. Please. You don't get a chance to explain. This witness can protect himself, and if he thinks not that's not a correct statement, then he can say so.
  - So it's a fair question.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** I don't know what document you're 19 referring to.

# 20 BY MR. PARCHER:

- 21 Q. Okay. Leave aside documents. Let's not look at
- 22 documents. Turn it over, or don't look at it, if you don't
- 23 | mind. Right?
- 24 **A.** Okay.
- 25  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The union has eligibility requirements, correct?

- $1 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{For} \|$
- 2 Q. Well, let's go back then. Let's go back then, if you
- 3 | will, to the very first exhibit -- I have to get the number
- 4 | out -- which is the PAPI agreement.
- 5 Have you got it? I have to give you the number, I'm
- 6 | sure.
- 7 **A.** What number?
- 8 Q. Here it is. It's Trial Exhibit 125. That exhibit --
- 9 A. Excuse me. I don't have it yet.
- 10 Q. All right. Sorry.
- 11 **A.** I do now.
- 12 MR. PARCHER: Bear with me a moment, Your Honor.
- 13 Sorry, Judge.
- 14 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  The agreement we're talking about now, sir, is the license
- 16 | agreement between the NFLPA and Players Inc, that establishes
- 17 | the right of Players Inc to do the licensing and marketing of
- 18 | the players that the union gets to sign general license
- 19 | authorizations, correct?
- 20 | A. Uhm --
- 21 Q. That's what the document does?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Yes. And if you turn your attention to page 7 of that
- 24 | trial exhibit, subdivision C, do you see it there, page 7 down
- 25 | at the bottom?

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

10

11

MR. PARCHER: If we can blow it up a little bit.

(Document displayed.)

### BY MR. PARCHER:

Q. "Licensor and the licensee shall establish eligibility requirements, as mutually agreed to in writing from time to time, upon which licenses shall make royalty payments to players. Initial eligibility requirements are set forth in attachment D."

Do you know what attachment D is?

- **A.** I don't recall without seeing the document.
- 12 Q. Is there any rule or regulation, in other words in the
  13 charter, the bylaws and regulations that talks about
  14 eligibility requirements? Never mind what it references. That
- 15 talks about eligibility requirements that's promulgated by the
- 16 | union?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What is that? Would you please tell His Honor and our 19 jury that.
- 20 A. The board of player representatives of the NFLPA
  21 decided -- and it's reflected in minutes from the meeting at
  22 which they first decided to establish eligibility provisions
- 23 for players to -- to get the royalty payments from Players Inc.

And those rules were established by the board of player reps and in writing in the minutes of the meeting.

- 1 | Q. And those eligibility requirements talk about players that
- 2 are going to receive monies from Players Inc. That's the
- 3 | 37 percent, right?
- 4 | A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Those players, you say, only refer to active players,
- 6 | right?
- 7 **A.** That's correct.
- 8  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  So that the eligibility requirements or rules promulgated
- 9 by the union exclude retired players that have signed the GLAs
- 10 || in the rules?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, am I right in saying that there's nothing that
- 13 | would have prevented the NFLPA from establishing eligibility
- 14 requirements that included the retired players? Do you agree
- 15 | with that?
- 16 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 17  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So they could have done it if they wanted to, but they
- 18 | chose not to, right?
- 19  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  That's right.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 Now, I would like to turn to Trial Exhibit 28, which
- 22 | is the -- oh, before -- I'm sorry. Before I turn -- I was
- 23 going to return to Electronic Arts, but I'll get there in a
- 24 | minute.
- 25 You never told the retired players, whether it was in

- 1 the group licensing or any other way, that they weren't
- 2 eligible under the rules promulgated by the union? You never
- 3 | told them that?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Actually I did, often.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . You did? Where did you do that?
- 6 A. When I described the circumstances of the involvement of
- 7 | retired players with Players Inc at the retired players'
- 8 | conventions that I attended and reported to the players at, and
- 9 at retired players' chapter meetings.
- 10 Q. So you would tell thousands of retired players over the
- 11 | years "you're not eligible, but sign this GLA anyway"? Is that
- 12 | what your testimony is?
- 13 Yes or no.
- 14 A. Uhm, that's correct.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Do you have any correspondence, memoranda or other
- 16 document that happens to memorialize the fact that you did this
- 17 | at all the conventions or meetings or whatever it is that
- 18 | you're referring to?
- 19  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  Uhm, I have no idea without checking the files.
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Well, I can tell you, sir, that all relevant information,
- 21 || I believe, was subpoenaed by the law firms representing the
- 22 | plaintiffs. And I, in good faith, have no knowledge of any
- 23 | such document. Do you?
- 24 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object.
- 25 THE COURT: Is this a speech?

1	MR. PARCHER: I don't mean it to be a speech.
2	THE COURT: But, look
3	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. I'll move on.
4	THE COURT: You understand the problem. You're not
5	under oath. You're not a witness.
6	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: What was produced in this case we're
8	not if we're going to get into that we're going to get into
9	it through proper witnesses.
10	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: So lets
12	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. I stand corrected.
13	BY MR. PARCHER:
14	Q. As you sit here today, you know of no such document,
15	correct?
16	A. I don't know whether there is or isn't.
17	Q. Okay. But as you sit here today you can't tell Judge
18	Alsup or anybody on the jury about such a document. You don't
19	know if it exists or not?
20	MR. KESSLER: Objection. Argumentative.
21	THE COURT: No, that's a fair point.
22	THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall.
23	BY MR. PARCHER:
24	Q. Wouldn't that be a pretty important point to tell these
25	retired fellows, your hopes and dreams for revenue from

royalties are in the GLA, but you're not eligible? Would that 2 be something important that you'd remember? 3 MR. KESSLER: Objection. Compound and argumentative. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. PARCHER: 7 Q. But you still say you don't recall, right? I said I can't recall a specific document in which I could 8 9 point you to that that was stated. 10 Q. Okay. 11 I don't know whether there is or isn't. 12 MR. PARCHER: Okay. Turn our attention to the Electronic Arts agreement, which is Exhibit 28. I don't mean 13 14 to be presumptuous, but I assume I can just move that into 15 evidence? MR. KESSLER: Could you tell me what it is? 16 17 MR. PARCHER: I said the Electronic Arts license 18 agreement. 19 MR. KESSLER: No objection. MR. PARCHER: Which is Trial Exhibit 28. 2.0 (Trial Exhibit 28 was admitted into evidence.) 2.1 BY MR. PARCHER: 22 23 Q. Turn to page 15. Have you got it in front of you? 24 Α. Yes, I do.

25

Q.

Turn to page 15 for a minute.

- That's your signature on page 15, signed as president for National Football League Players Incorporated?
- $3 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes}, \text{ it is.}$
- 4 | Q. And if you recognize it, the signature for Electronic Arts
- 5 | is -- I can't read his --
- 6 A. Joel Linzner.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Joel Linzner, who was what, the head of what?
- 8 **A.** Senior vice president of business affairs.
- 9 Q. At Electronic Arts --
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. -- during this time?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Now, look at the -- at the top of -- if you just don't
- 14 mind interchanging for a minute, go back to Trial Exhibit 110,
- 15 the GLA, retired players GLA.
- 16 MR. PARCHER: Can we go back for just a minute?
- 17 | BY MR. PARCHER:
- 18 | Q. You pointed this out yourself, didn't you?
- 19 | Way up at the top so everybody can see it.
- 20 | That document is headed "retired player group
- 21 | licensing authorization form, " correct?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 | Q. Now, turn back your attention to the Trial Exhibit 28. Do
- 24 | you see anywhere in the heading of Trial Exhibit 28 where it
- 25 | says this is an active player GLA licensing agreement?

