

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FORTE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC,

No. C-07-1237 EMC

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S LETTER OF
MARCH 10, 2010**

HARRIS CRAMER, LLP, *et al.*,

(Docket No. 354)

Defendants.

_____ /

Previously, the Court ordered Forte to provide a declaration regarding costs owed to vendors. The Court further ordered that the parties provide a joint letter to the extent that any issues remain unresolved. *See* Docket No. 352 (order). On March 10, 2010, Forte submitted a declaration as ordered. *See* Docket No. 353 (McKelvey Decl.). However, no joint letter was filed. Forte, however, did provide a letter in which it explained and provided proof that it had attempted to work with TTLG to provide a letter to the Court. *See* Docket No. 354 (letter). Having reviewed the declaration and letter from Forte, the Court hereby rules as follows.

- (1) The Court deems TTLG's failure to provide information for a joint letter as a concession that there are no outstanding disputes regarding costs owed to vendors.
- (2) To the extent TTLG might argue that the Court's prior orders have required proof of satisfaction from the vendors themselves, *see* Docket No. 354 (Ex. A) (e-mail), TTLG is incorrect. The Court's order of February 3, 2010, simply required proof of satisfaction. It did not state that that proof had to come from the vendors themselves. *See* Docket No. 348 (order). The Court's order of March 10, 2010, specifically allowed for a declaration from Forte.

