1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359) Jason McDonell (SBN 115084) Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882) JONES DAY			
2				
3	555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700			
4				
5	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com jmcdonell@jonesday.com			
6	ewallace@jonesday.com			
7	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)			
8	Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776) JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road			
9	Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939			
10	Facsimile: (650) 739-3939 tglanier@jonesday.com			
11	jfroyd@jonesday.com			
12	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )			
13	JONES DAY 717 Texas, Suite 3300			
14	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939			
15	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com			
16				
17	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and			
18	TOMORROWNOW, INC.			
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION			
21	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)		
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF STEPHEN K.		
23	v.	CLARKE IN SUPPORT OF		
24	SAP AG, et al.,	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED		
25	Defendants.	JUDGMENT		
26		Courtroom: 3, 3rd Floor Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton		
27				
28		CLARKE DECL. ISO DEFS.' OPP. TO PLTFS.' PROPOSED JUDGMENT Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH(EDL)		

I, Stephen K. Clarke, declare as follows:

- I am over 18 years old and competent to state the facts set forth in this declaration.
   I have personal knowledge of the matters discussed herein and if called upon to be a witness I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I am a Certified Public Accountant (Accredited in Business Valuation) in the State of Arizona; a Certified Fraud Examiner; and a Chartered Accountant in England & Wales. I have been engaged as a testifying economic damages expert in dozens of intellectual property disputes over the last 22 years. Such disputes have related to copyrights, patents, trade secrets, trade dress and unfair competition. I have provided testimony as an economic expert in many venues including Federal and State Courts, arbitration panels, and bankruptcy hearings in the United States, and the Crown Courts in Great Britain. My degree is in Management Sciences from the University of Manchester in England. I taught economics at Arizona State University for several years. In December 2007, I was retained by Defendants to address Plaintiffs' alleged damages.
- 3. I have prepared interest calculations under three different sets of assumptions that are attached as Exhibits A, B and C hereto. By submitting this declaration and these calculations, I am not endorsing the jury's verdict, Plaintiffs' request for prejudgment interest, the characterizations of the verdict by Plaintiffs or by Mr. Meyer, Mr. Meyer's "Allocation of Jury Verdict" or his interest calculations in his declaration in support of Plaintiffs' request for interest (ECF 1009).
- 4. I have attached as **Exhibit A** an interest calculation that assumes, without agreeing to the propriety of doing so, that the \$1.3 billion jury verdict is allocated as suggested by Mr. Meyer in his declaration. This allocation assumes that SAP would have paid Oracle \$1,177,820,730 on January 19, 2005 for the PeopleSoft license, \$78,521,382 on September 29, 2006 for the Siebel license, and \$43,657,888 from January 19, 2005 through October 31, 2008 for the use of the Oracle database. I have calculated interest by applying the 1 year treasury constant maturity yield for the most recent week ending December 17, 2010 of 0.30% to the amounts for all periods. This approach results in interest totaling \$22,701,278 for the period from January 19, 2005 through December 23, 2010.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

28

	5.	I have attached as Exhibit B an interest calculation that assumes, without agreeing
to th	e proprie	ty of doing so, that the \$1.3 billion jury verdict is treated as a lump sum payable on
Sept	ember 29	9, 2006. I have calculated interest by applying the 1 year treasury constant maturity
yiel	d for the i	most recent week ending December 17, 2010 of 0.30% to the amounts for all
peri	ods. This	s approach results in interest totaling \$16,591,309 for the period from September 29,
2000	through	December 23, 2010.

6. I have attached as **Exhibit C** an interest calculation that assumes, without agreeing to the propriety of doing so, that the \$1.3 billion jury verdict is treated as a lump sum payable on September 29, 2006. For each period, I have calculated interest by applying the 1 year treasury constant maturity yield for the week ending prior to the period start date, consistent with Mr. Meyer's Exhibit B to his declaration. This approach results in interest totaling \$154,371,415 for the period from September 29, 2006 through December 23, 2010.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2010 in Phoenix,

x/Afizoyla.

Stephen K. Clarke

SFI-657676v1