EXHIBIT 19

Watz, Tracy - LAW

From: Pickering, Bettina

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2005 5:17 PM

To: Trent, Cathy, Bock, Jim

Subject: RE: FW: TomorrowNow JDE Maintenance Support

Cathy and Jim,

It does not appear that we are going to have time or even much opportunity to determine if any of the JDE licenses can be deactivated to lower the cost of maintenance. As a result, the cost of maint from Oracle is \$935,052 and Tomorrow Now is going to be 1/2 the cost. If we are going with Tomorrow Now, we need time to complete the contract and do the transistition. We need to make this decision by early November at the latest to ensure no loss of coverage.

Bettina

Probably not since we are projecting a 10% increase over the next year or so.

— Original Message—
From: Bock, Jim
Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2005 6:16 PM
To: Trent, Cathy; Pickering, Bettina
Subject: RE: FW: TomorrowNow JDE Maintenance Support

Although the implementation schedule has not been finalized, if Europe goes live first, there would be a slight reduction of the JDE user base (~200) in Europe in 4Q06. The remainder of JDE users would be converted in 3Q07.

Not sure if this creates the leverage we need.

— Original Message —
From: Trent, Cathy
Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2005 3:43 PM
To: Pickering, Bettina; Bock, Jim
Subject: RE: PW: TomorrowNow JDE Maintenance Support

Bettina

I am not sure as to the deployment of SAP. We will continue to add users to .IDE until the SAP equivalent is rolled out.

Jim, Do you have a timeline for when what pieces are moving off of JDE?

AM0285

5/28/2009

TN-Confidential Information

	STATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Case No	4:07-cv-01658 PJH/EDL
DEFEND	ANT Exhibit No. A-5002-1
Date Admir	nod:
By:	
Nice	ole Heuerman, Deputy Clerk

Cathy and Jim,

I spoke with Oracle about lowering their price for JDE support to be more competitive with TomorrowNow. They are looking into it but we need to gather some data to help them sell that to their management. One way to sell a price decrease was to look at how many users would decrease next year and beyond. With this information Oracle was thinking it was their best chance of selling a maintenance price decrease. Can either of you help with estimating this information?

Bettina

----Original Message-----From: Trent, Cathy

Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2005 9:33 PM

To: Bock, Jim; Pickering, Bettina

Subject: RE: FW: TomorrowNow JDE Maintenance Support

I spoke with TomorrowNow and they actually seem to provide more service than Oracle. I think it is the right choice. I also spoke with Pieter, and since they have a European presence also, he was fine with it.

----Original Message--

From: Bock, Jim

Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2005 6:02 PM

To: Pickering, Bettina; Trent, Cathy

Subject: RE: FW: TomorrowNow JDE Maitenance Support

Cathy - this now appears to be the right choice, without any downside. Were you able to confirm equivalent service levels with Bob?

Bettina - are you close enough to this market to know if it is worth the energy to do any further diligence on TomorrowNow competitors before we commit?

Thanks, Jim

----Original Message---

From: Pickering, Bettina

Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 11:30 AM

To: Bock, Jim; Trent, Cathy

Subject: FW: FW: TomorrowNow JDE Maitenance Support

FYI, Jim it looks like we could still sign up early but would not be billed until 1/1/06.

----Original Message---

From: Bob Stephens [mailto:Bob_Stephens@tomorrownow.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 11:28 AM

To: Pickering, Bettina

Subject: Re: FW: TomorrowNow JDE Maitenance Support

Hi Bettina,

Just so you know, I was also contacted this morning by Cathy Trent and described to her the nature of our services and processes.

In response to your questions though not in the order in which you asked:

5/28/2009

AM0286

- Since your maintenance with Oracle expires 12/31/05, there is a slight potential for "degradation" in service levels if we don't have approximately 30-days to prepare for the transition. We would use that time period to assist Amgen in downloading any existing fixes to its current software versions that might not have applied for various reasons. Without doing this, though any subsequent problem would be fixed, it could be delayed if we had to custom develop a fix that was previously available.
- Regardless of when in 2005 you signed up with our services, you would be billed effective 1/1/06 and have 30 days to pay.
- Their is no requirement or problem with waiting to execute the contract up through 11/30/05 based on 1, above. The benefits to signing up sooner are:
- Support would begin immediately and we would have time to interact and feel comfortible with each other prior to the expiration date with Oracle. Amgen could give Oracle the required 30-day in advance written notice that they are not renewing with the knowledge and comfort that they are already being supported.
- Any actual support used during that period is at no cost to Amgen. Your annual support period to which your payment would apply would not be effective until 1/1/06.
- All potential contract issues would have been resolved and you would not be impacted in any way by the anticipated year-end rush by new clients for TomorrowNow.

