## **EXHIBIT 22**

Foundation for A-0059 (Merck)

## Defendants' Trial Exhibit A-0059 (Merck) - Foundational Support

- ORCL00034317 (Oracle organizational chart identifying Barbara Sharpe-Moore as Oracle Senior Regional Manager, Support Sales)
- ORCL00318663 (Oracle production document identifying Barbara Sharpe-Moore as regional sales manager, Oracle Strategic Support Sales, located at ORCL00318663)
- Plaintiffs' Resp. & Objs. to Interrogatory No. 98 in Defs. 5th Set of Interrogatories (identifying Barbara Sharpe-Moore as support sales manager for Merck)
- Cummins Sept. 16, 2008 Depo. Tr. 34:5-22, 24-25 (Rule 30(b)(6) testimony indicating that support renewal/sales representatives report to regional managers and associated job responsibilities)
- Duggan Aug. 7, 2009 Depo. Tr. 21:23-22:25 (Rule 30(b)(6) testimony describing the job responsibilities of supports sales representatives and managers)
- Duggan Aug. 7, 2009 Depo. Tr. 23:16-24 (Rule 30(b)(6) testimony identifying duties of support sales representatives with regard to communications with Oracle customers)



## ORACLE' Aria People Search

<u>Jason Taylor</u> <u>Sr. Director, Support Sales Strategic</u> <u>Directs: 5 Total: 43</u>

Barbara Sharp-Moore
Snr Regional Manager, Support
Sales
Directs: 7 Total: 7

Chris Dusek Services Renewal Rep Shawn Grafitti Services Renewal Rep Robert Martin Services Renewal Rep

<u>Todd Orlando</u> Inside Sales Representative Andrea Patterson Services Renewal Rep <u>Donelle Pope</u> Services Renewal Rep

Lisa Supancio Services Renewal Rep



From:

Barbara Sharp-Moore [barbara.sharp-moore@oracle.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 12, 2005 5:24 PM OSSINFO\_US\_APPR

To:

Subject:

Fed Ex pricing

Attachments:

Fed Ex Pricing details for 2005 4213695.xls



Fed Ex Pricing details for 200...

for discussion

Barbara Sharp-Moore Regional Sales Manager

Oracle Strategic Support Sales Phone 703-364-0108 Fax 703-364-7057



| 1        | BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  |  |
|----------|--|--|
| _        | DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)  |  |
| 2        | GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)  |  |
| 3        | HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)<br>ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)                                   |  |
| 5        | BREE HANN (SBN 215695)   |  |
| 4        | Three Embarcadero Center   |  |
|          | San Francisco, CA 94111-4067   |  |
| 5        | Telephone: (415) 393-2000  |  |
|          | Facsimile: (415) 393-2286  |  |
| 6        | donn.pickett@bingham.com   |  |
| _        | geoff.howard@bingham.com   |  |
| 7        | holly.house@bingham.com  |  |
| 8        | zachary.alinder@bingham.com  |  |
| 0        | bree.hann@bingham.com  |  |
| 9        | DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)  |  |
|          | JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)  |  |
| 10       | 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7   |  |
|          | Redwood City, CA 94070   |  |
| 11       | Telephone: (650) 506-4846  |  |
|          | Facsimile: (650) 506-7114  |  |
| 12       | dorian.daley@oracle.com  |  |
| 13       | jennifer.gloss@oracle.com  |  |
| 15       | A. C. Th. L. CC  |  |
| 14       | Attorneys for Plaintiffs   |  |
|          | Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation Oracle EMEA Limited, and Siebel Systems, Inc. | <b>,</b>                                 |
| 15       | Oracle EWIEA Elithied, and Stepel Systems, Inc.  |  |
| 1.0      |  |  |
| 16       | UNITED STATES DI   | STRICT COURT                             |
| 17       |  |  |
| •        | NORTHERN DISTRICT  | OF CALIFORNIA                            |
| 18       | OAKLAND D  | MUSION                                   |
|          | OAKLAND D  | TVISION                                  |
| 19       |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |
| 20       | ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,  | CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)           |
| 21       | TDI : (:CC   |  |
|          | Plaintiffs,  | PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL                 |
| 22       | V.   | RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO              |
|          | SAP AG, et al.,  | INTERROGATORY NO. 98 IN                  |
| 23       |  | DEFENDANTS' FIFTH SET OF                 |
| ا ر      | Defendants.  | INTERROGATORIES (SIEBEL)                 |
| 24       |  |  |
| 25       |  | CONTAINS HIGHLY                          |
|          |  | CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION |
| 26       |  | DESIGNATED PURSUANT TO                   |
|          |  | PROTECTIVE ORDER                         |
| 27       |  | — — <del></del>                          |
| <b>,</b> |  |  |
| 28       | ·  | 07-CV-01658 PIH (EDL.)                   |
|          |  | V/-C-V-01036 PJH (EDL)                   |

