EXHIBIT 53

Page 1

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a

Colorado corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH)

-against-SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

____X

November 12, 2008 9:14 a.m.

Videotaped deposition of WERNER BRANDT, taken by Plaintiffs, pursuant to notice, at the offices of Bingham McCutchen, LLP, 399 Park Avenue, New York, New York, before Jack Finz and Otis Davis, Shorthand Reporters and Notaries Public within and for the State of New York.

		Page	113
1			100 ACC
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			West and the second
9	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT		Polyton and the second
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 19			
20 .			
21			STEP WHEN THE
22	Q. Could you turn to page 136132		
23	it's titled "SWOT Acquisition Analysis."	7	i.
24			
25	A. Yes.		
د ی	Q. And that's an acronym for		

Page 114 1 WERNER BRANDT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and 3 threats, correct? Yes, that's correct. Under "Threats," it says, quote: "The access rights to the PeopleSoft software is very likely to be 8 challenged by Oracle and past operating issues may be a serious liability if Oracle 10 challenges (i.e. off-site production copies 11 and the form of delivery of regulatory 12 updates may be subject to Oracle 13 challenge)." 14 Did you read that? 15 Α. Yes, I did (in English). 16 0. Did this concern you? 1.7 Α. As I indicated earlier, we 18 discussed this, and we determined who is 19 responsible for the individual point 20 issues, and then it was delegated to the 21 appropriate board member, who then would 22 have had to ensure that the corrective 23 measures are taken if something was not in 24 order. 25 What did you understand was the Q.

 			7	Ĵ	(::.	
1	1	_	ı			نظله

	Page 115
1	WERNER BRANDT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	reference to "off-site production copies"?
3	A. As far as I can recall, the
4	issue was on which systems the software is
5	running.
6	Q. There is a reference to there
7	being serious liability for past operating
8	issues.
9	What other past operating
10	issues do you recall being discussed that
11	created concern about serious liability?
12	A. To my recollection, that was
13	the central issue, the question whether the
14	systems are running on the customer systems
15	or on the TomorrowNow systems.
16	Q. The reference to the form of
17	delivery of regulatory updates as being
18	another area, what did you understand was
19	the problem with the form of delivery of
20	regulatory updates?
21	A. I don't remember.
.22	
23	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
24	
25	

Page 120 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." Do you see that? A. I see that (in English). Q. Whose idea was that?		
TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO SEE THE SECOND SECOND SEE THE SECOND		Page 120
TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TEXT REMOVED - N	1	
TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO SELEVANT TO SEL	2	
TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO TEXT REM	3	
TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO STATE AND THE SECOND SEC	4	
TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that: TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO you see that:	5	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." 22 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).	6	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." 22 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).	7	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).	8	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).		
14 15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).		
15 16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).		
16 17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).	1	
17 18 19 Q. The second box in both of these 20 documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas 21 corporation in existence as a liability 22 shield for any potential claims." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I see that (in English).		
Q. The second box in both of these documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).		
Q. The second box in both of these documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).		
documents reads: "SAP will leave the Texas corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).]	
corporation in existence as a liability shield for any potential claims." Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).		
shield for any potential claims." Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).		
Do you see that? A. I see that (in English).		
A. I see that (in English).		shield for any potential claims."
A. I see that (In English).		
Q. Whose idea was that?		
	25	Q. Whose idea was that?

	Page 121
1	WERNER BRANDT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	A. I can't nail that down to one
3	person, but that was the idea.
4	Q. You were involved in that
5	decision?
6	A. The entire board of SAP AG was
. 7	involved in that decision.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
16	TEXT REMOVED NOT RELEVANT
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 123
1	
2 2	
3	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
4	
5	
6	
7	Q. Just so that the Court and the
8	jury understands, SAP's exposure to an
9	Oracle lawsuit based on TomorrowNow's
10	service delivery practices were considered
11	in deciding how to structure the company on
12	a going-forward basis, correct?
13	A. It was something that was taken
14	into account when the structure was
15	established, determined.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
21	
.22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 220
1	
- 2	
3	
4	TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT
5	
6	
7	
- 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off
14	the record at 5:39, and this will mark
15	the end of tape number 4.
16	(Time noted: 5:39 p.m.)
17	Morree le au
18	Merce paul
19	WERNER BRANDT
20	
21	
22	Subscribed and sworn to before me
23	this day of 2008.
24	
25	

Corrections to the Transcript of the Deposition of

Werner Brandt

Taken on November 12, 2008

Volume 1, pages 1 - 223

Page	Line(s)	Reads	Should Read
14	12	Ealanpen	Erlangen
16	13	Aufsichstrat	Aufsichtsrat
22	20	SEC 20(f)	SEC 20-F
22	2.2	CCO	CFO
30	5	Muchich	Mucic
4)	24	Hammond	Haarmann
41	24	Gaerhart Mejer	Gerhard Majer
65	3 and 4	aresidual variable,	the residual.
65	7	part	portion
65	8 to 10	délete "distributed	allocated to the
***************************************		Include /P"	individually identifiable
			tanoible and intempible
			tangible and intempible net assets including 19
75	25	Adam	Arlen
87	23	board	executive board
84	21	bognol	executive board
109	19	supported	support at
121	6	syxported board	executive board
126	6 and 13		
123	5 and 6	board	/ executive board
132	15		
133	13,16 and 1	<i>§</i>)	
152	20	awhile	a while
193	9 and 12	board	executive board

Well lecel 12/12/2008
Witness Signature Date

Corrections to the Transcript of the Deposition of

Werner Brandt

Taken on November 12, 2008

Volume 1, pages 1 - 223

Page	Line(s)	Reads	Should Read
197	5	M and A	MAA
206	21	board	executive board
210	8,14,15	America	Americas
2 H	14	financialtox	financial and ta
218	2	y and A	MAA
	-		
	:		
-			
<u></u>			
			· ·
	-		
1	meet le	zue 7 12	