EXHIBIT 7

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION

6	**13:11**-**13:13**	O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15	00:00:06	00:00:30	00:11:18

13:11   Q: To your knowledge is there any attempt to
13:12   replace JDE OneWorld with another program?
13:13   A: Yes.

7	**15:2**-**15:8**	O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15	00:00:19	00:00:36	00:11:12

15:2   Q: Okay. Has Lexmark selected the program it's
15:3   going to transition to?
15:4   A: Yes, we have.
15:5   Q: What is that program?
15:6   A: That's SAP application.
15:7   Q: Were you involved in the selection process?
15:8   A: Yes, I was.

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION

| 22 | **35:12**-**35:24** | O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 | 00:00:37 | 00:05:09 | 00:06:39 |

35:12   Q: How is Lexmark currently supporting JDE

35:13   OneWorld?

35:14   A: In-house.

35:15   Q: How long has Lexmark been supporting JDE

35:16   OneWorld in-house?

35:17   A: Since November 1st, 2008.

35:18   Q: Were additional people hired to support JDE

35:19   OneWorld in-house?

35:20   A: Not to my knowledge.

35:21   Q: Your understanding was that current or

35:22   long-term Lexmark employees are currently

35:23   supporting JDE OneWorld?

35:24   A: Yes.

| 23 | **35:25**-**36:5** | O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 | 00:00:25 | 00:05:46 | 00:06:02 |

35:25   Q: Turning now to the transition to SAP, how

36:1   long had Lexmark been considering making a

36:2   transition off of JDE OneWorld to your

36:3   knowledge?

36:4   A: The first contact for me would have been

36:5   summer of 2007.

| 24 | **37:2**-**37:4** | O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 | 00:00:10 | 00:06:11 | 00:05:37 |

37:2   Q: In addition to SAP, what other companies did

37:3   you consider when looking to transition off

37:4   of JDE OneWorld?

| 25 | **37:6**-**37:8** | O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 | 00:00:07 | 00:06:21 | 00:05:27 |

37:6   A: Oracle.

37:7   Q: Anything else?

37:8   A: Not to my knowledge.

| 26 | **37:9**-**37:20** | O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 | 00:00:37 | 00:06:28 | 00:05:20 |

37:9   Q: When Lexmark selected SAP, were you involved

37:10   in making the final selection?

37:11   A: I was involved in collecting and presenting

37:12   the data to the Information Technology

37:13   Department and the CFO.

37:14   Q: What factors to your understanding influenced

37:15   the decision to go with SAP?

	37:16	A: There were over 300 data points that were
	37 17	mea ured excu e me and cored
	37:18	Q: Were those same 300 data points measured and
	37:19	scored for Oracle?
	37:20	A: Yes.

27	**38:3** - **38:16**	O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 00:00:35 00:07:05 00:04:43

	38:3	Q: The score is generated based on an evaluation
	38:4	of certain data points?
	38:5	A: Yes.
	38:6	Q: 300 data points?
	38:7	A: Approximately.
	38:8	Q: And those data points are evaluated and a
	38:9	score is assigned to each point?
	38:10	A: Yes.
	38:11	Q: The scores are added up?
	38:12	A: Yes.
	38:13	Q: Apples to apples?
	38:14	A: Yes.
	38:15	Q: SAP scored higher on those 300 data points?
	38:16	A: Yes.

28	**41:22** - **42:15**	O'Donnell, Jeffrey 2009-09-15 00:00:52 00:07:40 00:04:08

	41:22	Q: When selecting SAP, what role, if any, did
	41:23	the existence of TomorrowNow have to do with
	41:24	Lexmark's decision to transition to SAP?
	41:25	A: Nothing to my knowledge.
	42:1	Q: Not a positive, not a negative?
	42:2	A: It was not a data point.
	42:3	Q: What do you mean, not a data point?
	42:4	A: We wouldn't -- we had specific sourcing
	42:5	criteria that we measured consistently, so
	42:6	TomorrowNow being a third party wasn't even
	42:7	really known that TomorrowNow was involved in
	42:8	any fashion.
	42:9	Q: When you say it wasn't known that TomorrowNow
	42:10	was involved in any fashion, what do you
	42:11	mean?
	42:12	A: We're looking at new technology. We're
	42:13	looking at new software. I don't understand
	42:14	why -- we would not be looking backwards at
	42:15	support.

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION