1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP	
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)	
3	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center	
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000	
6	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	
	donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com	
7	holly.house@bingham.com zachary.alinder@bingham.com	
8	bree.hann@bingham.com	
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	
10	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway	
11	M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070	
12	Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114	
13	dorian.daley@oracle.com	
	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle Corporation, Oracle USA, Inc.,	
15	and Oracle International Corporation	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT	C OF CALIFORNIA
19	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION
20	ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)
21	corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	DECLARATION OF HOLLY A.
22	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	HOUSE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
	Plaintiffs, v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY OR EXTEND TIME TO COMPLY
23		WITH JULY 3, 2008 DISCOVERY ORDER
24	SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	URDER
25	TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

I, Holly A. House, declare:

2	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law in the State of California and before this		
3	Court, and a partner in the law firm of Bingham McCutchen LLP, counsel of record for plaintiffs		
4	Oracle Corporation, Oracle USA, Inc., and Oracle International Corporation (together "Oracle"		
5	or "Plaintiffs") in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the matters contained within this		
6	Declaration and could testify competently as to them if called to do so.		
7	2. On August 2, 2007, Oracle served its First Set of Requests for Production on		
8	Defendant TomorrowNow, Inc. ("SAP TN"). On August 30, 2007, Oracle served its First Set of		
9	Requests for Production on Defendants SAP AG and SAP America, Inc. Among those requests,		
10	Oracle sought documents from TomorrowNow, SAP AG, and SAP America (collectively,		
11	"Defendants") relating to government investigations (No. 55 to SAP AG and SAP America and		
12	No. 84 to SAP TN, together the "Request"):		
13	All Documents relating to Department of Justice, Federal Bureau		
14	of Investigation, or other federal, state, or local government agency's request or investigation into the allegations in the		
15	Complaint and First Amended Complaint, including without limitation all Documents provided by You to any such agency in		
16	response to a request or investigation of those allegations.		
17	This Request is therefore not limited to, and does not specifically mention, documents		
18	subpoenaed by a grand jury – though they would be included.		
19	3. Counsel for Oracle and for Defendants met and conferred about the Request in the		
20	fall of 2007. Defendants refused to produce any documents in response, citing a grand jury		
21	privilege.		
22	4. In January 2008, before then-Discovery Magistrate Legge, Oracle moved to		
23	compel production of documents responsive to the Request. A month later, Judge Legge ordered		
24	Defendants to comply. Oracle's Request had then been outstanding for six months. Defendants		
25	then filed an objection with Judge Hamilton in March 2008; after referral to this Court, they filed		
26	another objection on May 16, 2008. See Docket Items 68, 88. This Court heard argument on		
27	July 1 and, on July 3, affirmed Judge Legge's ruling and ordered Defendants to produce		
28	responsive documents by July 15 (the "July 3 Order"). See Docket Item 106.		
	2 Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)		

Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

5. Defendants then asked Oracle to agree to a short extension of time for their
 compliance with the July 3 Order. Among the bases for the request were Defendants' asserted
 difficulties in eliminating from the production the narrow subset of non-responsive private
 information the Court allowed as a carve-out in the July 3 Order. Oracle agreed to this courtesy,
 which gave Defendants another week, until July 23, to comply. *See* Docket Item 113.

6 6. On July 17, 2008, I participated in a telephonic meet and confer with Defendants'
7 counsel on unrelated topics. At the end of the discussion, Jason McDonell of Jones Day
8 informed me that Defendants intended to appeal the July 3 Order to Judge Hamilton. Mr.
9 McDonell requested that Oracle stipulate to a stay of that order until after that appeal is resolved.
10 I asked Mr. McDonell to memorialize his request in writing. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and
11 correct copy of the email I received from Mr. McDonell that afternoon, containing Defendants'
12 request.

13 7. Because of the significant additional delay that such a stay would impose, and the 14 need for the documents in connection with the current deposition schedule of crucial SAP AG 15 and SAP America witnesses, Oracle was unwilling to agree unconditionally to such an extension. 16 In an attempt at compromise, I conveyed our offer to agree to the requested stay if Defendants 17 would then consent to the public filing of Oracle's Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"). 18 Defendants have had Oracle's draft SAC in its essentially final form since April and now have it 19 in its fully final form. (At the April 24, 2008 case management conference, which I attended, 20 Judge Hamilton told the parties that her general expectation is that parties consent to the filing of 21 amended complaints, and that such complaints generally should not be filed under seal.) 22 Oracle's request stems from its frustration with Defendants' unwillingness to say whether they 23 would agree to the SAC's filing. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the email I 24 sent to Mr. McDonell on July 17, 2008, containing that proffered compromise.