Hopefully this helps? Feel free to contact me with any other questions you might have?

Best wishes, Bob

Bob Stephens
Senior Account Executive
EnterpriseOne and World Solutions
TomorrowNow Inc.
Tel: (239) 390-7670
Cel: (979) 571-8702
bob stephens@tomorrownow.com

"Pickering, Bettina" <bettinap@amgen.com>

09/01/2005 01:54 PM

To "Bob_Stephens@tomo:

CC

Subject FW: TomorrowNow JDE

Bob,

I left you a vm on this as well, but please help us understand the value proposition in signing up early, other than it helps them smooth out demand.

AM0287

5/28/2009

We would like to explore the early renewal offer but don't understand the particulars. In addition, we need to book the 2006 maintenance as a 2006 expense, which we can't do if we pay in 2005. Do you require payment in 2005 if we sign up in 2005?

If we wait until 2006, could you please clarify if we would experience any degrade in our service levels if we don't take advantage of this early renewal offer?

Bettina

----Original Message----

From: Bob Stephens [mailto:Bob_Stephens@tomorrownow.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 1:33 PM

To: bettinap@amgen.com

Subject: TomorrowNow JDE Maitenance Support

Hi Bettina

You said to get back in touch in late August/early September. Is it still too early to begin evaluting our support services in detail? Amgen's annual savings with our support will exceed \$300,000 /year for each year until your full migration to SAP is complete.

Let me know?

Best wishes, Bob

Bob Stephens
Senior Account Executive
EnterpriseOne and World Solutions
TomorrowNow Inc.
Tel: (239) 390-7670
Cel: (979) 571-8702
bob_stephens@tomorrownow.com

AM0288

- 11							
1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) (donn.pickett@bingham.com)						
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) (geoff.howard@bingham.com) HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) (holly.house@bingham.com)						
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009) (zachary.alinder@bingham.com) BREE HANN (SBN 215695) (bree.hann@bingham.com)						
4	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067						
5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286						
6		m)					
7							
8							
9	350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1435						
10	Telephone: (415) 228-5400 Facsimile: (415) 228-5450						
11	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) (dorian.daley	(@oracle.com)					
12	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) (jennifer.gloss@oracle.com) ORACLE CORPORATION						
13	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S Sop7 Redwood City, CA 94070						
14	Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114						
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Orac	le International Corp.,					
16	Oracle Systems Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and	J.D. Edwards Europe, Ltd.					
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT					
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
19	SAN FRANCISCO						
20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)					
21	ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORP., a California corporation; ORACLE SYSTEMS	Before: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton					
22	CORP., a Delaware corporation; ORACLE EMEA LTD., an Irish private limited	Complaint Filed: March 22, 2007					
23	company; and J.D. EDWARDS EUROPE LTD., an Irish private limited company,	DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OF AMGEN, INC.					
24	Plaintiffs,						
25	V.						
26	SAP AG, a German corporation; SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation;						
27	TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,						
28	Defendants.						



DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OF AMGEN, INC. U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01658 PIH (EDL)

I, Sheila Martin, declare as follows:

I am over the age of 18 and competent to testify to the facts stated in this
declaration. All statements made in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge and
belief.

 I am currently employed as Executive Director Enterprise Records Management at Amgen, Inc. ("Amgen") and my business address is One Amgen Center Drive, Thousand Oaks, California 91320-1799.

_ 3. I am the duly authorized custodian of the following described business records, and am authorized to certify such records: Documents produced in response to Civil Subpoena for Production of Documents and Things served on Amgen pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45, issued by plaintiffs on June 1, 2009 in the matter of *Oracle USA*, *Inc.* et al. v. SAP AG et al., Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL), pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

4. The documents produced in response to plaintiffs' subpoena are true, correct, and complete copies and prepared by Amgen's personnel in the ordinary course of their duties at or near the time of the events recorded. The documents are Bates labeled as AM0001-AM0879.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed at Thousand Oaks, California on August 24, 2009.