07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

Oracle objects to these Interrogatories to the extent they are compound.

27

28

5.

- 6. Oracle objects to each Interrogatory to the extent it seeks disclosure of information protected from discovery by the attorney-client, common interest, work product, witness statement, and/or party communications privileges, the privileges and exemptions from discovery afforded to materials prepared in anticipation of litigation or in preparation for trial, and all other applicable privileges, protections or immunities under the law. Oracle does not intend to disclose such protected information.
- 7. Oracle's investigation into the facts of the case is ongoing. These Responses are made based on Oracle's knowledge to date following a reasonable search. Oracle reserves the right to supplement these Responses and will amend these Responses as required at an appropriate time pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

## **OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS**

1. Oracle objects to the Definition of "Identify" to the extent that it purports to require Oracle to provide information that is not within its possession, custody or control, or that cannot be identified following a reasonable search. Oracle further objects to the Definition of "Identify" to the extent it purports to require Oracle to provide address or business affiliation information for current or former employees. To the extent that Defendants intend to contact such individuals, they may attempt to do so through Oracle's counsel.

### **OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. Oracle objects to Instruction Nos. 1 and 3 to the extent that it purports to obligate Oracle to respond in any manner that exceeds or is inconsistent with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the rules of this Court, or any other applicable laws.

  Oracle shall respond to the Interrogatories only to the extent and in the manner required by law.
- 2. Oracle objects to the "rules of construction" stated in Instruction No. 2 on the grounds that they are unduly burdensome and overbroad.
- 3. Oracle objects to the time period set by Instruction No. 4, which is "January 1, 2002 through the date of response," as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it imposes a burden or obligation different from or additional to the agreement the parties have reached regarding production of information before 2004 and after the filing of the 3 O7-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

1, 2006.

litigation. Accordingly, Oracle's responses will be for the time period January 1, 2004 to March 22, 2007, unless the discovery is covered by the Expanded Discovery Timeline Agreement.

4. Oracle objects that the relevant time frame for Siebel starts from January

# RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO INTERROGATORY NO. 98 IN DEFENDANTS' FIFTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 98:**

Identify the Regional Managers responsible for Support Sales for each customer listed in Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc.'s Supplemental Exhibit 1 to its First Sets of Requests for Production and Interrogatories to Plaintiffs between January 1, 2002 and October 31, 2008.

## **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 98:**

In addition to its General Objections, which Oracle incorporates here by reference, Oracle objects to the use of the undefined terms "Regional Managers" and "responsible" on the grounds that they are vague, ambiguous, overbroad and unduly burdensome. Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information that is not in the possession, custody or control of Oracle. Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it purports to require Oracle to do anything beyond the reasonable search for responsive information required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in particular with respect to historical information related to PeopleSoft, J.D. Edwards and/or Siebel. Oracle also objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks disclosure of information protected from discovery by any privilege, protection or immunity, including but not limited to attorney-client privilege and work product protection. Oracle also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Oracle further objects to the extent the Interrogatory purports to require Oracle to create a compilation, abstract, or summary from business records that Oracle has already produced or will produce.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific objections, Oracle