- A. Do I see --
- $2 | \mathbf{Q}$ . At the top?
- 3 **A.** In the first paragraph?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . No. Excuse me. We'll get to the first paragraph in a
- 5 | minute. I'm asking you to look at the heading. This says
- 6 | "licensing agreement."
- 7 | It does not say "active player group licensing
- 8 | agreement."
- 9 You agree with that, right? Obviously, it speaks for
- 10 litself.
- 11 **A.** Says "license agreement," I agree.
- 12 Q. You didn't bother to reference the fact, so there would be
- 13 | no ambiguity that this is only applying to actives or actives
- 14 and ad hocs, as you would say, correct?
- 15 | A. It is --
- 16 **Q.** Just say "yes" or "no."
- 17 **A.** I was just trying to understand the question.
- 18 Q. The question is: You didn't find it necessary to avoid
- 19 | any ambiguity -- withdrawn.
- 20 | In the retired players group licensing authorization
- 21 | you found it necessary -- you're one of the persons who had a
- 22 | lot of input into the document, right?
- 23 Correct?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You found it necessary -- I don't have the exact words,

- 1 | it's set up on the screen -- to label it "retired group players
- 2 | authorization agreement, " or whatever the words were, but to
- 3 make it clear what you were referring to, right?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Yes.
- 5 \| Q. Okay. You didn't find it necessary to do that in this
- 6 | licensing agreement in the headnotes." Just --
- 7 **A.** That's correct.
- 8 ||**Q.** Thank you.
- 9 Now, if you turn your attention to paragraph 1(a).
- 10 And I might add 1(b), both. If you can do it. If not, I'll
- 11 | break it down into two questions.
- 12 Would you agree that paragraphs 1(a) and 1(b) are,
- 13 | for all practical purposes, boilerplate language that the union
- 14 or that Players Inc asserts into the license.
- 15 These are not negotiated clauses, 1(a) and 1(b), 1(a)
- 16 and 2, correct?
- If that's not a clear question, I'll ask it again.
- 18 | Sounds like I muddled it up.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I'm a little confused.
- 20 | Q. I'm sorry. I'm sure -- I'm sure that I somehow garbled it
- 21 | in the process. I'll break it down. I'm trying to do too much
- 22 | at once.
- 23 Let's just go to 1(a) for just a minute, all right?
- 24 **A.** Okay.
- 25  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  The language of 1(a) you -- "you" being Players Inc, "you"

- 1 | being the union -- you're the author of that language, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 | Q. This isn't language that Electronic Arts had any input
- 4 | into. This is just what you're saying to them, right?
- 5 A. That's correct --
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- $7 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \|$  in this paragraph.
- 8 Q. Now, I'm referring to paragraph 1(a).
- 9 Okay. Now, your answer would be the same
- 10 | presumably -- correct me if I'm wrong -- about paragraph 2, the
- 11 | so-called -- if we can get that up here for a minute,
- 12 underneath it.
- 13 | (Document displayed.)
- 14 If we could get paragraph 2. That's the same thing.
- 15 | It's not a negotiated clause. It's something that the union
- 16 writes into the license.
- 17 **A.** That's not correct.
- 18 **Q.** This is negotiated?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** What part of the language was negotiated by
- 21 | Electronic Arts?
- 22  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  Well, among the things are the description of the -- for
- 23 example, are the description of what games are covered as
- 24 | described herein --
- 25 **Q.** Okay.

```
1
          -- by the license.
 2
         Okay. I'll take it back. I'll try to keep it -- I'll
   Q.
 3
   make the question narrower. I appreciate it, sir. I wasn't
 4
    turning over to the other page. For some reason I'm fixated on
 5
    the paragraph that begins paragraph 2.
 6
              You're quite correct. It goes on.
 7
              My apologies. Just give me just one minute, okay?
              Okay. Let's just go this far, all right?
 8
 9
              I'm on 2 now, the granted license, subdivision (a).
                   "Upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set
10
11
    forth, Players Inc hereby grants the licensee and licensee
   hereby accepts the exclusive right, license and privilege of
12
13
   utilizing the trademarks and names of Players Inc, which may be
    amended from time to time by Players Inc and the names,
14
15
    likenesses, including without limitation, numbers, pictures,
   photographs, voices, facsimiles, signatures and/or biographical
16
17
    information (hereinafter identified) of the NFL players
    referenced in paragraph 1(a) above."
18
              That's just strictly your language, right?
19
2.0
        Yes.
21
        Okay. Thank you.
22
              Now, let's turn to attachment A. Have you got it
23
    there?
            It's at the very, very back. Here, I'll help you.
24
              MR. PARCHER: May I, Your Honor?
25
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
```

1 THE WITNESS: I've got it. It's right here. 2 MR. PARCHER: Got it? 3 Can we put that on the board, please? 4 Thank you. 5 (Document displayed.) 6 BY MR. PARCHER: 7 This document -- it's your position, is it not, that this document is strictly for active player -- strictly -- strictly 8 9 a form of an active player group licensing authorization; am I correct about that? 10 11 Yes, you are. And I need to ask you a question before I get to my point. 12 13 When a dollar comes in to Players Inc from a licensee, because of the utilization of six or more active or 14 15 retired players, but we'll limit it for a moment just to actives. We'll just limit it for the moment. 16 17 Players Inc takes the dollar, keeps a certain portion 18 of it. Gives a certain portion over to the union. And the 19 balance, for most of your tenure, 37 percent gets divided 2.0 equally amongst the players, right? 21 Right. Α. 22 Now, amongst those players, how many -- how many players? 23 1800 players? I'm not holding you to the number.

24

25

A.

I'm sorry.

I was starting to answer before you finished

Okay. Go ahead. Say what you wanted to say.

the question.

5

6

8

- Q. Yeah. And I said "1800." And it might be a little more or a little less. I should have said I got that from other
- 4 | documents. But I'm sure it's a fluid --
  - MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object. I don't know what this is. It's not a question.
- 7 | THE COURT: Let's just ask a fresh question.
  - MR. PARCHER: Whatever it is, it's not a joke.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, you did get off into talking about
  10 1800. It was going on and on. So let's just ask a fresh
  11 question.
- 12 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I will.
- 13 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 14 Q. Amongst the active players who signed group licensing
- 15 agreement, there are a number of active players whose names and
- 16 likenesses are never used by a particular licensee, correct?
- 17  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  By a particular licensee, yes, that's correct.
- 18  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So that there are times, whether it's the fellow who never
- 19 | got into a game, or for whatever the reason is, there are a
- 20 | number of men whose names and likenesses were not used in any
- 21 particular license. That's true, right?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. Right. Nevertheless, when the union distributes the
- 24 | 37 percent, it distributes that 37 percent equally to every one
- 25 of the players, including the players who are actives whose

- names and likenesses were never used, correct?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, would you agree that the decision to do that -- 4 withdrawn.
- Would you agree -- agree that there is no reference in this attachment A, part of Trial Exhibit 28, to sharing the money equally -- share and share alike -- between actives, whether they're used or not used?
- 9 Yes or no?
- 10 **A.** In which document? I was confused.
- 11 Q. Oh, attachment A.
- 12 | A. Attachment A?
- 13 Q. Wait a minute. I'm calling it "attachment A." We're
- 14 clear what we're talking about. We're talking about an active
- 15 group player license authorization. You understand that,
- 16 | right, sir?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And attachment A is simply -- it's not clear on the board
- 19 | up there but attachment A, if we can make it so everybody can
- 20 | see it -- there you go.
- 21 Attachment A is simply an example of a group license
- 22 | authorization form that's for actives, right?
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Right. And I'm saying to you, would you agree with me,
- 25 | that nowhere in this document does it say that when money comes

- in from licensing it will be shared not only with the active players whose names and licenses are used, but with the active players whose names and likenesses are not used?
- 4 It doesn't appear there?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. So this is a decision -- whether it's correct or incorrect, arbitrary or not -- this is a decision that's made unilaterally by the -- by the union and Players Inc in
- 10 Yes or no?

- 11 **A.** The decision is made by the NFL Players Association board 12 of player representatives, the governing body.
- 13 Q. Yes, but it's not an obligation. It's decision on your
- 14 part. And you and Mr. Upshaw -- correct me if I'm wrong,

determining what it wants to do with the money.

- 15 you're the engines of these organizations, right? You are the
- 16 | leaders. You're the trusted servants.
- 17 MR. KESSLER: I would object to the compound form of 18 that question.
- 19 MR. PARCHER: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 21 **Q.** You're the leaders, are you not?
- 22 **A.** Is the question whether we are the leaders?
- 23 **Q.** Yes.
- 24 **A.** Yes, that was true.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

- You're also the trusted servants, if I might say, the person that everybody looks to, correct?
- $3 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Sure.

