1 2	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)		
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009) BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center		
	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067		
5	Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286		
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com		
7	holly.house@bingham.com		
8	zachary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com		
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP		
	DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) 333 Main Street		
10	Armonk, NY 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200		
11	Facsimile: (914) 749-8300		
12	dboies@bsfllp.com STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)		
13	FRED NORTON (SBN 224725) 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900		
14	Oakland, CA 94612		
	Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460		
15	sholtzman@bsfllp.com fnorton@bsfllp.com		
16	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)		
17	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)		
18	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070		
19	Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7144		
20	dorian.daley@oracle.com		
20 21	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., <i>et al.</i>		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
23			
24	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)	
25	Plaintiffs, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING SAP'S MOTION FOR STAY OF JUDGMENT THROUGH APPEAL AND APPROVAL	
26	SAP AG, et al.,	OF SECURITY PURSUANT TO FRCP 62	
27	Defendants.	AND ORDERING BOND TO STAY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO FRCP 62	
28			

No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING SAP'S MOTION FOR STAY AND APPROVAL OF SECURITY

Before the Court is the Motion for Stay of Judgment Through Appeal and Approval of
Security Pursuant to FRCP 62 (the "Motion") filed by Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc.
and TomorrowNow, Inc. (collectively, "SAP") and opposed by Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
Oracle International Corporation and Siebel Systems, Inc. (collectively, "Oracle," and together
with SAP, the "Parties"). After considering the pleadings, memoranda, and supporting papers
submitted by the Parties, and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED that SAP's Motion is DENIED.

8 Instead, as contemplated under Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 62, if SAP desires to stay execution of 9 the judgment through appeal, SAP shall file, within 14 days, a certification that (1) it has 10 obtained a supersedeas bond to secure the judgment in the agreed initial amount of 11 \$1,325,033,547.00, (2) the bonding company has agreed to provide a quarterly report to the 12 Parties for the duration that the bond is in place to secure the judgment, and, (3) the bonding 13 company and SAP will increase the bond amount as needed to cover post-judgment interest 14 accrued in the future for the duration that the bond is in place to secure the judgment. If SAP has 15 not secured this bond and filed the certification within 14 days, Oracle shall be entitled to 16 execute on the judgment immediately.

17

18	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
19				
20				
21	Dated:			
22		PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON		
23		United States District Court Judge		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		2	No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING SAP'S MOTION FOR STAY AND APPROVAL OF SECURITY