By: Here Luct



DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OF AMGEN, INC. U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01638 PJH (EDL) BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP 1 DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) (donn.pickett@bingham.com) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) (geoff.howard@bingham.com) 2 HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) (holly.house@bingham.com) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009) (zachary.alinder@bingham.com) 3 BREE HANN (SBN 215695) (bree.hann@bingham.com) Three Embarcadero Center 4 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 (415) 393-2000 5 Telephone: (415) 393-2286 Facsimile: 6 JOHN F. BARG (SBN 60230) (jfb@bcltlaw.com) MARCO QUAZZO (SBN 142182) (mg@bcltlaw.com) 7 CHRISTOPHER D. JENSEN (SBN 235108) (cdj@bcltlaw.com) BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP 8 350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1435 9 Telephone: (415) 228-5400 Facsimile: (415) 228-5450 10 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) (dorian.daley@oracle.com) 11 JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) (jennifer.gloss@oracle.com) ORACLE CORPORATION 12 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070 13 (650) 506-4846 Telephone: (650) 506-7114 Facsimile: 14 15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corp., Oracle Systems Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and J.D. Edwards Europe, Ltd. 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 SAN FRANCISCO 19 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORP., a 20 Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL) 21 California corporation; ORACLE SYSTEMS Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton Before: CORP., a Delaware corporation; ORACLE Complaint Filed: March 22, 2007 EMEA LTD., an Irish private limited company; and J.D. EDWARDS EUROPE 22 REVISED NOTICE OF SUBPOENA OF 23 LTD., an Irish private limited company, AMGEN, INC. FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 24 Plaintiffs. 25 SAP AG, a German corporation; SAP **26** AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas 27 corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive. 28 Defendants.

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL.)

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 1, 2009, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Amgen, Inc. shall produce, at the offices of Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP, 350 California Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104, telephone number (415) 228-5400, the documents described in Exhibit A to the subpoena served with this notice.

DATED: May 20, 2009

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JOHN F. BARG MARCO QUAZZO CHRISTOPHER D. JENSEN BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP

By: /s/ Christopher D. Jensen

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corp., Oracle Systems Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and J.D. Edwards Europe, Ltd.

BARG **COFFIN** LEWIS &

2

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Central District of California

ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

٧.

SAP AG, a German corp., SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corp., TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corp.

Case Number: (MISC)

07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL) Pending in: United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division

TO: AMGEN, INC. c/o Eric Levinrad, Esq. McDermott Will & Emery, 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3208

, date, and time specified below to
COURTROOM
DATE AND TIME
testify at the taking of a deposition
DATE AND TIME
lowing documents or objects at the
DATE AND TIME 6/1/2009 9:30 am
ate and time specified below.
DATE AND TIME
Il designate one or more officers, forth, for each person designated, the DATE 5/20/2009 oor, San Francisco, CA

(See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c). (d), and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) (donn.pickett@	hingham com)					
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) (geoff.)	howard@bingham.com)					
3	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) (holly.house@ ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009) (zachary.	bingham.com) alinder@bingham.com)					
3	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) (bree.hann@binghan	1.com)					
4	Three Embarcadero Center						
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000						
	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286						
6	JOHN F. BARG (SBN 60230) (jfb@bcltlaw.com)						
7	MARCO QUAZZO (SBN 142182) (mq@bcltlaw.com)	com)					
	CHRISTOPHER D. JENSEN (SBN 235108) (cdj@	boltlaw.com)					
8	BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP 350 California Street, 22nd Floor						
9	San Francisco, California 94104-1435						
10	Telephone: (415) 228-5400 Facsimile: (415) 228-5450						
10							
11	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) (dorian.daley@c JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) (jennifer.gloss)						
12	ORACLE CORPORATION	e oracic.com/					
12	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070						
13	Telephone: (650) 506-4846						
14	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114						
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle In	nternational Corp.,					
1.0	Oracle Systems Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and J.D	. Edwards Europe, Ltd.					
16							
17	Issued by the						
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
19	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
20							
20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation, et al.,	CASE NO. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)					
21		Pending in:					
22	Plaintiffs,	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
23	SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
24	TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation,	EXHIBIT A TO THE SUPOENA FOR					
25	and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	THE PRODUCTION OF					
	Defendants.	DOCUMENTS TO AMGEN, INC.					
26		Draduction Date: Mar. 10, 2000					
27		Production Date: May 18, 2009					
28							

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP

EXHIBIT A TO SUPOENA FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

inspect copies, or create copies of originals for such inspection.

2

TO:

AMGEN, INC.

