

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94111

1 Todd Padnos (Bar No. 208202)
tpadnos@dl.com
2 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 400
3 San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 951-1100; Fax: (415) 951-1180
4

5 Jeffrey L. Kessler (*pro hac vice*)
jkessler@dl.com
6 David G. Feher (*pro hac vice*)
dfeher@dl.com
7 David Greenspan (*pro hac vice*)
dgreenspan@dl.com
8 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
9 Tel: (212) 259-8000; Fax: (212) 259-6333

10 Kenneth L. Steinthal (*pro hac vice*)
kenneth.steinthal@weil.com
11 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
12 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Tel: (650) 802-3000; Fax: (650) 802-3100
13

14 Bruce S. Meyer (*pro hac vice*)
bruce.meyer@weil.com
15 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153
16 Tel: (212) 310-8000; Fax: (212) 310-8007

17 Attorneys for Defendants National Football League Players Association
and National Football League Players Incorporated d/b/a Players Inc
18

19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

21 BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT
ANTHONY ADDERLEY, WALTER
22 ROBERTS III,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE
PLAYERS ASSOCIATION and NATIONAL
26 FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS
INCORPORATED d/b/a/ PLAYERS INC,

27 Defendants.
28

Case No. C 07 0943 WHA

**DECLARATION OF ROY TAUB IN
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
MISCELLANEOUS
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
EXCEED THE PAGE LIMITATION
ON THEIR RENEWED MOTION
FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF
LAW**

DECLARATION OF ROY TAUB

I, Roy Taub, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney with Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP, attorneys for Defendants National Football League Players Association (“NFLPA”) and National Football League Players Incorporated d/b/a Players Inc (“Players Inc”) in this action. I am a member of the bar of the State of New York and am admitted to practice before this Court *pro hac vice*. I make this Declaration in support of Defendants’ Miscellaneous Administrative Motion to Exceed the Page Limitation on their Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law. I have personal knowledge of each of the facts stated herein, and if called to testify, could and would testify completely hereto.

2. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-11(a), on November 13, 2008, I telephoned Brett Charhon, an attorney with McKool Smith, co-counsel for Plaintiffs, to determine if Plaintiffs would stipulate to permitting both sides to exceed by ten pages the page limitations on their respective briefing of Defendants’ forthcoming Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Mater of Law. Mr. Charhon replied to my request in an e-mail later that day, stating that “Plaintiffs take no position on Defendants’ request for extra pages, but leave it to the sound discretion of the Court.” A true and correct copy of Mr. Charhon’s e-mail to me is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: November 14, 2008

/s/ Roy Taub
Roy Taub