4 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

responds as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Following a reasonable search, Oracle lists below its understanding of which sales managers were connected with the TomorrowNow customers identified by Defendants during the relevant time period. Where no sales manager had been assigned to the customer or where Oracle could not locate the name of a sales manager associated with the customer, Oracle has, to the extent it could do so without undue burden, included the name of any sales representatives that it could identify related to that customer. Such sales representatives have been identified with a "\*" next to their name below. Further, to the extent no sales representative had been assigned either or that information could not be located following a reasonable search, Oracle has attempted to identify documents in Oracle's production that identify support sales manager or sales representative information related to the customer. In addition, the names of the sales managers and sales representatives related to the customers on TomorrowNow's customer list are identified in numerous documents throughout Oracle's production, including, for example, atrisk reports (see, e.g., ORCL00274684), bookings reports (see, e.g., ORCL00131330), cancellation reports (see, e.g., ORCL00131416), support revenue lists (see, e.g., ORCL00267953), territory spreadsheets (see, e.g., ORCL00184144), and renewal letters (see, e.g., ORCL00149099 and ORCL00016776). Therefore, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 33(d), Oracle refers Defendants to those documents in Oracle's production.

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| Customer Name                      | Name Discrepancy<br>(If Any) | Alternate Customer<br>Name            | Sales Manager Name                               |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 5 Star Quality<br>Care             |                              | Five Star Quality Care, Inc.          | Chris Madsen, Nancy<br>Schebe, Deena<br>Marchese |
| A O Smith                          |                              | AO Smith Corporation                  | Robert Lachs, Jordan<br>Rowe-McCune              |
| Abbott<br>Laboratories,<br>Limited |                              | Abbott Laboratories<br>Limited Canada | James Blackford, John<br>Humphrys                |

| 1    |  |
|------|--|
| 2    |  |
| 3    |  |
| 4    |  |
| 5    |  |
| 6    |  |
| 7    |  |
| 8    |  |
| 9    |  |
| 10   |  |
| 11   |  |
| 12   |  |
| 13   |  |
| 14   |  |
| 15   |  |
| 16   |  |
| 17   |  |
| 18   |  |
| 19   |  |
| 20   |  |
| 21   |  |
| 22   |  |
| 23   |  |
| 24   |  |
| 25   |  |
| 26   |  |
| 27   |  |
| - 11 |  |

| Manugistics<br>Group, Inc     |                 |   | Robert Lachs, Jordan<br>Rowe-McCune  |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Markel<br>Corporation         |                 |   | Robert Lachs, Kersten<br>Knickerbocker,<br>Camelia Stefanescu  |
| McLennan<br>County            |                 |   | Chris Madsen   |
| Caumy                         |                 | State of Texas Department of Information Resources      | James McLeod, Lars<br>McCulloch, Marjorie<br>Gauthier, Eric Szafran  |
|                               |                 | Texas Dept of Health<br>and Human Services<br>Commision | Lars McCulloch   |
| Medicine Hat,<br>City of      |                 |   | James Blackford  |
| Merck &<br>Company, Inc.      |                 |   | Chris Madsen, James<br>McLeod, Robert Lachs<br>Barbara Sharp-Moore,<br>Kersten Knickerbocker<br>Jlm Steder, James<br>Blackford |
| Metex<br>Corporation          |                 | United Capital Corp.                                    | James Blackford  |
| Metro Machine<br>Corporation  | Metro Machine   |   | Robert Lachs, Rachel<br>Romano   |
| Mieco Inc                     |                 |   | James Blackford  |
|                               | MIECO           |   | Chris Madsen   |
| Mortice Kern<br>Systems, Inc. |                 |   | James Blackford, John<br>Humphrys  |
| Municipality of<br>Anchorage  |                 |   | Oracle has not been able to locate the Support Sales Manager or Sales Representative for this name.                            |
| Murphy-Brown<br>LLC           |                 |   | Chris Madsen, Alison<br>Kugler   |
|                               |                 | Smithfield Foods  | Robert Lachs, Jim<br>Steder  |
| Mutual of Omaha               | Mutual Of Omaha |   | Robert Lachs, Jordan   |