2

- $4 | \mathbf{Q}$ . If they're part of it.
- $5 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Sure} \|$
- 6 Q. These men, whether they're actives or retireds, they don't
- 7 | show up with lawyers, do they, and start negotiating with you
- 8 | with respect to that -- with respect to whether all the actives
- 9 get it or just the actives whose names and likenesses were
- 10 | actually used?
- 11 **A.** The decision of the governing body. They have advice of
- 12 counsel, and they make -- they make many decisions.
- 13  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  The "advice of counsel" is the union's counsel, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And the advice of counsel is after consultation with you
- 16 | and, of course, at the time, Mr. Upshaw, correct?
- 17 A. Certainly.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And yet, you make the unilateral decision, notwithstanding
- 19 | Trial Exhibit 110, the retired players GLA, that not one penny
- 20 of that money will be shared with them, because their name or
- 21 | likeness wasn't used. Am I correct?
- 22 MR. KESSLER: I object, Your Honor. It's pure
- 23 | argument. It's not even a question.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 | Please answer.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. It was at the end of the 2 question, and I couldn't get the whole question. I apologize 3 for that. 4 BY MR. PARCHER: 5 You don't have to apologize. You don't have to. It could 6 just as easily be me as you. Believe me. I've gotten that 7 message. MR. PARCHER: Would you mind reading it back so that 8 9 I don't garble it up? 10 (The question was read by the reporter as follows:) "QUESTION: And yet, you make the unilateral 11 12 decision, notwithstanding Trial Exhibit 110, 13 the retired players GLA, that not one penny of that money will be shared with them, 14 15 because their name or likeness wasn't used. Am I correct?" 16 17 BY MR. PARCHER: 18 Q. Yes or no, sir? 19 If you mean by "you," you don't mean me, you mean the 2.0 board of player reps. The what? 2.1 Q.

- 22 **A.** The board of player reps. The governing body of the
- 23 | National Football League Players Association, the board of
- 24 | directors.
- 25  $\mathbf{Q}$ . You keep -- I want to ask you something about that. I

1 meant the union and Players Inc. But I want to ask you a 2 question about that.

This is -- several times during the course of this cross-examination you've sought to distance yourself from the decision of the board of player representatives, have you not?

A. No.

3

4

5

6

- 7 **Q.** These board of player representatives are advised by you, 8 are they not?
- 9  $\mathbf{A}$ . Sure.
- 10 **Q.** And they're advised by your -- "your" being the union's -- 11 lawyer; are they not?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So they're not men who show up with the best trial lawyer from San Francisco and say, okay, this is what you want to do;
  let's have a discussion. They're relying on you -- "you" being you and Mr. Upshaw and your general counsel, correct?
- 17 A. Certainly.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Thank you.

Now, this attachment A, the active group licensing authorization, not only does it say that the money that comes in should be shared equally with the actives, but it also -- whether they're used or not -- but it doesn't say "don't share it with the retireds," either, does it?

24 **A.** No.

19

2.0

21

22

23

25  $\mathbf{Q}$ . So regardless of fame or fortune, interest or lack of

- 1 linterest, whoever you are if you're an active you get a
  2 share -- you get a share of the revenue, right?
- 3  $\|\mathbf{A}$ . If you meet the eligibility rules.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . Well, the eligibility requirement -- we could go back and
- 5 look at it, but I don't want to take the time -- is not a very
- 6 | big hurdle for most of the -- most of the men are eligible if
- 7 | they've been playing for the team for a period of time, right?
- 8 A. I just meant it doesn't cover every single active player.
- 9 Q. Okay. But 98 percent of them, 99 percent of them?
- 10 | A. I would have to do the math. I don't know.
- 11  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The vast majority of them?
- 12 A. That's fair.
- 13 Q. Right. Okay. Now, can I just ask you something?
- 14 | A. Sure.

2.0

- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Did it ever occur to you that you might have a conflict of
- 16 | interest representing the retireds in trying to get them
- 17 | licenses and the actives in trying to get them licenses, from
- 18 | time to time? Did that ever occur to you?
- 19 A. No. I don't believe that's the case.
  - Q. So you walk up to somebody and you say:
- 21 | "I'm desperate. I'm desperate for you to take
- 22 some of these retireds. Come on, you know. I've been giving
- 23 | you exclusive licenses for years. I want you to take some of
- 24 | these retireds so that they can make some dough, too."
- 25 Immediately, if you say that to them, if they put

them in you're taking money away from the actives, aren't you? Uhm, I'm not understanding the question. 2 Α. 3 0. Electronic Arts, it doesn't have to be Electronic Arts. 4 Could be anybody. I don't know why I'm focused on 5 Electronic Arts, you know. 6 A licensee, you go up to the licensee and you say: 7 "Take a license. I got a ton of guys, 1800 or so actives, 2,000 or so retireds." 8 9 And they say to you: "Ah, we prefer the actives to the retireds," 10 11 right? 12 Yes. 13 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I would object to 14 hypothetical questions as opposed to facts that actually 15 happened. He's not an expert witness. 16 THE COURT: Well, this is going to your argument about conflict of interest. 17 18 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Well, but the witness said he hadn't 19 2.0 considered any. So why isn't this just argument? 2.1 MR. PARCHER: Because I believe, sir, under 22 cross-examination I'm entitled to probe the credibility of that 23 answer and whether it's credible at the end of the day that he 24 never considered it. Or, more importantly, whether he has one 25 or not, i.e., a conflict.

1	Could I have just a little more latitude, please?
2	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
3	MR. PARCHER: Thank you.
4	BY MR. PARCHER:
5	Q. I know according to your position understand, it's not
6	ours but according to your position no money ever came in
7	for the retired players on a group licensing situation, right?
8	A. You mean, other than ad hoc?
9	Q. Ad hoc is not what we're talking about.
10	A. I'm only asking, sir.
11	That's correct.
12	Q. Right. But if it had, then it would have to be divided
13	between the retireds and the actives, right?
14	A. No.
15	Q. They keep it all to themselves?
16	A. It would be divided among the retired players.
17	Q. Not a penny would go to the actives?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Let's go back to Trial Exhibit 110. Let's go back to I
20	think it's paragraph 5. It's not numbered, but I think it's
21	the fifth paragraph.
22	(Document displayed.)
23	That paragraph says:
24	"It is further understood that the monies
25	generated by such licensing of retired player group rights will

be divided between the player, and an escrow account for all eligible NFLPA members who have signed the group licensing authorization form."

We've established that no retired player is an eligible player under the rules and regulations.

MR. KESSLER: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates the testimony of this witness today.

THE COURT: Well, I think that's correct. I think -I'm not sure that that's correct. So let's ask the witness
again. Maybe it is correct.

Let's ask him if eligible NFLPA members, as used in that agreement, refer to retired active or both.

THE WITNESS: Uhm, eligible NFLPA members referred to in this document refers to retired players only.

## BY MR. PARCHER:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

Q. Where does it say that? You -- excuse me. Withdrawn.

You're coming to this court and you're saying that's what it was. Did you -- where does it say that in there so we can read it in plain English language, without a Ph.D. from Berkeley? Where could we see that?

- 21 A. At the top, where it says, "Retired Player Group Licensing
- 22 Authorization Form. This is about retired players.
- 23 **Q.** So when you use the word "eligible" here, you mean it
  24 completely differently than the word "eligible" when you made
  25 your rules and regulations as directed by the licensing

- 1 | agreement between Players Association and Players Inc; is that 2 | what you're telling this court and this jury?
- 3 A. Yes, because --
  - **Q.** I don't need to hear the "because."

That's what you're telling this jury, that when you

were directed under the agreement that you and Mr. Upshaw

negotiated with you and Mr. Upshaw, to form eligibility

requirements, and you excluded the retired players, you were

not meaning for anybody reading this group licensing

authorization to think that an eligible NFLPA member who signed

a group licensing authorization form would be sharing -- would

be sharing in this; is that what you're saying?