3 4

5 6

7 8

10

9

1112

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24

25 26

27
BARG 28

COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., et al., (collectively, "Oracle") request that Amgen, Inc. produce within its district by depositing documents in the U.S. mail or by other recognized method of courier service, and direct such delivery to Christopher D. Jensen, Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp LLP, 350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1435 (Tel: 415-228-5411) on June 1, 2009 at 9:30 a.m., or at such time and place as may be mutually agreed upon by the parties, the documents, electronic data, and things described herein that are in Your possession, custody, and/or control, and thereby permit Oracle and/or its representatives to

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, the following definitions shall apply to each of the Requests below:

- 1. The terms "Amgen," "You," and "Your," mean and include non-party Amgen, Inc. and all of its current and former subsidiaries and affiliates; all divisions, predecessors, successors and assigns of each of the foregoing; and all current officers, directors, employees, agents, consultants, attorneys, accountants, administrators and all other persons, organizations, or others acting or purporting to act on behalf, or under the control, of each of the foregoing, including all temporary employees and independent contractors.
- 2. The term "Oracle" means and includes plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., et al.; all current and former subsidiaries and affiliates thereof; all divisions, predecessors, successors and assigns of each of the foregoing.
- 3. The term "TommorowNow" means third-party software support services provider TomorrowNow, Inc., a Texas corporation, and all current and former all divisions, predecessors, successors and assigns thereof.
- 4. The term "Document(s)" means and includes, without limitation, written, printed, typed, recorded, computerized, electronic, taped, graphic or other matter, in whatever form,

> 18 19

21

22

23 24 25

26 27

28

whether in final or draft, including, but not limited to, all materials and things that constitute "writings" or "recordings" within the meaning of Rule 1001 of the Federal Rules of Evidence or Documents within the meaning of Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The term "Documents(s)" further includes, but is not limited to, the original and each copy of any writings, including any copy that differs in any respect from the original or other versions of the Document(s), such as, but not limited to, copies containing notations, insertions, corrections, marginal notes, or any other variations; records or files; correspondence; reports; memoranda; calendars; diaries; minutes; electronic messages; voicemail; email; telephone message records or logs; computer and network activity logs; data on hard drives; backup data; data on removable computer storage media such as tapes, disks, and cards; printouts; document image files; web pages; databases; spreadsheets; software; hardware; books; ledgers; journals; orders; invoices; bills; vouchers; checks; statements; worksheets; summaries; compilations; computations; charts; diagrams; graphic presentations; drawings; films; charts; digital or chemical process photographs; video, phonographic, tape, or digital records or transcripts; drafts; jottings; and notes.

- 5. The terms "Relate," "Relating," "Related," "Concern," or "Concerning" mean about, constituting, describing, discussing, evidencing, pertaining to, consisting of, referencing, reflecting or having any logical or factual connection with the matter discussed.
- 6. The term "Person" means any natural person, company, corporation, partnership, firm, association, entity, government agency or other organization cognizable at law, and its agents, representatives and employees.
- 7. The term "Communication" means any transmission of information from one Person to another, including without limitation any of the following: (a) any Document, including any e-mail; (b) any telephone call between two or more Persons, whether or not such call was by chance or prearranged, formal or informal; and (c) any conversation or meeting between two or more Persons, whether or not such contact was by chance or prearranged, formal or informal.
 - 8. The term "Customer Connection" means any Oracle-maintained support website,

including E-Delivery, for PeopleSoft, JD Edwards and Siebel Systems customers and all associated Software And Support Materials, Documents, data, hardware, software, physical server locations, internet protocol addresses, and any other tangible or identifiable things associated with the website, including those available via Change Assistant.

- 9. The term "Download" means any duplication, copying, transfer, or replication, in whole or in part, of any file, Document, data, or other information from an outside source connected through the internet to a computer, server, or network that is part of another Person's computer infrastructure or subject to that Person's control.
- _ 10. The term "Software And Support Materials" means, without limitation, all programs, and all code (fixes, patches, and updates) Related to any Oracle materials across the entire family of PeopleSoft, JD Edwards, and/or Siebel Systems branded products; and all Documents and documentation concerning such programs and code (fixes, patches, and updates).
- 11. The term "Use," with reference to Software And Support Materials, means to study, alter, manipulate, reverse-engineer, distribute, copy or replicate—in whole or in part—or otherwise interact with.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. With respect to a Document that You contend is privileged, immune or otherwise excludable from discovery, provide: (i) the Document's preparation date and the date appearing on the Document; (ii) the name, present and last known addresses, telephone numbers, titles and positions, and occupations of those individuals who prepared, produced, reproduced, and received said Document, including all authors, senders, recipients, "cc" recipients, and "bcc" recipients; (iii) the number of pages withheld in the Document or the size, volume or quantity of the Document withheld; and (iv) a description sufficient to identify the Document without revealing the information for which the privilege is claimed, including the general subject matter and character of the Document (e.g., letter, memorandum, notes).
- 2. With respect to a Document that You contend is privileged only in part, produce the responsive non-privileged portion of the Document in redacted form, provided that the redacted material is identified and the basis for the claim of privilege is stated in accordance with