|                                 | Chandler, Dan Chup*,<br>Diane Howell-<br>Watkins*, Jordan<br>Rowe-McCune   |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Smart Centers,<br>LLC           | Betty Simpson*, John<br>Humphrys   |
| Standard<br>Register<br>Company | Stephen Keane*,<br>Jennie Edwards  |
| Watson<br>Laboratories, Inc.    | Costa Apostolos,<br>Chrissy Bernazzani*,<br>Jennie Edwards   |
| DATED: September 18, 200        | 9  |
|                                 | BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  |
|                                 | By: Zuch alinder   |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |
|                                 | By: Zachary J. Alinder  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle Internatio  Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and |

| 1                    |  | I am over eighteen yea   | rs of age, not a party in this action, and employed in  |
|----------------------|--|--|---|
| 2                    | San Francisco                              | County, California at T  | hree Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California  |
| 3                    | 94111-4067.                                | I am readily familiar wi   | th the practice of this office for collection and processing  |
| 4                    | of correspond                              | lence for mail/fax/hand o  | lelivery/next business day FedEx delivery, and they are   |
| 5                    | deposited that                             | t same day in the ordinar  | ry course of business.  |
| 6                    |  | On September 18, 200   | 9, I served the attached:   |
| 7<br>8<br>9          |  | OBJECTIONS TO II   | LEMENTAL RESPONSES AND<br>NTERROGATORY NO. 98 IN<br>TH SET OF INTERROGATORIES   |
| 10                   |  |  | tting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax low on this date before 5:00 p.m.   |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14 |  | United States Mail at 8 prepaid, addressed as practice for collection United States Postal S | g a true and correct copy of the above to be placed in the San Francisco, California in sealed envelope(s) with postage set forth below. I am readily familiar with this law firm's and processing of correspondence for mailing with the ervice. Correspondence is deposited with the United States are day it is left for collection and processing in the ordinary |
| 15<br>16<br>17       | ×  | of the document(s) list  | VERNIGHT DELIVERY) by causing a true and correct copy ted above to be delivered by FedEx in sealed envelope(s) tithe address(es) set forth below.   |
| 18<br>19<br>20       |  | documents to be hand<br>person(s) at the addres<br>(VIA EMAIL) by tran                       | CE) by causing a true and correct copy of the above delivered in sealed envelope(s) with all fees fully paid to the ss(es) set forth below.  Is mitting via email the document(s) listed above on this date email address(es) set forth below.  |
| 21                   | 99 V 20                                    | anni vinco vi la co  |   |
| 22                   | Jason McDo<br>Elaine Walla                 |  | Tharan Gregory Lanier, Esq.<br>Jane L. Froyd, Esq.  |
| 24                   | Jones Day<br>555 Califort                  | iia Street   | Jones Day<br>1755 Embarcadero Road  |
| 25                   | 26th Floor<br>San Franciso<br>Tel: (415) 6 | co, CA 94104   | Palo Alto, CA 94303<br>Tel: (650) 739-3939  |
| 26                   |  | lt@JonesDay.com  | tglanier@JonesDay.com<br>jfroyd@JonesDay.com  |
| 27                   | jmcdonell@                                 | JonesDay.com<br>onesDay.com  | The soft at the survey was and a survey.  |
| 28                   |  |  |   |

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made and that this declaration was executed on September 18, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

Shirlyn Kirn



# RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

--000--

ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; ORACLE, USA, INC., a Colorado Corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

No. 07-CV-01658-PJH (EDL)

SAP AG, a German Corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware CORPORATION, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas Corporation, and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF

ORACLE CORPORATION

Designee: RICHARD CUMMINS

Tuesday, September 16, 2008

Volume I, Pages 1 - 255

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Reported By: WENDY E. ARLEN, CSR #4355, CRR, RMR Job 412495

