1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359) Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)	
2	Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882) JONES DAY	
3	555 California Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	
4	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	
5	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com jmcdonell@jonesday.com	
6	ewallace@jonesday.com	
7	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784) Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)	
8	JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road	
9	Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939	
10	Facsimile: (650) 739-3900 tglanier@jonesday.com	
11	jfroyd@jonesday.com	
12	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
13	JONES DAY 717 Texas, Suite 3300	
14	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939	
15	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com	
16	jlfuchs@jonesday.com	
17 18	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
21	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF MICHAEL JUNGE IN SUPPORT OF
23	v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT
24	SAP AG, et al.,	THROUGH APPEAL AND APPROVAL OF PROPOSED
25	Defendants.	SECURITY PURSUANT TO FRCP 62
26		Date: May 4, 2011 Time: 9:00 a.m.
27		Courtroom: 3, 3rd Floor Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
28		
		JUNGE DECL. ISO DEFS.' MOT. TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

I, Michael Junge, declare as follows:

- 1. I am General Counsel of SAP AG. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration and could competently testify to them if required. Nothing in this declaration is intended to waive, or should be construed as a waiver of, the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product immunity.
- 2. SAP AG is a party to (i) a €1.5 billion syndicated revolving credit facility agreement dated 15 December 2010 (the "RCF"), among SAP AG as "Borrower" and Commerzbank Aktiengesellschaft, Deutsche Bank AG, The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, Société Générale Corporate & Investment Banking as "Mandated Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners" and Deutsche Bank Luxembourg S.A. as "Agent" and Others; (ii) a €2.75 billion credit facility agreement dated 21 May 2010 (as amended, the "Sybase Facility"), among SAP AG as "Borrower" and Barclays Capital, Deutsche Bank AG as "Mandated Lead Arrangers" and Deutsche Bank Luxembourg S.A. as "Agent" and Others; and (iii) a note purchase agreement made by SAP Ireland US-Financial Services Limited on 14 October 2010 (the "USPP"), among SAP Ireland US-Financial Services Limited and SAP AG and certain purchasers.
- 3. If SAP AG were to obtain a supersedeas bond as judgment security in this action, the bond issuer would require that SAP AG enter into an indemnity or reimbursement agreement, pursuant to which SAP would agree to indemnify and/or reimburse the issuer if the issuer had to make a payment in respect to the bond. Such an indemnity or reimbursement agreement would constitute "Financial Indebtedness" as defined in each of the RCF, the Sybase Facility and the USPP.
- 4. The potential bond issuers would also require as a condition of issuing a bond that SAP AG or its affiliates post cash collateral or other *in rem* security, or agree in the future to post cash collateral or other *in rem* security, to secure the obligation of SAP AG under the indemnity or reimbursement agreements. The granting of an *in rem* security in respect of "Financial Indebtedness" is prohibited under the RCF and the Sybase Facility.
- 5. The USPP similarly limits the incurrence of "Financial Indebtedness" by certain subsidiaries of SAP AG, including by SAP America, and therefore, the entry into the

reimbursement or indemnity agreement by certain subsidiaries of SAP AG, including SAP America, would be prohibited.

- 6. While SAP AG might be able to secure the agreement of the creditors under the Sybase Facility, the RCF, or the USPP allowing Defendants to enter into reimbursement agreements or to grant any required *in rem* security interest to a bond issuer, this might cause creditors to seek additional concessions from Defendants, such as a fee for the consent, a requirement that the current unsecured creditors be provided *in rem* security, an increased interest rate, or other fees.
- 7. None of the RCF, the Sybase Facility, the USPP or the Notes restricts the ability of SAP or its subsidiaries to enter into the Proposed Escrow Agreement submitted with Defendants' Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment Through Appeal and Approval of Proposed Security Pursuant to FRCP 62.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 24th day of March, 2011 in Walldorf, Germany.

Michael Junge Junge