- 13 **A.** Yes, sir, it is.
- 14 Q. In other words, "eligible" means this on the left,
- 15 | "eligible" means that on the right. Is that what your position
- 16 | is?

2.1

22

23

24

25

4

- 17 **A.** I'm not -- I don't understand the context of that 18 question.
- 19 Q. Well, the context of the question is: Isn't that a little
  20 bit of -- trying to pick my word carefully here.

THE COURT: Mr. Parcher, he's acknowledged that he has two different meanings in the two different contexts, or the two contexts, whether they are different on not. But to say "the left" and "the right," that's just gilding the lily in argument, so --

**MR. PARCHER:** Okay.

THE COURT: That is --

3 MR. PARCHER: When I say "okay" I don't mean any 4 disrespect.

THE COURT: You can stick with this, but please don't

7 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor.

use those kind of argumentative questions.

#### BY MR. PARCHER:

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

- Q. So let me understand something. According to your -- by the way, you never sat down with the retired players and
- 11 explained the meaning of this document to them, did you, line
- 12 by line, word by word, thought by thought?
- 13 **A.** Uhm, not in that way.
- 14 Q. And you never told the players, the retired players in
- 15 this document, what percentage of monies they would be getting
- 16 other than in this paragraph, right, where you don't refer to
- 17 | percentages?
- 18  $\|A.$  Because there wasn't any money. That's correct.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  No. You didn't know there wasn't going to be any money
- 20 | when you drew paragraph 5 up, did you?
- 21 | A. Well --
- 22 **Q.** Did you?
- 23 **A.** No.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  As a matter of fact, from 1994 to 2007, every single year
- 25 of your life you thought there was going to be money because

- 1 you kept chasing these guys down saying, "Please keep signing these GLAs, it's important," right?
- 3 A. We -- we encouraged players to sign them on a regular 4 basis.
- $5 | \mathbf{Q}$ . Yes, sir.

7

8

10

So a dollar comes in from whomever, it doesn't matter, a licensing dollar, gross revenue of a dollar comes in.

Three active players -- excuse me -- five active players, and, he should be so lucky, one retired player are the group that was licensed.

11 What happens to the dollar?

- 12 A. In the case you just described, that would be -- that
  13 would be shared by all of the players. And it wouldn't be
  14 retained by Players Inc.
- 15 **Q.** In other words, the Players Inc would take no commissions 16 at all, right?
- 17 **A.** If it's a 35 or fewer program, the royalties would be paid directly to the players.
- Q. Make it 36, 38. I don't know the technical rules of the company. Make it some number that doesn't have some technical rule that makes the answer to my question different.
- 22 **A.** Okay.
- 23 **Q.** 40 guys. I don't know the number, please. 40 guys and 24 one retired. What happens to the money?
- 25 || **A.** Those would be ad hoc arrangements, and the retired player

- 1 would keep the money that was paid to the retired player.
- 2 Q. Excuse me. Not an ad hoc agent, so then we'll say three
- 3 | retired players.
- 4 A. Those retired players would keep all of the money paid to
- 5 | them.
- 6 Q. Because you say they would be ad hocs, they wouldn't be
- 7 part of a group?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9  $\mathbf{Q}$ . So that -- go back to the second paragraph, please.
- 10 | (Document displayed.)
- 11 So that notwithstanding the fact that group licensing
- 12 programs are defined as programs in which a licensee utilizes a
- 13 | total of six or more present or former NFL player images in
- 14 | conjunction, so on and so forth, you say that in a situation
- 15 | where the actives and the retireds are combined, they're ad
- 16 hocs? Is that your position.
- 17 **A.** The retireds, yes.
- 18 || Q. Okay. When I say "okay," sir, I mean, I hear what you
- 19 || say.
- 20 Now, do you take the position that you -- and "you"
- 21 | is the defendants -- used your best efforts, did everything you
- 22 | could to market and license the retired players who signed
- 23 || GLAs?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Uh-huh. As we sit here today, can you show the Court and

- 1 the jury one single marketing plan, one single written
- 2 | marketing plan to market retired players? Just say can you?
- $3 \parallel \mathbf{A}$ . Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Tell us about it.
- 5 | A. There were, uhm, any number of presentations made to
- 6 licensees about retired players.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Excuse me. I didn't ask you that. I'm being very clear.
- 8 | You're a marketing and licensing person, aren't you?
- 9  $\|\mathbf{A}$ . Yes.
- 10 Q. That's your expertise.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Am I correct? Am I saying it right? I don't want to be
- 13 too much. I don't want to be too little here.
- 14 **A.** That's part of my experience, yes.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Right. Well, it's a considerable part of your experience,
- 16 | no?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  It's an important part of your experience, no?
- 19 | A. Right.
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Right. You know what a marketing plan is, don't you?
- 21 I understand you take the position that when you saw
- 22 | a licensee you asked him to take retireds. That's your
- 23 position, right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Now, you come back in the first year, everybody said "no."

1	Not to gild the lily, for 16 years they said "no."
2	Did you ever prepare a marketing plan:
3	"Okay, guys."
4	How many people are in the marketing department when
5	you were there? I'm not holding you to the exact number. You
6	don't have to
7	A. Six, seven.
8	Q. Seven?
9	A. Six.
10	Q. Six or seven. It's okay. It's okay. A number of people,
11	right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did you ever come back and say: "Let's have a marketing
14	plan"?
15	They're saying "no." They're saying "no."
16	Maybe if they had a video of Herb Adderley doing
17	something great, maybe if they saw Clifton playing wide
18	receiver, and so on down the line I'm singling them out
19	because they're here testifying.
20	"Let's get a marketing plan going."
21	Withdrawn.
22	Let's just take an assumption.
23	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I would move to strike. He
24	made a speech, and then he withdrew it.
25	MR. PARCHER: Then, let's stick with it. Let's stick

With it.

1

4

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

### 3 BY MR. PARCHER:

- **Q.** You know what a marketing plan is, right?
- $5 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} \|$  I do.
- 6 Q. Okay. You produced a marketing plan for anyone in the 16 years, one that says:
- 8 "We're in trouble. Let's try this. Let's do 9 that. Let's pitch it this way. Let's make a video. Let's
- 10 | something"?
- 11 A. We made a video.
- 12 Q. Excuse me. Can you produce a marketing plan?
- 13 A. The marketing retired players was built into the fabric of 14 everything we did as a company, including --
- 15 O. Excuse me.
- 16  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I'm not finished with my answer.
- 17 MR. PARCHER: I move to strike. I move to strike.
- 18 | The witness can't just arbitrarily --
- THE COURT: You are -- he's sitting up there right now. He didn't bring a briefcase. He didn't bring a pile of
- 21 documents. It's unfair to ask him can he produce a marketing
- 22 plan.
- So you can ask him can he describe one? Was one ever written up? Those would be fair questions.
- 25 But to ask him to produce something cold like this.

You didn't subpoena this from him, and he doesn't even work at 2 the company anymore. I think that's an unfair question. 3 You have a fair point you're trying to get at, so you 4 should go about it with less ambiguous questions, like: 5 "Can you describe any marketing plan that was 6 directed toward the GLA for retired players?" 7 That would be a very fair question. MR. PARCHER: I would like to call upon this court to 8 9 direct this witness -- apparently, we're not going to be finished today. I don't say it arbitrarily, but apparently 10 11 we're not. 12 THE COURT: He doesn't work for the company. 13 MR. PARCHER: But he's under Your Honor's aegis right 14 now, and I assure you --15 BY MR. PARCHER: How many hours did you spend, if at all, with Mr. Kessler 16 17 last night? 18 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I would object. That's fair. That's fair. Goes to bias. 19 THE COURT: 2.0 That's a legitimate question. 2.1 Please answer. 22 THE WITNESS: Maybe four. 23 BY MR. PARCHER: 24 Q. Maybe four? 25 Α. (Nods head.)

- **Q.** Did he twist your arm to do it?
- 2 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object to that question.
- THE WITNESS: Did he twist my arm to do what?
- 4 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 5 Q. To meet with him for four hours. You got a lot of
- 6 | valuable time with the Screen Actors Guild and all.
- 7 Did you have to be pressed to spend four hours with
- 8 | counsel?