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

e6a0856a-0ee4-4b35-a1bf-ca20af8a26bc

# RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

|       |     | Page 34   |
|-------|-----|---|
|       | 1   | likely ways for customers to return to Oracle from    |
|       | 2   | TomorrowNow is through some kind of relationship with |
|       | 3   | either a license rep or a support rep, correct?       |
| 09:44 | 4   | A. Correct.   |
|       | 5   | Q. Then how does that relate to you? How do yo        |
|       | 6   | get in the loop?                                      |
|       | 7   | A. The license reps report up to me through a         |
|       | 8   | regional manager, and so — did I say the license      |
| 39:44 | 9   | rep?  |
|       | 10  | Q. You did.   |
|       | 11  | A. I meant support reps. I apologize. So the          |
|       | 1.2 | support reps report up to a regional manager to me.   |
|       | 13  | And so if the customer is trying to come back, a      |
| 09:45 | 14  | support rep understands how to bring a customer back  |
|       | 1,5 | onto support. If there are issues with bringing them  |
|       | 16  | back or if they're trying to structure something      |
|       | 1.7 | that's out of outside of their parameters of what     |
|       | 18  | they can do, then then I will get involved.           |
| 09:45 | 19  | Q. Is there is there any other group other            |
|       | 20  | than the group that reports up through you that would |
|       | 21  | be responsible for trying to make new license sales   |
|       | 22  | to returning customers?                               |
|       | 23  | MS. HOUSE: Objection, vague.                          |
| 09:45 | 24  | THE WITNESS: Other than the stuff I already           |
|       | 25  | mentioned, I don't believe so.                        |

## RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008 CONFIDENTIAL

|       | 1  | MR. McDONELL: Counsel, I'm going to then           |
|-------|----|--|
|       | 2  | break for the day. See you next week. Thank you,   |
|       | 3  | sir.   |
|       | 4  | VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of                |
| 17-01 |    |  |
| 17:01 | 5  | videotape number four in the deposition of Richard |
|       | 6  | Cummins. Going off the record, the time is 5:02.   |
|       | 7  | 000  |
|       | 8  | (Whereupon, the deposition was adjourned at        |
|       | 9  | 5:02 p.m.)   |
|       | 10 | 000  |
|       | 11 |  |
|       | 12 | I declare under penalty of perjury that            |
|       | 13 | the foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at   |
|       | 14 | Deries, California, this 15th day of               |
|       | 15 | October, 2008.                                     |
|       | 16 |  |
|       | 17 |  |
|       | 18 | Lecture L (2)                                      |
|       | 19 | Signature of Witness                               |
|       | 20 |  |
|       | 21 |  |
|       | 22 |  |
|       | 23 |  |
|       | 24 |  |
|       | 25 |  |
|       |    |  |
|       |    |  |

## Errata Sheet

## Deposition of Rick Cummins, September 16, 2008

| <b>Page</b> | <u>Line</u> | Change  | Reason        |
|-------------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 41          | 21-22       | Change "Juan's responsibility is service delivery manager." to "Juan is responsible for service delivery managers." | Clarification |
| 124         | 14          | Change "No, I haven't talked to customers." to "No, I haven't talked to hundreds of customers about TomorrowNow."   | Clarification |
| 151         | 1           | Change "less" to "more"   | Correction    |
| 174         | 23          | Change "welcome" to "Oracle"  | Correction    |
| 176         | 4           | Change "Hutton" to "Hunt"   | Correction    |
| 207         | 9           | Change "McGee" to "Murquia"   | Correction    |
| 219         | 22          | Change "were new" to "renew"  | Correction    |
| 232         | 4           | Change "or doesn't" to "does or doesn't"  | Correction    |
| 245         | 14          | Change "IP" to "IT"   | Correction    |

Subject to the above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct.

Signature

15/ 6

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, WENDY E. ARLEN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause:

That said deposition was taken down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my direction and supervision.

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said deposition nor in any way interested in the event of this cause and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED: October 2, 2008

WENDY E. ARLEN CSR, No. 4355

2 3

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



| Lugo 1 |
|--------|
|--------|

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

--000--

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

07-CV-1658 (PJH)

SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PAUL DUGGAN

August 7, 2009

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

REPORTED BY: SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR 3887 (#421893)

|          |    | Page 21   |
|----------|----|---|
| 09:46:32 | î  | Siebel customers?                                   |
| 09:46:33 | 2  | MR. ALINDER: Objection. Vague and                   |
| 09:46:34 | 3  | ambiguous.  |
| 09:46:35 | 4  | THE WITNESS: Can you restate the                    |
| 09:46:36 | 5  | question?   |
| 09:46:37 | 6  | MR. DELAHUNTY: Q. Is there any other                |
| 09:46:41 | 7  | type of employee that has more interaction with     |
| 09:46:43 | 8: | Siebel customers than the support sales             |
| 09:46:44 | 9  | representatives?                                    |
| 09:46:45 | 10 | MR. ALINDER: Objection. Calls for                   |
| 09:46:48 | 11 | speculation. Vague and ambiguous.                   |
| 09:46:49 | 12 | THE WITNESS: If you are speaking in                 |
| 09:46:53 | 13 | regard to support renewals, typically this would be |
| 09:46:56 | 14 | the person that would have the most contact with    |
| 09:47:04 | 15 | those customers.                                    |
| 09:47:06 | 16 | MR. DELAHUNTY: Q. And then they are in              |
| 09:47:09 | 17 | turn managed by what you refer to as just managers. |
| 09:47:12 | 18 | Do they have a more formal title than manager?      |
| 09:47:14 | 19 | MR. ALINDER: Objection. Vague as to                 |
| 09:47:15 | 20 | time.   |
| 09:47:16 | 21 | THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge,           |
| 09:47:24 | 22 | they were regional managers.                        |
| 09:47:25 | 23 | MR. DELAHUNTY: Q. And your notes                    |
| 09:47:29 | 24 | indicate that in the time period June 1st, 2006 to  |
|          | 25 | May 31st, 2007 there were two regional managers,    |

|          |    | Page 22  |
|----------|----|--|
| 09:47:36 | Ī  | Alicia Rago and Jennie Edwards. Is that correct?     |
| 09:47:37 | 2  | A. Yes.  |
| 09:47:39 | 3  | Q. Is that accurate across that time period?         |
| 09:47:46 | 4. | A. Yes.  |
| 09:47:49 | 5  | Q. Can you describe how their job                    |
| 09:47:51 | 6  | responsibilities differ from the support sales       |
| 09:47:52 | 7  | representatives?                                     |
| 09:47:57 | 8  | A. The support sales managers would manage           |
| 09:48:00 | 9  | the support sales representatives from a day-to-day  |
| 09:48:06 | 10 | basis, doing coaching sessions, reviewing the        |
| 09:48:10 | 11 | representatives! forecasts and performance, those    |
| 09:48:14 | 12 | sort of things.                                      |
| 09:48:16 | 13 | Q. What are you referring to when you say            |
| 09:48:20 | 14 | forecasts?   |
| 09:48:23 | 15 | A. This you know, as in any sales                    |
| 09:48:27 | 16 | position, you have to forecast what deals will close |
| 09:48:31 | 17 | when. So, support sales is the same way.             |
| 09:48:33 | 18 | Q. Who is responsible for creating those             |
| 09:48:37 | 19 | forecasts?   |
| 09:48:41 | 20 | A. The representatives will work with their          |
| 09:48:43 | 21 | managers to build that forecast. Those managers      |
| 09:48:50 | 22 | will then communicate those forecasts, roll up to    |
| 09:48:51 | 23 | myself in this case. And at that time I would        |
| 09:48:55 | 24 | review that forecast with Rick Cummins, and          |
|          | 25 | eventually it would make it to Chris Madsen.         |
|          |    |  |

| 11:34:57   | 1    | (Whereupon, the deposition was               |
|--|------|--|
| 11:34:57   | 2    | concluded at 11:24 a.m.)                     |
| 11:34:57   | 3    | 000  |
| 11:34:57   | 4    | I declare under penalty of perjury the       |
| 11:34:57   | 5    | foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at |
| 11:34:57   | 6    | SAN FRANCISCO, California, this 7 day        |
| 11:34:57   | 7    | of AUGUST , 2009.                            |
| 11:34:57   | 8    |  |
|  | 9    | Paul Duggan                                  |
|  | 10   |  |
|  | 11   |  |
| ·  | . 12 |  |
|  | 13   |  |
|  | 14   |  |
|  | 15   |  |
|  | 16   | ·  |
|  | 17   |  |
|  | 18   |  |
|  | 19   |  |
|  | 20   |  |
|  | 21   |  |
| Approximation  | 22   |  |
| Habi yu ka qoʻqayay  | 23   |  |
| The state of the s | 24   |  |
|  | 25   |  |
|  |      |  |

## Merrill Legal Solutions



#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR READING/CORRECTING YOUR DEPOSITION

To assist you in making changes and /or corrections to your deposition testimony, please follow the directions below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish them and attach the pages to the back of the errata sheet.

