1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359)
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)	Jason McDonell (SBN 115084) Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882)
3	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
6	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 donn.pickett@bingham.com	jmcdonell@jonesday.com ewallace@jonesday.com
7	geoff.howard@bingham.com holly.house@bingham.com	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)
	zachary.alinder@bingham.com	Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)
8	bree.hann@bingham.com	JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939
10	333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504	Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
11	Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300	tglanier@jonesday.com jfroyd@jonesday.com
12	dboies@bsfllp.com	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
13	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177) 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900	Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) JONES DAY
14	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 874-1000	717 Texas, Suite 3300 Houston, TX 77002
	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 sholtzman@bsfllp.com	Telephone: (832) 239-3939
15	fnorton@bsfllp.com	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com
16	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)	jlfuchs@jonesday.com
17	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070	Attorneys for Defendants
18	Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114	SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.
19	dorian.daley@oracle.com	
20	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21	Oracle USA, Inc., et al.	
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
23	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
24	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
25	V.	ORDER TO EXTEND TEMPORARY STAY OF EXECUTION OF
26	SAP AG, et al.,	JUDGMENT AND STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND BRIEFING
27	Defendants.	SCHEDULE
28		
		STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TEMP. STAY AND ST

1	Stipulation to Extend Temporary Stay of Execution of Judgment	
2	WHEREAS, the Court entered final judgment in the above-captioned matter on February	
3	3, 2011 (ECF No. 1036);	
4	WHEREAS, Rule 62(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "no	
5	execution may issue on a judgment, nor may proceedings be taken to enforce it, until 14 days	
6	have passed after its entry," Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(a);	
7	WHEREAS, at the Parties' request, the Court granted a temporary stay of execution of	
8	final judgment, which will expire on March 10, 2011;	
9	WHEREAS, the Parties continue to negotiate an appropriate security for the judgment;	
10	WHEREAS, the Parties agree to: (i) extend the temporary stay of execution for an	
11	additional 14 days, and (ii) should Defendants file a motion pursuant to Rules 62(b) and 62(d) of	
12	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to stay of execution of final judgment pending disposition of	
13	post-judgment motions and, if necessary, an appeal by having the Court set an appropriate	
14	security ("Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion") within that 14-day time period, extend the temporary	
15	stay of execution through the Court's ruling on the Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion;	
16	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the Parties, through their	
17	respective counsel of record, that execution of judgment shall be stayed until March 24, 2011, or,	
18	should Defendants file a Rule 62(b) and 62(d) Motion on or before March 24, 2011, that	
19	execution of judgment shall be stayed through the Court's ruling on the Rule 62(b) and 62(d)	
20	Motion.	
21	Stipulated Request to Extend Briefing Schedule	
22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2, 6-12, and 7-4, the Parties submit this stipulated request	
23	to extend the briefing schedule for post-judgment briefs filed pursuant to Rule 50(b) and Rule 59	
24	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the "Post-Trial Motions").	
25	Following the filing of the Parties' February 18, 2011 Stipulated Request, Dkt. No. 1041,	
26	the Court set a briefing and hearing schedule for the Post-Trial Motions in the Court's February	
27	23, 2011 Order, Dkt. No. 1043. Given the complexity of the issues addressed in the Post-Trial	

Motions, good cause exists for a minor extension of the time to file Opposition and Reply Briefs.

28

1	Specifically, the Parties jointly request that the Court grant an additional 9-day extension to file		
2	Opposition Briefs and an additional 5-day extension to file Reply Briefs. As the current hearing		
3	date set by the Court is July 13, 2011, the Parties do not believe that the requested additional		
4	extension of time, which still provides the Court approximately 2.5 months to consider the		
5	Parties' briefing, will impact the hearing date or the Court's consideration of the Post-Trial		
6	Motions.		
7	Accordingly, the Parties request that the Court modify the briefing schedule as follows:		
8	April 8 Deadline to file Opposition Briefs		
9	April 27 Deadline to file Reply Briefs		
10	July 13 Hearing		
11	The only purpose of this request is to extend the briefing deadlines as noted above, and		
12	thus, this request neither affects any other rights or obligations of the Parties, nor impacts the		
13	briefing page limits or briefing description set forth in the Parties' February 18, 2011 Stipulated		
14	Request, Dkt. No. 1041, and approved by the Court's February 23, 2011 Order, Dkt. No. 1043.		
15			
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
17	Dated: March 9, 2011 Bingham McCutchen LLP		
18			
19	Dy. /c/Cooffray M. Howard		
20	By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard Geoffrey M. Howard		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International		
22	Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.		
23	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that		
24	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.		
25			
26			
27			
•			

28

1	Dated: March 9, 2011	JONES DAY
2		
3		By: <u>/s/ Tharan Gregory Lanier</u> Tharan Gregory Lanier
4		
5		Counsel for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.
6		TOMORROWNOW, INC.
7		
8		
9		
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	T IS SO ORDERED.
11		
12		
13		
14	DATED:	By:
15		Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton United States District Court Judge
16		0
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		CTID AND IDDODOCEDI ODDED TO EVTEND TEMP CTAV AND CTID