- 9 **A.** Uhm, that's probably a fair description.
- 10 Q. You were pressed?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 | Q. Right. I'm asking you --
- 13 A. In terms of my time. My time is particularly tight right
- 14 now, so that the amount of time that was allocated was a
- 15 | significant issue for me.
- 16 Q. Yes. I understand that. I respect that.
- The point that I'm trying to make is, you're capable,
- 18 || if you wish, even though you're not with the company anymore,
- 19 of getting somebody in the company to give you the courtesy of
- 20 | allowing you to receive the marketing plans that you say were
- 21 | prepared and bring them with you next time you're -- next time
- 22 you come to court.
- 23 Can you do that?
- 24  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  Uhm, I have no idea. I don't work there anymore.
- 25  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Wait a minute. I know you don't work there anymore.

1	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object to this line.				
2	MR. PARCHER: Withdrawn.				
3	BY MR. PARCHER:				
4	Q. That was Judge Alsup's point. I'm making a different				
5	point. You don't have enemies there, do you?				
6	A. I have no idea.				
7	Q. Really?				
8	A. How can I answer that?				
9	THE COURT: This is not a legitimate line of				
10	questions. This witness does not work for the company anymore.				
11	What counsel wants me to do is order him to go back				
12	to the company where is the company located?				
13	MR. KESSLER: In Washington, D.C.				
14	THE COURT: Washington, D.C. Go there, go through				
15	old files and see if he can find marketing plans.				
16	MR. PARCHER: No.				
17	THE COURT: They may or may not exist. What Counsel				
18	is trying to show is that they never existed and they can't				
19	produce them.				
20	I don't know if that's true or not, but as a				
21	concession to the shortness of life				
22	(Laughter)				
23	THE COURT: litigation has got to come to end at				
24	some pont, and there's no way the Court is going to order this				
25	witness to do that.				

1	MR. PARCHER: Okay.			
2	THE COURT: This is out of line, Counsel.			
3	MR. PARCHER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.			
4	THE COURT: This is out of line.			
5	MR. PARCHER: Line.			
б	THE COURT: Stick to something we're taking up the			
7	time of ten people here.			
8	MR. PARCHER: I believe that I'm doing that in good			
9	faith here to make my point.			
10	THE COURT: You're going to get me upset. I am			
11	sorry. I apologize to you. Stick to something this witness			
12	can answer as opposed to would he go back to Washington,			
13	D.C			
14	MR. PARCHER: No, no.			
15	THE COURT: when he's employed by somebody else,			
16	and start sifting through old documents.			
17	MR. PARCHER: Right. Most respectfully I know			
18	Your Honor is annoyed at me. Most respectfully, I'm not asking			
19	him to go back to Washington, D.C.			
20	I'm asking him, by the sake of Mr. Kessler, to pick			
21	up the telephone.			
22	THE COURT: The answer is no. The answer is no. And			
23	the jury is going it disregard this whole line.			
24	That is way out of line.			
	Inde 15 way ode of 11ne.			

1	THE COURT: We're not going to ask this witness to go			
2	do that. He's here to give his testimony under oath at your			
3	request. I ordered him to be here.			
4	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.			
5	THE COURT: For you.			
6	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.			
7	THE COURT: So just take advantage of the answer that			
8	he can give under oath from personal knowledge.			
9	MR. PARCHER: Okay.			
10	THE COURT: I'm going to bring this to an end if you			
11	don't hurry up and start asking questions.			
12	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.			
13	THE COURT: All right. Come to your next question.			
14	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.			
15	BY MR. PARCHER:			
16	Q. I'd like to read to you a series of questions and answers			
	Q. I'd like to read to you a series of questions and answers			
17	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some			
17 18				
	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some			
18	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some time ago. It was several months ago. I don't remember when it			
18 19	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some time ago. It was several months ago. I don't remember when it was.			
18 19 20	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some time ago. It was several months ago. I don't remember when it was.  MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object. I don't think			
18 19 20 21	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some time ago. It was several months ago. I don't remember when it was.  MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object. I don't think this is appropriate use of deposition.			
18 19 20 21 22	that were put to Mr. Upshaw under oath in his deposition some time ago. It was several months ago. I don't remember when it was.  MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I object. I don't think this is appropriate use of deposition.  THE COURT: It's appropriate. It was a party			

1	THE COURT: Any objection?
2	MR. PARCHER: I'm just going to read the deposition
3	page, Your Honor.
4	Does Your Honor have his own copy?
5	THE COURT: No. You didn't give it to me yet. If
6	you think I need it, you should give it to me.
7	MR. PARCHER: I just think you should know what we're
8	talking about. I assume Your Honor wants it.
9	THE COURT: If there's an objection, I've got to rule
10	on it. So far there's no objection.
11	THE CLERK: Thanks.
12	THE COURT: All right. Page 99?
13	MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: What's the line number?
15	MR. PARCHER: Line 7.
16	THE COURT: Through? Line 15, is that what you want
17	to read?
18	MR. PARCHER: Yes. I would actually like to start
19	you have the whole transcript. Is that it, Judge?
20	THE COURT: I do.
21	MR. PARCHER: I would like to start on page 98, line
22	10. I'm not going to read everything. Some of it. It's the
23	Upshaw deposition.
24	THE COURT: All right. The Court is going to allow
25	you to do this.

1 Is there an objection? 2 MR. KESSLER: There is going to be errata, Your 3 There was a correction to this, Your Honor. 4 reads line 15, he should read the corrected version. 5 MR. PARCHER: Mr. Kessler, I intend to read the 6 original answer and then the corrected version. 7 THE COURT: All right. Read both, and I'll explain. Remember I told you about depositions? Well, 8 Mr. Upshaw was also deposed on February of this year, and he gave the following answers and testimony in part. Then, he had 10 the opportunity to do a correction, and he did. But both can 11 be laid before the jury to assess the testimony. 12 13 Then, presumably this is going to be foundation for some subsequent question with this witness. But this is okay. 14 15 You can do this. Go ahead. 16 BY MR. PARCHER: 17 18 I'm asking you whether you -- going to ask you whether you 19 believe these answers are accurate and conform to your understanding of the situation. Just bear with me and you'll 2.0 see what I mean. 2.1 22 MR. KESSLER: Well, Your Honor, I might have an 23 objection to that question, because I think this is -- we don't 24 know the witness has a foundation to know. There's a lot he

25

proposes to read.

1 MR. PARCHER: Okay. I will find out. I'll read it 2 first. 3 THE COURT: This is a short enough segment that this 4 is a fair question. I read it myself. If the witness doesn't 5 believe -- it's up to him to decide and up to the jury to 6 evaluate the witness's answer on that. 7 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. THE COURT: I want you to read it exactly, warts and 8 9 Any mistakes in this transcript they stick in the transcript. 10 11 MR. PARCHER: Of course. THE COURT: Go ahead. 12 13 MR. PARCHER: Right. So this is on page 98, line 10. "QUESTION: Okay. 14 15 It's by our side questioning Mr. Upshaw. 16 "QUESTION: Okay. And do you make efforts to 17 get the licensees to designate retired 18 players? 19 It's up to the licensee. "ANSWER: It's 2.0 their business. They determine what's best 2.1 for their business. We have no input 22 whatsoever in telling them who they should 23 use and who they should not use. They have 24 their own company. We just facilitate as 25 much as we possibly can to help.

1	"QUESTION: But you don't make any					
2	affirmative efforts to sell them the images					
3	of retired players as a group or					
4	individually?					
5	"ANSWER: I don't run any licensees' company.					
6	That's up to them."					
7	BY MR. PARCHER:					
8	Q. Was Mr. Upshaw accurate in his statement in his					
9	deposition, yes or no?					
10	A. I couldn't understand the word you said before "that's up					
11	to them, " the last part.					
12	Q. Oh?					
13	A. You were pretty close to the microphone.					
14	Q. Wait a minute.					
15	THE COURT: I'll read it.					
16	"ANSWER: I don't run any licenses"					
17	MR. PARCHER: "Licensees."					
18	THE COURT: "licensees' company. That's up to					
19	them."					
20	That was the answer given by Mr. Upshaw.					
21	Now, was any part of that in the errata?					
22	MR. KESSLER: No.					
23	MR. PARCHER: No.					
24	THE COURT: The question is: Do you agree with					
25	what the testimony given by Mr. Upshaw as read just now?					

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.			
2	MR. PARCHER: Now, on page 99, if Your Honor pleases,			
3	line 7:			
4	"QUESTION: And do you make any efforts with			
5	respect to selling the images of retired			
6	players to companies that request that			
7	make requests for NFL player services?			
8	"ANSWER: If they're making a request it's			
9	pretty much sold.			
10	"QUESTION: Right. But do you make efforts			
11	to sell that prior to them making a request?"			
12	Now, here is a correction. First, I'm going to read			
13	you what Mr. Upshaw said at the deposition. And then, sometime			
14	later it's not dated, but sometime later these corrections			
15	were made.			
16	They certainly weren't made at the deposition. I'm			
17	reading it again.			
18	This is from line 11 line 33.			
19	"QUESTION: Right. But do you make efforts			
20	to sell that prior to them making a request?			
21	"ANSWER: Not really."			
22	Then, time went by. The deposition was sent to			
23	counsel, made available to Mr. Upshaw			
24	THE COURT: Just read the errata.			
25	MR. PARCHER: And then the errata said crossing			

out "not really," it said: 2 "If they make a request there is no need to 3 make an effort, so no, not really." 4 BY MR. PARCHER:: 5 Q. Do you agree with that? 6 Α. As --7 THE COURT: Well, is your question does he agree with everything or just that last part? 8 9 MR. PARCHER: Everything there was said there. THE COURT: All right. Well --10 MR. KESSLER: I think it would be helpful, Your 11 Honor, if the witness had a copy of the deposition, and maybe 12 13 questions to be directed to specific lines. There's a lot of 14 material being put in here. 15 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let you reread it, just this passage. And then, if the witness thinks -- the 16 17 witness can read my copy. MR. PARCHER: I'm sure we have an extra copy for, 18 19 Your Honor. That doesn't feel right. 2.0 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I can hand up my copy. 2.1 THE COURT: I know what it says. 22 Read it again. Go ahead. 23 MR. PARCHER: Just this last --24 THE COURT: No, the whole last, second segment, about 25 half a page.

```
1
              MR. PARCHER:
                            Okay. I'm sorry. I'm just asking
 2
   where you're asking me to read.
 3
              THE COURT: It was the second set of questions and
 4
   answers.
 5
              MR. PARCHER: Yeah. I think it's just -- you want to
 6
   go --
 7
              MR. HUMMEL: Line 7.
              THE COURT: To the top of page 9.
 8
 9
              MR. PARCHER: Oh, sure. Thanks. So we're on 99,
   line 7:
10
11
              "QUESTION:
                         And do you make any efforts with
12
              respect to selling images of retired players
13
              to companies that request -- that make
              requests for NFL player services?
14
15
              "ANSWER:
                       If they make a request it's pretty
              much sold.
16
17
              "QUESTION: Right. But do you make efforts
18
              to sell that prior to them making requests?
19
              "ANSWER: Not really."
   BY MR. PARCHER::
2.0
21
         Do you see that?
   Q.
22
         Yes.
   A.
23
         Okay. Stop there.
24
              Did you agree with that?
         No.
25
   A.
```

Then, after the deposition it gets sent to the lawyers, it changes -- by the way, is that Mr. Upshaw's handwriting, do you 2 3 know? 4 Α. Where? 5 MR. KESSLER: Objection, Your Honor. This was done 6 by counsel on both sides. He knows that Mr. Upshaw has nothing 7 to do with doing that. 8 MR. PARCHER: I know no such thing. Maybe someone 9 else --10 THE WITNESS: There is no --THE COURT: Did Mr. Upshaw sign it? Did he sign it? 11 MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor, he signed it. 12 13 If he signed it, I'm going to sustain the THE COURT: 14 objection, then. It doesn't matter who wrote it. What matters is did he sign it. 15 16 MR. PARCHER: Okay. I just want to check --17 THE WITNESS: There's no handwriting on my copy. MR. PARCHER: I don't have any -- are you 18 19 representing -- may I ask, inquire? 2.0 THE COURT: No. 2.1 MR. PARCHER: Is that a representation that he signed 22 Because I don't have it on mine. it? 23 MR. KESSLER: Yes, there's a representation of 24 signing the errata (indicating). 25 THE COURT: All right. Then forget about whose

1	handwriting it is.				
2	MR. PARCHER: Fine. That's okay. Yes, sir, right.				
3	And then, the answer is changed to read:				
4	"QUESTION: If they make a request, there is				
5	no need to make an effort, so not really."				
6	BY MR. PARCHER:				
7	Q. Do you agree with that?				
8	A. Not entirely.				
9	Q. Now				
10	THE COURT: Now, we're not going to do this. I				
11	thought you had just a short segment.				
12	MR. PARCHER: That's it.				
13	THE COURT: We're not going to go through his				
14	deposition.				
15	MR. PARCHER: That's it.				
16	THE COURT: And flyspeck it to see how much of it				
17	this witness agrees with.				
18	Are you done with the deposition?				
19	MR. PARCHER: Yes.				
20	THE COURT: All right.				
21	New question.				
22	MR. PARCHER: Just bear with me one minute, Your				
23	Honor. I just said "yes," and I want to make sure I meant it.				
24	Okay.				
25					

## BY MR. PARCHER:

- 2 Q. Now, am I correct in saying that your position and
- 3 | Mr. Upshaw's position with the union and Players Inc depends
- 4 | upon the support of the players, right?
- $5 \, | \, \mathbf{A}$ . Yes.
- 6 Q. In other words, these are my words, but you work for them?
- 7 | A. Well, we certainly worked on their behalf. I reported to
- 8 Mr. Upshaw.
- 9 Q. Right.
- 10 | A. He reported to the governing body of the union board of
- 11 player reps.
- 12 Q. Now, the active players who signed GLAs they get to vote,
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  And if the active players were to vote that they didn't
- 16 | want you or Mr. Upshaw to run their organization anymore, you
- 17 | would be out, right?
- 18 **A.** That's correct.
- 19 **Q.** The retired players have no vote, right?
- 20 **A.** That's correct.
- 21  $||\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}||$  And so whether they like what you're doing or not like
- 22 | what you're doing, whether they think you're fair or unfair,
- 23 | whether they think you're helping them or hurting them, they've
- 24 got no say. You're there, right?
- 25 || **A.** They don't vote on that.

- $\mathbb{L} \parallel \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}$  They have no say.
- 2 A. No, that's not correct.
- 3  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  They can speak, but they can't vote. They can't decide.
- 4 | Let's put it that way.
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Do you think that once you got these retired players to
- 7 | sign the GLA, and got yourself your critical mass, did you
- 8 think that it was okay to just take it and put it in the
- 9 drawer, the GLA, forget about it?
- 10 | A. We didn't get the critical mass. We never achieved that.
- 11 | That was the point.
- 12 Q. Once you got them to sign it, did you think it was okay to
- 13 | just stick it in the drawer?
- 14  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I don't know what you mean by that.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  You don't know what I mean by sticking it in the drawer?
- 16 Take it, put it in the drawer, never look at it again. Okay.
- 17 | I got it. That's done. Next.
- 18 | A. I don't know what that means, and that's not what
- 19 | happened.
- 20 MR. PARCHER: May I read from page 155 of
- 21 Mr. Allen's deposition?
- 22 THE COURT: Why are you doing this?
- 23 MR. PARCHER: Stick it in the drawer.
- 24 || THE COURT: Let me see the deposition, please.
- 25 MR. PARCHER: I'll hand it up to you. Here. Yes.