8. Mr. McDonell and I continued to discuss the proffered compromise over email on
July 18, but ultimately Defendants refused to agree to the public filing, or any filing, of Oracle's
Second Amended Complaint. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of that email

28

3

correspondence. Nonetheless, Oracle agreed to stipulate that Defendants' motion for a stay of
 the July 3 Order could be heard on shortened time.

3 9. Several key depositions of SAP AG and SAP America witnesses have been 4 scheduled, including Josef Schmidt on August 1, Thomas Ziemen on August 14-15, John 5 Zepecki on August 21, SAP AG CEO Henning Kagermann on September 26, and Leo Apotheker 6 on October 2. In addition, Oracle has outstanding deposition notices for several additional key 7 SAP AG and America witnesses, which Defendants have represented will also take place in 8 September, October, and November. These witnesses are crucial to Oracle's theory of the case 9 and understanding of Defendants' involvement. Oracle believes documents responsive to the 10 Request will be important to prepare for, and take, these depositions. In addition, these 11 depositions have been hard to schedule based on Defendants' assertions of how crowded these 12 individuals' calendars are and the need to follow certain procedures in Germany. Thus, if the 13 stay were granted, moving the dates or reopening the depositions to allow for questioning about 14 the documents once they are finally produced would be difficult and expensive.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the
United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed on July
21, 2008, in San Francisco, California.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Holly A. Mouse

Exhibit A

From: Jason McDonell [jmcdonell@JonesDay.com]

Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 4:52 PM

To: House, Holly

Cc: Hann, Bree; Howard, Geoff; Jane L Froyd; Joshua L Fuchs; Scott Cowan; Alinder, Zachary J.; Elaine Wallace; Martha Boersch

Subject: request for further extension of time re grand jury docs

Holly,

This follows up on our phone call of a short while ago in which I informed you that we will appeal Judge LaPorte's order on the grand jury documents to Judge Hamilton.

Please let me know whether Oracle will agree to a further extension of the time to comply with that order until 7 days after Judge Hamilton's disposition of the issue.

Thank you.

Jason McDonell, Esq. Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500 SF Office Main Tel.: (415) 626-3939 Direct Dial: (415) 875-5820 Fax: (415) 875-5700 Email: jmcdonell@jonesday.com

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

Exhibit B

From:	House, Holly
Sent:	Thursday, July 17, 2008 7:08 PM
То:	'jmcdonell@JonesDay.com'
Cc:	Hann, Bree; Howard, Geoff; 'jfroyd@JonesDay.com'; 'jlfuchs@JonesDay.com'; 'swcowan@JonesDay.com'; Alinder, Zachary J.; 'ewallace@JonesDay.com'; 'mboersch@JonesDay.com'
Subject:	Re: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

Oracle is willing to extend this courtesy if SAP is willing to agree to allow Oracle to file its SAC and not under seal. Let us know and we can work out appropriate documentation.

----- Original Message -----

From: Jason McDonell <jmcdonell@JonesDay.com>

To: House, Holly

Cc: Hann, Bree; Howard, Geoff; Jane L Froyd <jfroyd@JonesDay.com>; Joshua L Fuchs <jlfuchs@JonesDay.com>; Scott Cowan <swcowan@JonesDay.com>; Alinder, Zachary J.; Elaine Wallace <ewallace@JonesDay.com>; Martha Boersch <mboersch@JonesDay.com> Scott Thu, Jul 47 19:07:49 2009

Sent: Thu Jul 17 18:07:48 2008

Subject: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

Holly,

For your consideration in connection with my pending request for a stipulation, please see the attached drafts. Thanks.

Jason McDonell, Esq. Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500 SF Office Main Tel.: (415) 626-3939 Direct Dial: (415) 875-5820 Fax: (415) 875-5700 Email: imcdonell@ionesday.com

==========

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

Exhibit C

.

•

•

From: Jason McDonell [mailto:jmcdonell@JonesDay.com]
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 12:12 PM
To: House, Holly
Cc: Hann, Bree; ewallace@JonesDay.com; Howard, Geoff; jfroyd@JonesDay.com; jlfuchs@JonesDay.com; swcowan@JonesDay.com; Alinder, Zachary J.
Subject: Re: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

Thank you for agreeing that the motion can be heard on shortened time.

Jason McDonell, Esq. Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500 SF Office Main Tel.: (415) 626-3939 Direct Dial: (415) 875-5820 Fax: (415) 875-5700 Email: jmcdonell@jonesday.com

"House, Holly" <holly.house@bingham.com>

07/18/2008 11:54 AM

To jmcdonell@JonesDay.com

cc "Hann, Bree" <bree.hann@bingham.com>, ewallace@JonesDay.com, "Howard, Geoff" <geoff.howard@bingham.com>, jfroyd@JonesDay.com, jlfuchs@JonesDay.com, swcowan@JonesDay.com, "Alinder, Zachary J." <zachary.alinder@bingham.com>

Subject Re: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

We agree the motion can be heard on shortened time. After all, we are the ones hurt by defendants' continued refusal to produce.

