1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUDT		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	OAKLAND DIVISION		
11	OAKLAND DIVISION		
12	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)	
13	Plaintiffs,	AMENDED JUDGMENT	
14	V.		
15	SAP AG, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, Proposed Form of Judgment and Proposed Order		
19	(filed August 2, 2012), Amended Trial Stipulation and Order No. 1 Regarding Liability,		
20	Dismissal of Claims, Preservation of Defenses, and Objections to Evidence at Trial (Dkt. No.		
21	965), Additional Trial Stipulation and Order Regarding Claims for Damages and Attorneys Fees		
22	(Dkt. Nos. 961 and 969), Order Re Motions For Partial Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 762), Order		
23	Granting Motion to Dismiss in Part and Denying It in Part (Dkt. No. 224), and Order Granting		
24	Defendants' Motion for JMOL, and Motion for New Trial; Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for		
25	New Trial; Order Partially Vacating Judgment (Dkt. No. 1081), IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED		
26	AND ORDERED that:		
27	(1) JUDGMENT is entered against Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc. on Plaintiff		
28	Oracle International Corporation's claim for direct copyright infringement		
		AMENDED JUDGMENT Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)	

1		and against Defendants SAP AG and SAP America, Inc. on Plaintiff Oracle
2		International Corporation's claim for indirect copyright infringement. On
3		these claims, Plaintiff Oracle International Corporation shall recover from
4		Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow, Inc.
5		("Defendants"), jointly and severally in the amount of 306 million U.S.
6		dollars (\$306,000,000 (US)), which is the entirety of the relief entered for
7		these claims (not including the stipulation negotiated between the Parties
8		regarding destruction of infringing materials).
9		
10	(2)	JUDGMENT is entered against Defendants on Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
11		Oracle International Corporation, and Siebel Systems, Inc.'s ("Oracle," and
12		together with Defendants, "the Parties") claims for past and future
13		reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including investigative costs)
14		associated with Oracle's investigation and prosecution of its claims in this
14		case, for which the Parties agreed that Oracle should recover, and has
		already been paid by Defendants, the amount of \$120 million
16		(\$120,000,000).
17	(3)	JUDGMENT is entered for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
18		Corporation, and/or Siebel Systems, Inc., and against Defendant
19		TomorrowNow, Inc. on all liability for all claims, including for violations of
20		18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4), (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the
21		Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act) and California Penal Code §§
22		502(c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7) (California's Computer Data Access and
23		Fraud Act), breach of contract, intentional interference with prospective
24		economic advantage, negligent interference with prospective economic
25		advantage, unfair competition, trespass to chattels, unjust
26		enrichment/restitution, and for an accounting, without separate monetary
27		damages or monetary relief, including punitive damages, or additional
28		uamages or monetary rener, menuting pullitive damages, or additional
		- 2 - AMENDED JUDGMEI

1		injunctive relief by way of these claims. The recovery on these claims is
2		included in paragraph (2) above and no other damages or injunctive or other
3		relief is awarded by way of these claims.
4	(4)	JUDGMENT of dismissal with prejudice is entered as previously stipulated
5		by the Parties, on all claims of Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle
6		International Corporation, and/or Siebel Systems, Inc. against SAP AG and
7		SAP America, Inc., for alleged violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(2)(C),
8		(a)(4), (a)(5)(i), (a)(5)(ii), and (a)(5)(iii) (the Federal Computer Fraud and
9		Abuse Act) and California Penal Code §§ 502(c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(6) and (c)(7)
10		(California's Computer Data Access and Fraud Act), breach of contract,
11		intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, negligent
12		interference with prospective economic advantage, unfair competition,
13		trespass to chattels, unjust enrichment/restitution, and for an accounting.
14	(5)	JUDGMENT of dismissal is entered, as previously ordered by the Court, on
15		all claims brought by Oracle Systems Corporation, J.D. Edwards Europe
16		and Oracle EMEA Limited.
17	(6)	Except as specified in paragraph (2) above, no costs are awarded.
18		
19		
20		ALES DISTRICT OF
21	Auo	ust 3 2012 By:
22	Dated:	z hy hanilton
23		Stated Pistitet Judge
24		WDISTRICT OF
25		
26		
27		
28		- 3 - AMENDED JUDGMEN Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (ED
		Case 110. 07-C v-1038 FJH (ED