1	THE COURT: May I borrow this back?
2	Just a moment.
3	155
4	MR. PARCHER: Lines 11 through 16.
5	MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, it's not proper
6	impeachment.
7	MR. PARCHER: I said "Mr. Allen's deposition."
8	THE COURT: 155 what?
9	MR. PARCHER: You've got Mr. Allen's deposition,
10	right, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: No. I've got Gene Upshaw's.
12	MR. PARCHER: No, no. It was Mr. Allen's.
13	THE COURT: Oh, I thought you were referring to
14	Upshaw.
15	MR. PARCHER: My apologies.
16	THE COURT: I got. All right. 155. What's the line
17	again?
18	MR. PARCHER: Lines 11 through 16.
19	MR. KESSLER: Objection, Your Honor. This is not
20	proper impeachment. He's not a party witness.
21	THE COURT: When his deposition was taken was he
22	MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor, he was already long
23	gone from the players' union.
24	THE COURT: All right. I agree with the defense.
25	This is not proper impeachment.

1 So, objection sustained. Plus, it's a highly 2 argumentative question. I'm looking at 155. 3 MR. PARCHER: Yes, you've got the right page. 4 THE COURT: 11 through 16. 5 MR. PARCHER: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 7 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir. BY MR. PARCHER: 8 9 There's a magazine called Touchback. Could you tell the Q. Court and the jury what it is. 10 11 Touchback is a newsletter that the National Football League Players Association sends out for retired players. 12 It's 13 also distributed to active players and to the leadership of the 14 board of player representatives. But it's primarily intended 15 for retired players. 16 I just want to go back. Before I do this I want to go 17 back to a statement that you made before. You said: We tried 18 for the critical mass, but we didn't quite get it. 19 Words to that effect. I'm not quoting. I don't 2.0 have --2.1 We didn't get the critical mass. 22 You didn't get the critical mass. 23 Is it your testimony that there were other

significant competitors with respect to the group licensing of

active or retired players? Group licensing.

24

- A. I don't know what you mean by "competitors."
- 2 Q. You don't know what I mean by the word "competitors"?
- 3  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  In that context. I'm trying to understand.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . I'm older than you, but it's like Gimbels and Macy's. I
- 5 | don't know if that makes any sense to anybody.
- 6 Competitors. Senator Obama and Senator McCain are
- 7 || competitors.

- 8 A. You mean was there another NFL players' union that was --
- 9 Q. Anybody. Was there any competitor out there that was
- 10 | cutting into your territory with respect to GLAs, group
- 11 | licensing authorizations, from either retired players or active
- 12 | players?
- 13 | A. There were people who were getting name and image rights
- 14 | from -- from retired players.
- 15  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  I didn't ask you that. I said "group licensing"
- 16 | authorizations." Did you have any competitors?
- 17 Withdrawn, before you answer that.
- 18 || That's what you were selling to licensees, wasn't it?
- 19 | "We are a one-stop-shop. We have the most players." Isn't
- 20 | that what you were selling? "Come to us for your license."
- 21 | A. We --
- 22 **Q.** Yes or no?
- 23 | A. Uhm, we did not claim to represent every player.
- 24  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Excuse me, sir. I'm not asking you that. I didn't
- 25 | suggest that you claim to represent every player.

I'm asking you specifically whether it's true that

part of what you were selling to prospective licensees is that

you had had a great many retired and active player group

licensing authorizations so they ought to come to you, not look

elsewhere to make a deal?

That was what you did, wasn't it?

A. Yes.

6

- 8 Q. And you were quite successful in that, weren't you?
- 9 **A.** Not for retired players.
- 10 Q. But you were quite successful in getting the licensees to
- 11 come to you, prospective licensees to come to you?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 ||Q| There wasn't a lot of competition out there for group --
- 14 | for group -- for group -- not saying the word right.
- 15 There wasn't a lot of competition out there for
- 16 | players who were signing group licenses, was there? You were
- 17 | the only game in town, weren't you, for groups?
- 18  $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$  I believe the Hall of Fame got rights from players, as
- 19 | well.
- 20 | Q. Weren't you the big cheese?
- 21 **A.** I'm just trying to answer the question.
- 22 Q. No, no. You know more than that, don't you, sir?
- 23 **A.** Sorry?
- 24 Q. You know more than that, don't you, sir?
- 25 Wasn't your organization by far the most significant

- organization in getting football players to sign group 2 licensing authorizations? 3 MR. KESSLER: Objecting to preparatory comments by 4 counsel to his questions. 5 THE COURT: That's sustained. But please answer the 6 question as framed. 7 THE WITNESS: Uhm -- uhm, yes. We were significant 8 in that respect. 9 BY MR. PARCHER: I would like you to take a look at Trial Exhibit 2046. 10 11 It's one of the Touchbacks, to help you know what I'm shooting for here. 12 13 I have it. A. This is one of the publications that you -- "you" being 14 15 the editorial you -- caused to send out to retired players; am 16 I correct? 17 Yes. A. 18 MR. PARCHER: I would move its admission, Your Honor. 19 It's Trial Exhibit 2046. 2.0 MR. KESSLER: No objection. 2.1 THE COURT: All right. 2046 received. (Trial Exhibit 2046 was admitted into evidence.) 22 23 BY MR. PARCHER: 24
- - Have you got it there in front of you, sir?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Okay. You see here in --2 MR. PARCHER: Put up the second paragraph, if you 3 don't mind. 4 (Document displayed.) 5 BY MR. PARCHER: 6 Q. Do you see the heading there, "group licensing essential"? 7 A. Yes. So this is a June 2004 exhibit, sir. 8 Q. 9 In 2004 -- let's see, now. You started when, 1994, right? 10 11 Started. Α. 12 Players Inc? Q. 13 Players Inc, that's right. 14 Right. So for ten years, year in and year out, you're Q. 15 striking out when it comes to group licensing of retired 16 players, right? 17 If by that you mean the GLAs and having that be the basis 18 for a license agreement, yes. 19 You don't know what I mean by "striking out"? Oh, that's baseball. 2.0 Okay. I'll withdraw it. I'll withdraw it. 2.1 After all those years of failure, you're writing to
- 22 After all those years of failure, you're writing to 23 the retired players and you're telling them group licensing is 24 essential; are you not?
- 25 **A.** That's correct.

- 1 Q. Now, you're not going to say that when you say "group
- 2 | licensing essential " when you're writing to retired players
- 3 | that you're referring to active players here, are you?
- 4 | A. I haven't read this in a while, so I would want to read it
- 5 to get the context.
- 6 Q. Wait. Okay.
- 7 **A.** It's four years old.
- 8 THE COURT: Let's let the witness take a look at it
- 9 so he can answer the questions.
- 10 MR. PARCHER: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 12 **THE COURT:** What's the question?
- 13 BY MR. PARCHER:
- 14 Q. I just want to set the predicate, Mr. Allen. This is --
- 15 | just to be clear, this Touchback is being sent out to the
- 16 | retired players, right?
- 17 **A.** That's correct.
- 18 Q. In fact, that's who the publication is for. The jury
- 19 | won't be able to read it, or the judge. But right at the top
- 20 || it says:
- 21 || "Publication for retired NFL players," right?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Now --
- 24 MR. PARCHER: You can take that off. Publication is
- 25 off. Okay.

(Document displayed.)

## BY MR. PARCHER:

- Q. Now, do you see right at the top of the lead article, the first article on the first page of this communication to retireds, you're saying group licensing is essential, are you
- 6 | not?