Instruction number 1 above.

- 3. If a Document once existed, but has been lost or destroyed, or otherwise is no longer in Your possession, custody, or control, You shall identify the Document and state the details concerning the loss of such Document, including the name, title, and address of the present custodian of any such Document, if known to You.
- 4. The terms "and" as well as "or" shall be construed disjunctively and conjunctively as necessary in order to bring within the scope of the following Requests all information which might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.
 - _5. The use of the singular form of any word includes the plural and vice versa.
- 6. The term "including" is comprehensive and shall be construed as "including but not limited to," the term "any" shall be construed as "any and all," and the term "each" shall be construed as "each and every."
- 7. Any pronoun shall be construed to refer to the masculine, feminine, or neuter gender as in each case is most inclusive.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the relevant time period for Your response to these requested Documents shall be from January 1, 2005 to June 30, 2008.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All Communications between You and TomorrowNow constituting and Concerning:

- (i) Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards Software And Support Materials;
- system logs, web proxy logs, application-level logs, network logs, scripts, manifests, database records, spreadsheets, and reports Relating to such Software And Support Materials;
- (iii) TomorrowNow's development of Software and Support Materials for Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards software; and
- (iv) Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards Software And Support Materials received by, provided to, Downloaded by You from TomorrowNow

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP 24

25

26

27

28

EXHIBIT A TO SUPOENA FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

servers, or otherwise made available to You from TomorrowNow.

3 4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26 27

BARG 28 COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP All Documents, including any Communications between You and TomorrowNow and Your internal Communications, Concerning: (i) the installation of Software And Support Materials for Your Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards software environment by TomorrowNow and (ii) local or remote software environments made available to You by TomorrowNow.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

_All Documents, and Communications between You and TomorrowNow and Your internal Communications, Concerning Your consideration or negotiation of any proposed or actual use of TomorrowNow as Your software support provider for Oracle's PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards branded enterprise software applications products, including: (i) any marketing materials provided to You by TomorrowNow Relating to support services for Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards software; and (ii) any Communications from TomorrowNow Concerning Your existing software contracts.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All Documents and Communications showing or constituting any considered, proposed, or actual licensing of software from TomorrowNow, including: (i) negotiations, or representations Relating to considered, proposed, or agreed-upon services or contractual terms; and (ii) all past or present contracts, licenses, agreements, terms and conditions, ordering Documents, and incorporated policies Related to any licensing of software from TomorrowNow.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All Documents and Communications constituting and Concerning Your permission or authorization—in any way or manner—for or on behalf of TomorrowNow, to Use or Download Software And Support Materials from Customer Connection, including, but not limited to, any request(s) by TomorrowNow for the provision of login identifications, credentials, or passwords.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All Documents and Communications constituting and Concerning TomorrowNow's

request that You provide TomorrowNow, or any of its agents or assigns, with source code or installation media (via CDs, electronic devices, or Oracle E-Delivery material) for any Oracle, JD Edwards, Siebel Systems, and/or PeopleSoft branded software applications.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All Documents, including any Communications between You and TomorrowNow, Concerning TomorrowNow's efforts, plans, and/or actions to Use Software and Support Materials, or JD Edwards, Siebel Systems, and/or PeopleSoft branded software application source code, in connection with providing services or selling products to You or others.

RULE 45 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides, in part, as follows:

(c) Protection of Persons Subject to Subpoenas.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorneys' fee.
- (2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, Documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises -- or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party



serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

- (3)(A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
 - (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party; or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) Duties in Responding to Subpoena.

- (1)(A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.
- (C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2)(A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may

promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

DATED: May 20, 2009

JOHN F. BARG MARCO QUAZZO CHRISTOPHER D. JENSEN BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP

By: /s/Christopher D. Jensen
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle
International Corp., Oracle Systems Corp., Oracle
EMEA Ltd., and J.D. Edwards Europe, Ltd.

