1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	OAKLAND DIVISION		
11			
12	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)	
13	Plaintiffs,	AMENDED JUDGMENT	
14	v.		
15	SAP AG, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17	Durayant to the Dorting' Stinylation Pro-	posed Form of Judgment and Droposed Order	
18 19	Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, Proposed Form of Judgment and Proposed Order (filed August 2, 2012). Amended Triel Stipulation and Order No. 1 Recording Lightlity.		
20	(filed August 2, 2012), Amended Trial Stipulation and Order No. 1 Regarding Liability,		
21	Dismissal of Claims, Preservation of Defenses, and Objections to Evidence at Trial (Dkt. No.		
22	965), Additional Trial Stipulation and Order Regarding Claims for Damages and Attorneys Fees (Dkt. Nos. 961 and 969), Order Re Motions For Partial Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 762), Order		
23	Granting Motion to Dismiss in Part and Denying It in Part (Dkt. No. 224), and Order Granting		
24	Defendants' Motion for JMOL, and Motion for New Trial; Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for		
25	New Trial; Order Partially Vacating Judgment (Dkt. No. 1081), IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED		
26	AND ORDERED that:		
27	(1) JUDGMENT is entered against l	Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc. on Plaintiff	
28	Oracle International Corporation's claim for direct copyright infringement		
	-	AMENDED JUDGMENT	
		Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

and against Defendants SAP AG and SAP America, Inc. on Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation's claim for indirect copyright infringement. On these claims, Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation shall recover from Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow, Inc. ("Defendants"), jointly and severally in the amount of 306 million U.S. dollars (\$306,000,000 (US)), which is the entirety of the relief entered for these claims (not including the stipulation negotiated between the Parties regarding destruction of infringing materials).

- JUDGMENT is entered against Defendants on Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
 Oracle International Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.'s ("Oracle," and
 together with Defendants, "the Parties") claims for past and future
 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including investigative costs)
 associated with Oracle's investigation and prosecution of its claims in this
 case, for which the Parties agreed that Oracle should recover, and has
 already been paid by Defendants, the amount of \$120 million
 (\$120,000,000).
- (3) JUDGMENT is entered for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and/or Siebel Systems, Inc., and against Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc. on all liability for all claims, including for violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4), (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act) and California Penal Code §§ 502(c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7) (California's Computer Data Access and Fraud Act), breach of contract, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, negligent interference with prospective economic advantage, unfair competition, trespass to chattels, unjust enrichment/restitution, and for an accounting, without separate monetary damages or monetary relief, including punitive damages, or additional

1		injunctive relief by way of these claims. The recovery on these claims is
2		included in paragraph (2) above and no other damages or injunctive or other
3		relief is awarded by way of these claims.
4	(4)	JUDGMENT of dismissal with prejudice is entered as previously stipulated
5		by the Parties, on all claims of Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle
6		International Corporation, and/or Siebel Systems, Inc. against SAP AG and
7		SAP America, Inc., for alleged violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(2)(C),
8		(a)(4), (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the Federal Computer Fraud and
9		Abuse Act) and California Penal Code §§ 502(c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7)
10		(California's Computer Data Access and Fraud Act), breach of contract,
11		intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, negligent
12		interference with prospective economic advantage, unfair competition,
13		trespass to chattels, unjust enrichment/restitution, and for an accounting.
14	(5)	JUDGMENT of dismissal is entered, as previously ordered by the Court, on
15		all claims brought by Oracle Systems Corporation, J.D. Edwards Europe
16		and Oracle EMEA Limited.
17	(6)	Except as specified in paragraph (2) above, no costs are awarded.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Dated:	, 2012 By: Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton
23		United Stated District Judge
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		