1

2

3

4

5

- $7 \, || \mathbf{A}. \quad \text{Yes.}$
- Q. And I'm asking you to confirm for Judge Alsup and the jury, that there when you're referring to the essentialness, the significance, the importance of group licensing, you're referring to retired player group licensing authorizations,
- 12 | aren't you?
- 13 **A.** Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. Now, if you go down aways, you tell -- I would say in the second paragraph. In June of 2004, we have a Touchback where you tell the retired players that you've worked hard -- I'm paraphrasing now. I'm not reading it literally, although I can, if you want me to.
- You've worked hard to secure licensing for games using retired players' names and images, but the response has been restrained. Meaning to say you're not doing well with it, right?
- 23 A. It means that the response by the companies has been restrained.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now, if you have been telling them that every time you see

- 1 them for convention after convention after convention after
- 2 convention, or if I'm not using the right word when I say
- 3 | "convention," whatever the gatherings are, do you know?
- 4 | Chapters or whatever the word is, why is it so necessary to
- 5 | remind them of that again, that you're trying, but you're not
- 6 doing so good?
- 7  $\|$  A. Because we didn't give up. We were -- we were
- 8 | hoping to take advantage of achieving that critical mass, and
- 9 hoping that the marketplace would respond to that critical
- 10 mass.
- But that hadn't happened and didn't happen in the
- 12 | time that I was there.
- 13  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  So, in other words, if you've got more retired players
- 14 | that nobody wanted for your critical mass, you would do better
- 15 | for them?
- 16 || **A.** Well, we were --
- 17 Q. For another thousand or two retireds that nobody wanted
- 18 | signed up with you --
- 19 | **A.** If we had --
- 20  $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$  Excuse me. Let me finish this question. Your contention
- 21 | for this court and this jury is you would do better for them?
- 22 You would have a better shot at it if you had another 2,000
- 23 guys that nobody cared about?
- 24 | A. Well, it's not just asking the retired guys who nobody
- 25 cares about. It's asking everybody who's a retired player to

- sign. Saying that every single member, and that that includes players that companies do care about.
- 3 Q. Yes. And those players are the Joe Montanas, the Joe 4 Namaths of the world, right?
- $5 \, || \mathbf{A}_{\bullet}$  That's two of them.
- 6 Q. Pardon me?
- 7  $\mathbf{A}$ . That is two of them, yes.
- 8 Q. You say "two of them." I mean, they're the stars.
- 9 | They're the famous ones. They are the well-known ones.
- 10 A. Sometimes. I mean, sometimes those players have signed
- 11 GLAs, and sometimes they have not.
- 12 Q. I understand. But that's who you're referring to. Not
- 13 the ordinary Joe who signs the GLA hoping to be getting part of
- 14 | a group licensing deal. Right?
- 15 MR. KESSLER: I object to that question. I'm not
- 16 sure what the question is referring to.
- 17 | THE COURT: I've lost a little bit of track myself.
- 18 | What I think I hear the witness saying, or maybe what
- 19 | yours, is that the celebrities -- not very many celebrity
- 20 | retired players have signed GLAs; is that correct?
- 21 THE WITNESS: That's a fair statement.
- 22 THE COURT: Is that the point that you were trying to
- 23 | make?
- 24 | MR. PARCHER: I was working up to it, but that's the
- 25 point.

We need to take a break now. If you have 1 THE COURT: a key point you need to make --2 3 MR. PARCHER: No, no. 4 **THE COURT:** As I said, we're going to stop at 12:30 5 I actually have to be at a meeting at 12:30, and I need 6 to dash there. But we're going to not be in session tomorrow, 7 nor on Friday. Remember, we have the rest of the week off. But we will resume on Monday morning. And I ask you to please 8 9 be here at 7:45. Everyone is doing a great job being here on time. 10 11 You should not be doing any homework about the case. should not be talking with anyone about the case or letting --12 13 or reading any news stories about the case or listening to any 14 news stories. I've gone through all that. I don't think I 15 need to repeat it. One thing, though, I would like to say is, you know, 16 17 I have to make objections -- not objections. I have to rule on 18 objections. I did make one objection, and I sustained it. I was lucky on that one. 19 2.0 (Laughter) 2.1 But I don't want you to think that I'm in any way 22 indicating to you how this case should come out. These are 23 excellent lawyers. They have strong views about the case. 24 They get carried away sometimes. And my job is to kind of be

like the referee on the football field and make sure it's a

1	fair fight. So you know that I'm not trying to suggest			
2	anything about the strength or weaknesses of the cases if I			
3	call a penalty on one of these lawyers. So remember that. I			
4	know you will. It's the only other way this system could			
5	possibly work. Keep all that in mind.			
6	Anything more the lawyers want me to admonish the			
7	jury about before we let them go for the weekend?			
8	MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. Maybe a reminder			
9	about the press, television or newspapers.			
LO	THE COURT: I did say that. But, again, please, that			
L1	would be very problematic if anybody were to listen to a TV			
L2	show or radio show or listen or read something or don't			
L3	talk to the press, of course. Do you all understand all that?			
L4	(Jurors respond affirmatively.)			
L5	THE COURT: I know you do.			
L6	See you back here on Monday now, Monday, 7:45 a.m.			
L7	THE CLERK: All rise.			
L8	(Jury excused. The following proceedings were held			
L9	in open court, outside the presence of the jury.)			
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Allen, you need to be			
21	back here on Monday, as well. All right. Sorry we didn't			
22	finish you today, but what can I say?			
23	THE WITNESS: Are you asking me or telling me?			
24	(Laughter)			
25	THE COURT: I'm telling you.			

1 THE WITNESS: Then, I don't know why you're waiting 2 for an answer. 3 THE COURT: I'm just waiting for you to say "I 4 understand." 5 THE WITNESS: I understand. 6 THE COURT: All right. See you then. 7 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I would just like some clear guidance. 8 9 Obviously, the witness is on cross-examination. one will discuss with him. And the witness should understand 10 11 he should discuss with no one any issue in this case or anything about his testimony, or anything else. But I also 12 13 assume it's all right, Your Honor, that the witness -- like, for example, we can ride in the car with him and have a social 14 15 conversation. As long as it has nothing to do with this case, we don't have to shun him for the weekend or the day. 16 17 I assume that's correct, Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: All right. As long as you're honest and you have no discussions about this case or the facts involved 19 2.0 in this case, that would be okay. 2.1 MR. KESSLER: Absolutely not, Your Honor. 22 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I think the witness should be 23 sequestered from his lawyers. We have no way of knowing what 24 is being said.

25

THE COURT:

You can --

1	MR. PARCHER: I don't mean to interrupt. I have a
2	lot of disagreements with Mr. Kessler, but his good faith is
3	not one of them.
4	MR. KESSLER: Thank you, Mr. Parcher.
5	MR. PARCHER: He's been instructed by you he's not
6	going to talk. He says he's not going to talk. I got it. I
7	think we can live with that comfortably. I hope everybody
8	would do the same for me.
9	THE COURT: You can have a drink, but you have to
10	stay sober enough that you don't talk about this case.
11	(Laughter)
12	THE WITNESS: I understand.
13	MR. PARCHER: And don't talk about me, either.
14	THE COURT: All right. I've got to run. You are
15	free to go right now.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Anything, very quickly, before we break
18	for the day?
19	MR. KESSLER: Nothing, Your Honor. Have a good four
20	days off.
21	THE COURT: That's what I'm going to do. It's work,
22	but it will be somewhat fun.
23	All right. See you here, then, 7:30 on Monday
24	morning. Let me give you your time. The plaintiffs have
25	used I'll just give you the numbers: 45, 32, 96, 60 and 22.

1	Whatever that adds up to.
2	MR. KESSLER: 60 and 22, Your Honor, six-oh?
3	THE COURT: 45, 32, 96, 60, plus 22. That's what I
4	have written down for time lapsed on the plaintiffs. And 42
5	minutes for the defendants.
6	MR. PARCHER: Excuse me, Judge. What's the fourth
7	number you're saying? Six-zero?
8	THE COURT: I'll start all over. 45, 32, 96, 60.
9	MR. HUMMEL: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Plus 22.
11	MR. HUMMEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: See you on Monday.
13	MR. PARCHER: Have a nice weekend, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	(Thereupon, this trial was continued until Monday,
16	October 27, 2008 at 7:30 o'clock a.m.)
17	
18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
20	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
21	DATE: Wednesday, October 22, 2008
22	s/b Katherine Powell Sullivan
23	s/D Racherine Powert Surrivan
24	Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RPR, CRR
25	U.S. Court Reporter

T	1					
1		I N D E X				
2	PLAII	NTIFF	WITNESS			
3	DOUG	ALLEI				
4	DIRE	CT EXA	MINATION BY MR. PARCHER PAGE 472			
5						
6	EXHII	BITS				
7	125	PAGE	478			
8	132	PAGE	484			
9	5	PAGE	492			
10	23	PAGE	494			
11	12	PAGE	525			
12	110	PAGE	537			
13	28	PAGE	574			
14	2046	PAGE	618			
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						