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP EXHIBIT A TO SUPOENA FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS U.S.D.C. Case No. Civ. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

McDermott Will&Emery

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf Houston London Los Angeles Mitami Milan Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Sillcon Valley Washington, D.C. Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

Eric Levinrad elevinrad@mwe.com +1 310 551 9370

June 26, 2009

VIA U.S. MAIL

Christopher D. Jensen Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP 350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94010-1435

Re: Amgen Inc.'s Response to Subpoena (Oracle USA, Inc. et al. v. SAP AG et al.)

Dear Chris:

Enclosed please find Amgen Inc.'s production documents Bates labeled AM0001 – AM0879, Amgen Inc.'s Response to Subpoena and Amgen Inc's privilege log.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely

Eric Levinrad

Enclosures

cc:

Donn P. Pickett (response and privilege log only) Dorian Daley (response and privilege log only)

LAS99 1735456-1.041925,0129



Privilege Number	Bates Number	From	Recipient	СС	Date Sent	Description	Basis for Privilege
					:	Confidential communication with	
1	NA	DiPrizio, Vito	Rawles, Lee	Pickering, Betina	12/13/2005 16:39	outside counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
2	NA	Zeverly, Jane	Pickering, Betina	Miciano, Miguel; DiPrizio, Vito; Davis, Kimberly	12/1/05, 11:06 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
3	NA	Zeverly, Jane	Pickering, Betina	Miciano, Miguel; DiPrizio, Vito; Davis, Kimberly	12/1/05, 11:22 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
4	NA	DiPrizio, Vito	Pickering, Betina		12/1/05, 9:42 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
5	NA	DìPrizio, Vito	Rawles, Lee	Pickering, Betina	12/13/05. 11:11 AM	Confidential communication with outside counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
6	NA	Rawles, Lee	DiPrizio, Vito	Pickering, Betina; Zeverly, Jane	12/13/05, 4:40 PM	Confidential communication with outside counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
7	AM0879	DiPrizio, Vito	Rawles, Lee	Pickering, Betina	12/15/05, 9:33 AM	Confidential communication with outside counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
8	NA	DiPrizio, Vito	Zeverly, Jane	Pickering, Betina	12/2/2005, 10:06 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
9	NA	DiPrizio, Vito	Zeverly, Jane	Pickering, Betina	12/2/2005, 10:50 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
10	NA	DiPrizio, Vito	Rawles, Lee		12/5/2005, 4:46 PM	Confidential communication with outside counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
11	NA	DìPrizio, Vito	Rawles, Lee	Pickering, Betina	12/5/2005, 5:20 PM	Confidential communication with outside counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
12	AM0136	Lee, Wilfred	Williams, Mike	Le, Hieu; Pickering, Bettina	5/2/2006, 10:20 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
13	AM0136	Williams, Mike	Lee, Wilfred	Le, Hieu; Pickering, Bettina	5/2/2006, 10:41 AM	Confidential communication with in- house counsel.	Attorney-Client Privilege
14	AM0133	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	Attachment to email Bates numbered AM0131-AM0132	Amgen Confidential Financial Information

AMGEN INC.'S RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

SAN FRANCISCO
Calendar and Court Services

PROPOUNDING PARTY:

PLAINTIFF ORACLE USA, INC.

RESPONDING PARTY:

THIRD PARTY WITNESS AMGEN INC.

SET NUMBER:

ONE (Nos. 1-7)

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, AMGEN INC. ("Amgen") hereby objects and responds to Plaintiff ORACLE USA, INC.'s ("Oracle" or "Plaintiff") Subpoena requesting the production of documents by Amgen and to the specific Requests for Production set forth in Exhibit A to the Subpoena ("Requests") as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following general objections and statements apply to each of the particular Requests propounded by Oracle and are hereby incorporated within each response set forth below. No specific objection is intended to constitute, and should not be construed as constituting, a waiver of any general objection.

1. Amgen objects to the Requests to the extent they purport to require Amgen to produce documents or electronically stored information that are maintained in Amgen's archival databases, which sources are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost. This objection is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(d)(1)(D), which provides:

"The person responding [to a subpoena] need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost."

