

EXHIBIT A

From: Alinder, Zachary J.
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2011 12:59 PM
To: 'Jane L Froyd'
Cc: Howard, Geoff; 'Jacqueline K. S. Lee'; Chin, Lisa; 'Rachel L. Rawson'; Brundage, Robert A.; Greg Lanier; Gregory Castanias
Subject: RE: Oracle v. SAP: Motion for Stay and Approval of Security

Jane,

The Court's order appears to have mooted our attempted stipulation, so I will not provide comments back today as expected. We still think that it would make sense for SAP to provide the pro forma of the bond and information about the bond issuer(s) to us sufficiently in advance of bringing the motion to approve the bond, so that we can provide comments and hopefully agree in advance that provides adequate security under Rule 62 and Local Rule 65.1.

Best regards,
Zac

From: Jane L Froyd [mailto:jfroyd@JonesDay.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 3:21 PM
To: Alinder, Zachary J.
Cc: Howard, Geoff; 'Jacqueline K. S. Lee'; Chin, Lisa; 'Rachel L. Rawson'; Brundage, Robert A.; Greg Lanier; Gregory Castanias
Subject: Re: Oracle v. SAP: Motion for Stay and Approval of Security

Zac,

Defendants agree to file a stipulation regarding the form of security to stay execution of judgment and attach a draft stipulation. It includes a provision accounting for an increase in the bond amount should the appeal process exceed three years. At this time, Defendants are still finalizing bond arrangements and cannot yet provide a pro forma or the name(s) of the issuer(s). Please note, however, that all of the issuers that Defendants are considering are rated A- or higher (as rated by A.M. Best <http://www3.ambest.com/ratings/default.asp>).

Defendants will provide the bond form (which will include the issuer(s)' names) by the agreed May 25 deadline for Defendants to file the motion asking the Court to approve the particular bond.

Please let us know if you have any questions and whether Oracle agrees to Defendants' proposed stipulation.

Regards,

Jane



Jane L. Froyd

1755 Embarcadero Road • Palo Alto, CA 94303
DIRECT 650.739.3937 • **FAX** 650.739.3900 • **E-MAIL** jfroyd@jonesday.com

From: "Alinder, Zachary J." <zachary.alinder@bingham.com>
To: "Jane Froyd" <jfroyd@JonesDay.com>

5/20/2011

Cc: "Jacqueline K. S. Lee" <jkslee@JonesDay.com>, "Greg Lanier" <tglanier@JonesDay.com>, "Rachel L. Rawson" <rlrawson@JonesDay.com>, "Howard, Geoff" <geoff.howard@bingham.com>, "Chin, Lisa" <lisa.chin@bingham.com>, "Brundage, Robert A." <robert.brundage@bingham.com>

Date: 04/27/2011 05:17 PM

Subject: Oracle v. SAP: Motion for Stay and Approval of Security

Jane,

In its Reply papers, SAP appears to agree that it should post a secured bond in the full agreed amount and to increase the bond amount should any appeal last longer than three years. Given this position, Oracle believes that the Parties may be able to reach agreement on the form of security. The only "reporting" that we would request is (1) that we be provided a pro forma of the bond and information about the bond issuer(s) so that we can confirm that they provide adequate security, and (2) that, in the event the appeal goes beyond three years, we be provided quarterly with confirmation that the bond has been increased to cover accrued interest. In addition, Oracle will agree to SAP's request for 21 days from the date of the May 4th hearing to secure the bond. Assuming this is acceptable, we ask that you send us a draft stipulation reflecting these terms and requesting Judge Hamilton approve them and take the hearing off-calendar. If you'd like to meet and confer on this, we can be available later this week to do so.

Best regards,
Zac

Zachary J. Alinder
Bingham McCutchen LLP
Three Embarcadero Center | San Francisco, CA 94111
T (415) 393-2226 | F (415) 393-2286
zachary.alinder@bingham.com

Confidentiality Notice: The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.

Bingham McCutchen LLP Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with IRS requirements, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding any federal tax penalties. Any legal advice expressed in this message is being delivered to you solely for your use in connection with the matters addressed herein and may not be relied upon by any other person or entity or used for any other purpose without our prior written consent.

=====
This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.
=====

5/20/2011