Oracle Corporation et al v. SAP AG et al

EXHIBIT C

Dockets.Justia.com

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

)

))

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON, JUDGE

ORACLE CORPORATION, ET AL.) JURY TRIAL

PLAINTIFFS,)

VS.

SAP AG, ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.)

NO. C 07-01658 PJH

VOLUME 6

PAGES 947 - 1187

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2010

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:

BINGHAM MUCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4607 BY: ZACHARY J. ALINDER, HOLLY A. HOUSE, GEOFFREY M. HOWARD, DONN P. PICKETT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

> BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 900 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

BY: DAVID BOIES, STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

REPORTED BY: RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR NO. 8258 DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR NO. 4909

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR (510) 451-7530

1	Text Removed - Not Relevant to Motion
2	
3	${\tt Q}.$ DID YOU CONSIDER THE VIEWS THAT MR. ELLISON WAS GIVING YOU
4	AT THAT MEETING TO BE SUBJECTIVE VIEWS?
5	A. I DIDN'T CLASSIFY THEM. OBVIOUSLY I KNEW THAT HIS COMPANY
6	HAD AN INTEREST IN THE LITIGATION. AND SO WHATEVER INFORMATION
7	I TAKE FROM EXECUTIVES LIKE THAT, I HAVE TO TEMPER BACK AND
8	CONSIDER, BUT COME TO MY OWN OPINIONS.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Text Removed - Not Relevant to Motion
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1170

2 3 Text Removed - Not Relevant to Motion 4 5 6 7 8 THE COURT: COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS. 9 MR. MEYER'S A PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT WITNESS. HE 10 TALKS A LOT. AND HE HAS THIS, FOR ME, A LITTLE ANNOYING 11 TENDENCY TO TAKE THE QUESTIONS AWAY FROM COUNSEL AND TO TURN 12 THEM INTO HIS OWN QUESTIONS AND DIRECT MR. MITTELSTAEDT TO 13 OTHER AREAS OF THE PAPER, OF THE DOCUMENT THAT HE IS EXAMINING. 14 I DON'T GENERALLY VIEW IT AS MY RESPONSIBILITY TO 15 STEP IN. AND, IN FACT, I TRY TO MAKE IT A PRACTICE NOT TO STEP 16 IN AND TO DIRECT THE WAY THE OUESTIONING IS HANDLED. BUT I AM 17 GETTING A LITTLE BIT ANNOYED WITH JUST HOW MUCH HE'S DOING 18 THAT. 19 SO, I AM JUST GOING TO PUT YOU ON NOTICE. I AM NOT 20 SURE WHAT I WILL DO WHEN I SEE HIM THE NEXT TIME. BUT HE IS 21 NOT DOING A TERRIBLY GOOD JOB OF JUST ANSWERING THE OUESTION 22 DIRECTLY. 23 I UNDERSTAND HE WANTS TO EXPLAIN. BUT IT DOES SEEM 24 TO ME THAT HE OWES A DIRECT ANSWER TO A YES OR NO QUESTION 25 BEFORE HE LAUNCHES OFF INTO AN EXPLANATION. AND IT'S UP TO

1

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, USDC (510) 451-2930

1	COUNSEL TO CONTROL THAT. WHEN AN EXPLANATION IS APPROPRIATE, I
2	HAVEN'T FOUND THAT IT IS, BUT MAINLY HE'S SAYING THE SAME THING
3	OVER AND OVER AGAIN, WHICH IS WHAT I FIND TO BE A LITTLE
4	DIFFICULT. HE'S JUST REPEATING THE SAME THING OVER AND OVER
5	AND OVER AGAIN IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS THAT ASK FOR PRETTY
6	DIRECT ANSWERS.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Text Removed - Not Relevant to Motion
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1187
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	WE, RAYNEE H. MERCADO AND DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL
5	REPORTERS FOR THE UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
6	CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS IN
7	C07-01658PJH, ORACLE USA, INC., ET AL. V. SAP AG, ET AL., WERE
8	REPORTED BY US ON, TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2010, CERTIFIED
9	SHORTHAND REPORTERS, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER OUR
10	DIRECTION INTO TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL,
11	COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS AS BOUND BY US AT
12	THE TIME OF FILING.
13	THE VALIDITY OF THE REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF SAID
14	TRANSCRIPT MAY BE VOID UPON DISASSEMBLY AND/OR REMOVAL FROM THE
15	COURT FILE.
16	
17	Raynu A. Merea do
18	RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR
19	
20	/ <u>s/</u>
21	DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR, RPR, FCRR
22	
23	WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2010
24	
25	