- 2. Amgen objects to the Requests to the extent that any Request seeks information and/or documents that contain or constitute Amgen's proprietary, confidential, trade secret, and/or private information.
- 3. Amgen objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek to impose obligations different from, or in excess of, those created by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules. Amgen's responses are made pursuant to, and as limited by, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules.

LAS99 1733925-1.041925,0129

- 4. Amgen objects to the Requests to the extent they seek information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privileges, protections or doctrines of similar effect. To the extent that Amgen inadvertently produces any information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection, such production is not intended to, and shall not operate as, a waiver of any applicable privilege with respect to that information or any other information. Amgen reserves the right to demand the return of any inadvertently produced information and/or document and copies thereof. Insofar as the production of any information by Amgen in response to these Requests may be deemed to be a waiver of any privilege or right, such waiver shall be deemed to be a limited waiver with respect to that particular information and/or document only.
- 5. Amgen objects to the Requests to the extent that: (i) they seek information and/or documents that are unreasonably cumulative or duplicative; (ii) they seek information not currently in the possession, custody or control of Amgen; (iii) they seek information and/or documents that may be obtained from other sources that are more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive; (iv) they seek information that is neither relevant to the parties' claims or defenses in this action, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; and (v) compliance with the Requests would be unduly burdensome, overly expensive, annoying or oppressive.
- 6. Amgen objects to the Definitions, and to the purported instructions in such Definitions, to the extent they (i) are unclear, ambiguous, overly broad or unduly burdensome; (ii) are inconsistent with the ordinary and customary meaning of the words or phrases they purport to define; (iii) seek to impose obligations different from, or in excess of, those created by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules; (iv) include assertions of purported fact that are inaccurate, or at the very least are disputed by the parties to this action; and/or (v) incorporate other purported defined terms that suffer from such defects. Without limiting the breadth and general application of these objections, Amgen further objects to the Definitions as follows:

- a. Amgen objects to the definitions of "Amgen," "You" and "Your" in paragraph 1 of the Definitions to the extent they purport to include "all of [Amgen's] current and former subsidiaries and affiliates; all divisions, predecessors, successors and assigns of each of the foregoing; and all current officers, directors, employees, agents, consultants, attorneys, accountants, administrators and all other persons, organizations, or others acting or purporting to act on behalf, or under the control, of each of the foregoing, including all temporary employees and independent contractors" on the grounds that: (1) the definition is vague and ambiguous; and (2) the definition is compound, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and beyond the scope of permissible discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- b. Amgen objects to the definition of "Relate," "Relating," "Related," "Concern," or "Concerning" in paragraph 5 of the Definitions on the grounds that the definition is compound, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and beyond the scope of permissible discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. Amgen's responses herein are based upon facts presently known to Amgen, and represent a diligent and good faith effort to comply with these Requests. Amgen reserves the right to supplement, alter, or change its responses and objections to these Requests, and to produce additional responsive information and/or documents, if any, that Amgen has in its possession, custody or control at the time these Requests were propounded. Amgen further reserves the right, during any subsequent proceedings in which it may be involved, to rely on documents, evidence, or other matters in addition to the information and/or documents produced in response to these Requests, whether or not such documents, evidence, or other matters are newly discovered or are now in existence, but have not been located despite diligent and good faith efforts.

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All Communications between You and TomorrowNow constituting and concerning:

- (i) Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards Software And Support Materials;
- (ii) system logs, web proxy logs, application-level logs, network logs, scripts,
 manifests, database records, spreadsheets, and reports Relating to such Software And
 Support Materials;
- (iii) TomorrowNow's development of Software and Support Materials for Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards software; and
- (iv) Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards Software And Support Materials received by, provided to, Downloaded by You from TomorrowNow servers, or otherwise made available to You from TomorrowNow.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Amgen additionally objects to this request to the extent that it seeks Amgen's confidential and/or proprietary business information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,
Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing
responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not
require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not
reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

LAS99 1733925-1.041925.0129

- 4 -

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All Documents, including any Communications between You and TomorrowNow and Your internal Communications, Concerning: (i) the installation of Software And Support Materials for Your Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards software environment by TommorowNow and (ii) local or remote software environments made available to You by TomorrowNow.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Amgen additionally objects to this request to the extent that it seeks Amgen's confidential and/or proprietary business information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,
Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing
responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not
require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not
reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All Documents, and Communications between You and TomorrowNow and Your internal Communications, Concerning Your consideration or negotiation of any proposed or actual use of TomorrowNow as Your software support provider for Oracle's PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards branded enterprise software applications products, including: (i) any marketing materials provided to You by TomorrowNow Relating to support services for Oracle, PeopleSoft, Siebel Systems, and/or JD Edwards software; and (ii) any Communications from TomorrowNow Concerning Your existing software contracts.