Please read your transcript carefully. If you find any errors or changes you wish to make, insert the changes and/or corrections on the errata sheet by listing the page and the line number reference and then the change you wish to make.

Please do not make any changes and /or corrections on the face of the transcript.

Please do NOT change any of the questions.

After completing your review, please sign the last page of the errata sheet, above the designated "Signature" line and return the Errata sheets to Merrill Legal Solutions at 135 Main Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 or fax them to (415) 357.4301.

## **ERRATA SHEET**

| Page Line | •  |
|-----------|--|
| 25 7      | Change: INTERNET -> INTRANET             |
|           | Reason: CLARIFY THIS IS INTERNAL SITE    |
| 26 12     | Change: 'AVERNGE' -> 'AT. RISK'          |
|           | Reason: TYPo                             |
| 36 22     | Change: JUNE 1St, 2003 -> JUNE 1St, 2008 |
| ·         | Reason: TYPO                             |

|        | Page Line                             |  |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--|
| **     | 44 4                                  | Change: TRISH -> AT. RISK  |
|        | •                                     | Reason: TYPo   |
|        | 44 13                                 | Change: 'INSH' -> 'AT RISK'  |
|        |                                       | Reason: TYPo   |
|        | · ·                                   | Change:  |
| •      |                                       | Reason:  |
|        |                                       | Change:  |
| ٠      |                                       | Reason:  |
|        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Change:  |
|        |                                       | Reason:  |
|        |                                       | Change:  |
| entine |                                       | Reason:  |
|        | · .                                   | Change:  |
|        |                                       | Reason:  |
| . ••   | X Subject to th                       | ne above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct. |
|        |                                       |  |
|        | No changes I                          | have been made. I certify that the transcript is true and correct.   |
| •      | •                                     |  |
|        |                                       |  |
| •      | 6                                     | 8/31/09  |
|        | (signature)                           | (date)   |

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, SARAH LUCIA BRANN, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
within-entitled cause;

That said deposition was taken down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my direction and supervision;

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of this cause, and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED: August 13, 2009.

Sarae hices Brann

SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR No. 3887



| Page | 1 |
|------|---|
| Lugo | _ |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

--000--

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

07-CV-1658 (PJH)

SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PAUL DUGGAN

August 7, 2009

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

REPORTED BY: SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR 3887 (#421893)

|          |     | Page 23   |
|----------|-----|---|
|          | 4   | in the last |
| 09:49:05 | 1   |   |
| 09:49:06 | 2   | creating one of those forecasts?  |
| 09:49:07 | 3   | MR. ALINDER: Objection. Vague and   |
| 09:49:13 | 4   | ambiguous.  |
| 09:49:15 | 5   | THE WITNESS: Can you clarify that?  |
| 09:49:16 | 6   | MR. DELAHUNTY: Q. How do the managers   |
| 09:49:20 | 7   | and support service representatives create one of   |
| 09:49:25 | 8   | these forecasts that you refer to?  |
| 09:49:29 | 9   | A. The representatives will track the   |
| 09:49:34 | 10  | forecasting close date and likelihood in terms of a   |
| 09:49:38 | 11  | percentage in the OKS system, which is the system we  |
| 09:49:45 | 12  | use to track our support renewals. And there are  |
| 09:49:47 | 13  | typically verbal conversations between the reps and   |
| 09:49:50 | 14  | the managers and the directors, based on roll-up of   |
| 09:50:03 | 15  | those numbers in our standard reporting.  |
| 09:50:04 | 16  | Q. How do those individuals who are   |
| 09:50:06 | 17  | responsible for those forecasts or creating the   |
| 09:50:09 | 18  | forecasts determine the likelihood of closing a   |
| 09:50:12 | 19  | sale?   |
| 09:50:14 | 20  | A. The support sales representative, and in   |
| 09:50:19 | 21  | some cases the manager, would be in constant contact  |
| 09:50:21 | 22. | with that customer and tracking the sales cycle,  |
| 09:50:25 | 23  | from quotation, to communications with the customer   |
| 09:50:31 | 24  | to eventually getting a purchase order.   |
|          | 25  | Q. Is a record created of those   |
|          |     |   |

| 11:34:57 | 1  | (Whereupon, the deposition was               |  |  |  |
|----------|----|--|--|--|--|
| 11:34:57 | 2  | concluded at 11:24 a.m.)                     |  |  |  |
| 11:34:57 | 3  | 000  |  |  |  |
| 11:34:57 | 4  | I declare under penalty of perjury the       |  |  |  |
| 11:34:57 | 5  | foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at |  |  |  |
| 11:34:57 | 6  | SAN FRANCISCO, California, this 7 day        |  |  |  |
| 11:34:57 | 7  | of AUGUST, 2009.                             |  |  |  |
| 11:34:57 | 8  |  |  |  |  |
|          | 9  | Paul Duggan                                  |  |  |  |
|          | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 14 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 17 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 18 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 19 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 21 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 22 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 23 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 24 |  |  |  |  |
|          | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| **<br>   |    |  |  |  |  |

## Merrill Legal Solutions



#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR READING/CORRECTING YOUR DEPOSITION

To assist you in making changes and /or corrections to your deposition testimony, please follow the directions below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish them and attach the pages to the back of the errata sheet.

Please read your transcript carefully. If you find any errors or changes you wish to make, insert the changes and/or corrections on the errata sheet by listing the page and the line number reference and then the change you wish to make.

Please do not make any changes and /or corrections on the face of the transcript.

Please do NOT change any of the questions.

After completing your review, please sign the last page of the errata sheet, above the designated "Signature" line and return the Errata sheets to Merrill Legal Solutions at 135 Main Street,  $4^{th}$  Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 or fax them to (415) 357.4301.

#### **ERRATA SHEET**

| Page Line | •  |
|-----------|--|
| 25 7      | Change: INTERNET -> INTRANET             |
|           | Reason: CLARIFY THIS IS INTERNAL SITE    |
| 26 12     | Change: 'AVERNGE' -> 'AT. RISK'          |
|           | Reason: TYPo                             |
| 36 22     | Change: JUNE 1St, 2003 -> JUNE 1St, 2008 |
|           | Reason: TYPO                             |

| (a. Jan |    | Page Line  |   |                     |   |
|---------|----|--|---|---------------------|---|
| 75-12-7 | ٠. | 44 4   | Change: 'IRISH' -> 'AT                            | r. RISK             |   |
|         | •  | •  | Reason: TYPo                                      |                     |   |
|         |    | 44 13  |   | AT · RI'SK'         |   |
|         |    |  | Reason: TYPo                                      |                     | • |
|         |    | • •  | Change:   |                     |   |
|         | •  |  | Reason:   |                     |   |
|         |    | . •  | Change:   |                     |   |
|         |    | ***************************************  | Reason:   |                     |   |
|         |    |  | Change:   |                     |   |
|         |    | · ·  | Reason:   |                     |   |
|         |    |  | Change:   |                     |   |
|         |    |  | Reason:   |                     |   |
|         | ·  |  | Change:   |                     |   |
| *:./*   |    | and the second s | Reason:   |                     |   |
|         |    |  | NCu3017i  |                     |   |
|         |    | Subject to t   | he above changes, I certify that the transcript i | s true and correct. |   |
|         |    | No changes   | have been made. I certify that the transcript i   | s true and correct. |   |
|         |    | No changes   |   | o due and consecu   |   |
| •       |    |  |   |                     |   |
|         |    |  |   | 8/31/09             |   |
|         | •  | (signature)  |   | ate)                |   |
|         |    | I SHEROUTE P   | 1 CC  | ALC.)               |   |

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, SARAH LUCIA BRANN, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
within-entitled cause;

That said deposition was taken down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my direction and supervision;

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of this cause, and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED: August 13, 2009.

Saras pucis Bran

SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR No. 3887