EXHIBIT 44

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON, JUDGE ORACLE CORPORATION, ET AL.) JURY TRIAL PLAINTIFFS, NO. C 07-01658 PJH VOLUME 5 VS. PAGES 754 - 946 SAP AG, ET AL., DEFENDANTS. OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2010

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:

BINGHAM MUCCUTCHEN LLP

THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4607

BY: ZACHARY J. ALINDER, HOLLY A. HOUSE,

GEOFFREY M. HOWARD, DONN P. PICKETT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 900 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

BY: DAVID BOIES,

STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

REPORTED BY:

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR NO. 8258

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR NO. 4909

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR (510) 451-7530

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT

- O. COULD YOU GIVE THE JURY A ROUGH IDEA OF HOW MUCH MONEY
- ORACLE HAS INVESTED IN DEVELOPING OR ACQUIRING SOFTWARE FOR
- 15 COMPUTERS OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS?
- 16 A. WELL, THIS YEAR, WE'LL SPEND ABOUT \$4 BILLION IN RESEARCH
- AND DEVELOPMENT. WE'VE ACQUIRED A NUMBER OF COMPANIES AND SPENT
- 18 IN EXCESS OF \$40 BILLION BUYING THOSE COMPANIES.
- I HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT HOW MUCH WE'VE ACTUALLY SPENT
- 20 SINCE, YOU KNOW -- FROM THE VERY BEGINNING ON R & D. BUT I
- 21 THINK A ROUGH CALCULATION WOULD BE WELL IN EXCESS OF
- 22 \$25 BILLION, SO 25 PLUS 40, \$65 BILLION.

TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT

24

23

25

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

	Page 846
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TALK SPECIFICALLY ABOUT ORACLE'S FINANCIAL
13	MODEL FOR THE PEOPLESOFT AND SIEBEL ACQUISITIONS.
14	AGAIN, WHY DID ORACLE HAVE THOSE PROJECTIONS
15	PREPARED? WHAT ARE THEY USED FOR?
16	A. WELL, THESE PROJECTIONS ARE THE BASIS FOR ASKING PERMISSION
17	FROM THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO SPEND 11 ACTUALLY MORE THAN
18	\$11 BILLION AND TO TAKE ON ALL THE LIABILITIES THAT COME WITH
19	PEOPLESOFT AND THE ASSETS.
20	SO THOSE MODELS ARE LITERALLY THE KEY JUSTIFICATION
21	TO SPEND \$11.1 BILLION.
22	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
23	
24	
25	

1.0

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

WE, RAYNEE H. MERCADO AND DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTERS FOR THE UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS IN CO7-01658PJH, ORACLE USA, INC., ET AL. V. SAP AG, ET AL., WERE REPORTED BY US ON, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2010, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER OUR DIRECTION INTO TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS AS BOUND BY US AT THE TIME OF FILING.

THE VALIDITY OF THE REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF SAID TRANSCRIPT MAY BE VOID UPON DISASSEMBLY AND/OR REMOVAL FROM THE COURT FILE.

Bayner J. Meredo

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR

Aldre Skillonon

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR, RPR, FCRR

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2010