LAS99 1733925-1.041925.0129

- 5 -

15.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,
Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing
responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not
require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not
reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All Documents and Communications showing or constituting any considered, proposed, or actual licensing of software from TomorrowNow, including:

(i) negotiations, or representations Relating to, considered, proposed, or agreed-upon services or contractual terms; and (ii) all past or present contracts, licenses, agreements, terms and conditions, ordering Documents, and incorporated policies Related to any licensing of software from TomorrowNow.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,
Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing
responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not
require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not
reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

LAS99 1733925-1,041925,0129

- 6 -

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All Documents and Communications constituting and Concerning Your permission or authorization—in any way or manner—for or on behalf of TomorrowNow, to Use or Download Software And Support Materials from Customer Connection, including, but not limited to, any request(s) by TomorrowNow for the provision of login identifications, credentials, or passwords.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,
Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing
responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not
require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not
reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All Documents and Communications constituting and Concerning
TomorrowNow's request that You provide TomorrowNow, or any of its agents or assigns,
with source code or installation media (via CDs, electronic devices, or Oracle E-Delivery
material) for any Oracle, JD Edwards, Siebel Systems, and/or PeopleSoft branded
software applications.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,

LAS99 1733925-1.041925.0129

- 7 -

Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All Documents, including any Communications between You and TomorrowNow, Concerning TomorrowNow's efforts, plans, and/or actions to Use Software and Support Materials, or JD Edwards, Siebel Systems, and/or PeopleSoft branded software application source code, in connection with providing services or selling products to You or others.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Amgen incorporates by reference each of its General Objections above. Amgen objects to this request on the ground that it is improperly compound. Amgen further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, Amgen responds as follows: Amgen will comply with this request by producing responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control that do not require extraction or recovery from archival sources, as such archived documents are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden and cost.

22

Dated:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

June 26, 2009

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Attorney for Third Party Witness

AMGEN INC.

LAS99 1733925-1,041925,0129

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	1
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

26

27

28

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3800, Los Angeles, California 90067-3218.

On July 26, 2009, I served the following documents: AMGEN INC.'S RESPONSES TO ORACLE USA, INC.'S EXHIBIT A TO THE SUBPOENA FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- (CM/ECF Electronic Filing) I caused the above document(s) to be transmitted to the office(s) of the addressee(s) listed above by electronic mail at the e-mail address(es) set forth above pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.5(d)(1). "A Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) is generated automatically by the ECF system upon completion of an electronic filing. The NEF, when e-mailed to the e-mail address of record in the case, shall constitute the proof of service as required by Fed.R.Civ.P.5(d)(1). A copy of the NEF shall be attached to any document served in the traditional manner upon any party appearing pro se."
- [X] [BY MAIL] as follows: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice the correspondence was deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same day this declaration was executed in the ordinary course of business. Under that practice the envelope(s) was (were) sealed, and with postage thereon fully prepaid, placed for collection and mailing on this date in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 26, 2009, at Los Angeles, California.

FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction service was made.

DEANNA RODRIGUEZ

LAS99 1733925-1.041925.0129

-9-

- 1	
2	
3	Christo
4	John F. Marco (
5	BARG 350 Cal San Fra
6	Phone:
7	Fax: (4
8	Donn P Geoffre
9	Holly A Zackary
10	Bree Ha BINGH Three E
11	San Fra
12	Phone: Fax: (4
13	Dorian
14	Jennifer ORACI
15	500 Ora
16	Phone: Fax: (6
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	. •
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	SE
2	
3	Christopher D. Jensen
4	John F. BArg Marco Quazzo
5	BARG ČOFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLI 350 California Street, 22nd Floor
6	San Francisco, CA 94104-1435 Phone: (415) 228-5400
7	Fax: (415) 228-5450
8	Donn P. Pickett Geoffrey M. Howard
9	Holly A. House Zackary J. Alinder
10	Bree Haan BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center
11	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
12	Phone: (415) 393-2000 Fax: (415) 393-2286
13	Dorian Daley Jennifer Gloss
14	ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7
15	Redwood City, CA 94070 Phone: (650) 506-4846
16	Fax: (650) 506-7114
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	