

EXHIBIT 8

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION

9	48:14 -49:7	Brazile, Steven 2009-10-14	00:01:07	00:03:41	00:13:43
		48:14	Q: When do you recall the decision being made		
		48:15	to replace JD Edwards with SAP?		
		48:16	A: Well, the decision would have been, at its		
		48:17	macro level, would have been in this 2005/2006 time		
		48:18	frame we referenced earlier. When we were going to		
		48:19	be replacing it, we had to bite it off in chunks		
		48:20	that were digestible for the business. So we were		
		48:21	replacing -- initially we replaced all the		
		48:22	financials, fixed assets, payables, et cetera, from		
		48:23	the Lawson conversion, and then the next piece that		
		48:24	we converted was this order to cash piece that the		
		48:25	meat business was running. And that was what was		
		49:1	implemented in 2007, September of 2007 for the meat		
		49:2	business. So whatever JD Edwards was running for		
		49:3	the business at that time was replaced in September		
		49:4	of 2007.		
		49:5	Q: In September of 2007, was there a plan in		
		49:6	place to replace the remainder of the JD Edwards		
		49:7	applications at Sara Lee?		

10	49:9 -49:16	Brazile, Steven 2009-10-14	00:00:21	00:04:48	00:12:36
		49:9	THE WITNESS: Yes, there was always a		
		49:10	plan. The master plan would have gone back to this		
		49:11	2005/2006 time frame. There was plans to implement		
		49:12	it, although the coffee and tea piece of it		
		49:13	continued to get moved out based upon the priorities		
		49:14	of the IT investments, but there was always plans in		
		49:15	place to replace all of the JD Edwards platform with		
		49:16	SAP.		

11	55:14 -57:18	Brazile, Steven 2009-10-14	00:03:00	00:05:09	00:12:15
		55:14	Q: Do you recall when Sara Lee terminated its		
		55:15	maintenance agreement with Oracle for JD Edwards		
		55:16	applications running in coffee division?		
		55:17	A: That would have been roughly around June		
		55:18	of '07, if -- my recollection was the agreement came		
		55:19	due for renewal, and we did not renew the -- the		

55:20 support agreement.
55:21 Q: Why?
55:22 A: Well, initially -- if you have to think
55:23 about the time frame around 2005/2006, we planned to
55:24 replace the application. It was heavily customized
55:25 and what we were looking at in that context was we
56:1 weren't going to be applying any other software
56:2 updates. We never planned to upgrade, so even
56:3 though we've been getting updates as part of that
56:4 service renewal, we would never -- we would never
56:5 apply them and we would never upgrade, so we were
56:6 really in no need of those updates.
56:7 We had also stopped all customization. We
56:8 had stopped really a lot of the projects that were
56:9 going on in that business so we weren't going to be
56:10 needing, you know, any fixes, if you will, because
56:11 the application, while it was heavily customized, we
56:12 were able to support it with our employees and the
56:13 third-party contractors we had working with us, so
56:14 we rarely reached out to Oracle for any questions.
56:15 We weren't changing it, so nothing was
56:16 going to change the environment, so we were kind of
56:17 looking for ways to help save some money, and we
56:18 didn't feel like renewing the agreement with Oracle
56:19 was going to add a lot of value. And we were
56:20 looking to save some money, and at the time we had
56:21 planned to embark upon the SAP project right around
56:22 that same time frame, so we thought we could bridge
56:23 support, implement SAP, and then forgo the renewal
56:24 fees and save that -- save that operating expense.
56:25 Q: When you say 'bridge support,' what do you
57:1 mean?
57:2 A: Well, it means we didn't feel we were
57:3 going to need support. We were willing to -- we
57:4 were evaluating whether or not we would just forgo
57:5 support all together. We were going to be
57:6 implementing SAP within a six- to nine-month time
57:7 frame, we weren't going to be changing the software,
57:8 we weren't going to be needing any updates and
57:9 thought, well, you know, we can just say we'll go
57:10 without support for this six- to nine-month time
57:11 frame while implementing SAP.
57:12 And that's what I meant bridge support
57:13 meaning that we're not going to change the
57:14 environment, we're not going to need Oracle's
57:15 assistance for any fixes, we weren't going to apply

57:16 any fixes, so we're going to bridge that time frame
57:17 by not changing it, and then implement SAP to
57:18 replace it on a pretty accelerated path.

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION

31	108:5-108:9	Brazile, Steven 2009-10-14	00:00:17	00:14:44	00:02:40
	108:5	Q: At the time that Sara Lee had			
	108:6	conversations with SAP about TomorrowNow, had Sara			
	108:7	Lee already decided to transition and convert its JD			
	108:8	Edwards applications to SAP?			
	108:9	A: Yes.			

32	111:12-111:19	Brazile, Steven 2009-10-14	00:00:24	00:15:01	00:02:23
	111:12	Q: Do you understand that it's still planned			
	111:13	for SAP to replace the JD Edwards applications at			
	111:14	Sara Lee?			
	111:15	A: Yes, that is still planned. I mean, our			
	111:16	overall IT strategy is -- it's -- it's all based			
	111:17	around SAP.			

111:18 Q: And has been for how long?

111:19 A: Certainly ince 1995, '96

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION