1	RINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LI D						
2	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)						
	BREE HANN (SBN 215695)						
3	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Talanhana: 415 303 2000						
	Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286						
5	donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com						
6	bree.hann@bingham.com						
7	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)						
8	333 Main Street						
	Armonk, NY 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200						
9	Facsimile: (914) 749-8300						
10	dboies@bsfllp.com STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)						
11	FRED NORTON (SBN 224725) 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900						
12	Oakland, CA 94612						
13	Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460						
	sholtzman@bsfllp.com fnorton@bsfllp.com						
14	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)						
15	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)						
16	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070						
17	Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7144						
18	dorian.daley@oracle.com						
	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Oracle International Corp.						
19							
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
21	OAKLAND DIVISION						
22	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)					
23	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING					
	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE					
24	SAP AG, et al.,						
25	Defendants.						
26							
27							
28							
		NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (ED					

1	Before the Court are Defendants' Motions in Limine. Having reviewed the					
2	parties' papers and carefully considered their arguments, evidence and relevant legal authority,					
3	the Court hereby rules on Defendants' motions as follows:					
4	I. DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1: INFRINGERS'					
5		PROFITS EVIDENCE				
6	Defendants' motion to preclude Oracle from presenting evidence of damages beyond					
7	\$408.7 million is DENIED. In addition, as willful infringers, Defendants are precluded from					
8	deducting costs from their infringers' revenues as a matter of law.					
9		Defendants' moti	on to exclude Oracle'	e's expert's updated damages opinion to account	for	
10	the passage of time is DENIED.					
11		Finally, Defendants' motion to exclude evidence or argument that Defendants' "List of				
12	86" is incomplete is DENIED.					
13 14	II. DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 2: EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF ORACLE'S HYPOTHETICAL LICENSE THEORY					
15		Defendants' moti	on to exclude evidence	nce previously offered solely to support Oracle's		
16	hypothetical license theory is DENIED.					
17 18	III. DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3: TOMORROWNOW'S CRIMINAL CONVICTION					
19		Defendants' moti	on to exclude evidence	nce and argument regarding TomorrowNow's		
20	criminal conviction is DENIED.					
21 22	IV. DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4: EVIDENCE OF "THEFT" OR "STEALING"					
23	Defendants' motion to exclude any remarks, evidence, or testimony referring to					
24	Defendants' actions as "theft" or "stealing" or any variation thereof is DENIED.					
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
26						
27	DATE	ED:	, 2012			
				Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton		
28				United States District Court Judge	·	