----- Original Message -----From: Jason McDonell <jmcdonell@JonesDay.com> To: House, Holly Cc: Hann, Bree; ewallace@JonesDay.com <ewallace@JonesDay.com>; Howard, Geoff; jfroyd@JonesDay.com <jfroyd@JonesDay.com>; jlfuchs@JonesDay.com <jlfuchs@JonesDay.com>; swcowan@JonesDay.com <swcowan@JonesDay.com>; Alinder, Zachary J. Sent: Fri Jul 18 11:05:28 2008 Subject: RE: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

7/21/2008

Holly,

I disagree with your assertions and continue to believe that it is not appropriate for you to link these unrelated issues. Under the circumstances, defendants are not in a position to agree to your requests concerning the SAC.

It is thus my understanding that Oracle is unwilling to stipulate to the requested extension of time and unwilling to stipulate that our motion be heard on shortened time. If this is incorrect, please let me know ASAP. Otherwise, we will proceed to file an appropriate motion.

Thank you.

Jason McDonell, Esq. Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500 SF Office Main Tel.: (415) 626-3939 Direct Dial: (415) 875-5820 Fax: (415) 875-5700 Email: jmcdonell@jonesday.com

"House, Holly" <holly.house@bingham.com>

07/18/2008 10:36 AM

jmcdonell@JonesDay.com

"Hann, Bree" <bree.hann@bingham.com>, ewallace@JonesDay.com, "Howard, Geoff" <geoff.howard@bingham.com>, jfroyd@JonesDay.com, jlfuchs@JonesDay.com, swcowan@JonesDay.com, "Alinder, Zachary J." <zachary.alinder@bingham.com> Subject

RE: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

Jason:

То

CC

Your assertion that Oracle is not giving up any substantive rights by agreeing to Defendants' request that it stipulate to stay Magistrate Laporte's order is wishful thinking. Oracle propounded its request for Defendants' government produced materials in October 2007. After extensive -- and expensive -- meet and confer efforts and motion practice, Oracle secured orders for Defendants' production of these highly relevant materials not once but twice. Magistrate Laporte's pending order would have secured us those documents by this week, but we extended you the courtesy of an extension until July 18th. Even if Defendants secure the first possible hearing date before Judge Hamilton (which Oracle will insist Defendants seek rather than draw this out indefinitely), being subject to Defendants' requested stay would mean Oracle remains without these documents while you brief and argue this once again and we await hearing -- all while we have key depositions ongoing that will likely be benefited by those documents.

In exchange, all Oracle asks is for Defendants' long-awaited agreement that it be allowed to file its SAC -- a right supported by the law and which Judge Hamilton admonished Defendants she would allow. That the facts set forth in the SAC are embarrassing to Defendants is no justification for Defendants' ongoing refusal to commit to allow Oracle to make that filing.

Thus Oracle asks your clients to reconsider their response to Oracle's proposal.

----- Original Message -----From: Jason McDonell <jmcdonell@JonesDay.com> To: House, Holly Cc: Hann, Bree; ewallace@JonesDay.com <ewallace@JonesDay.com>; Howard,

Cc: Hann, Bree; ewallace@JonesDay.com <ewallace@JonesDay.com>; Howard, Geoff; jfroyd@JonesDay.com <jfroyd@JonesDay.com>; jlfuchs@JonesDay.com <jlfuchs@JonesDay.com>; swcowan@JonesDay.com <swcowan@JonesDay.com>; Alinder, Zachary J. Sent: Thu Jul 17 21:24:55 2008 Subject: Re: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

Holly,

These two issues are not related, and we cannot accept your invitation for our client to trade significant substantive rights for a routine procedural courtesy. Please ask your client to reconsider my request.

If Oracle remains unwilling to stipulate to the extension, will Oracle stipulate that our motion for extension can be heard on an expedited basis so it can be decided before the 7/23 production date?

If I do not hear from you by noon tomorrow, I will assume that Oracle is unwilling to stipulate to either the extension or the shortened time and we will file an appropriate motion.

Thank you.

Jason McDonell, Esq. Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500 SF Office Main Tel.: (415) 626-3939 Direct Dial: (415) 875-5820 Fax: (415) 875-5700 Email: jmcdonell@jonesday.com

"House, Holly" <holly.house@bingham.com>

07/17/2008 07:08 PM

то

jmcdonell@JonesDay.com

Oracle is willing to extend this courtesy if SAP is willing to agree to allow Oracle to file its SAC and not under seal. Let us know and we can work out appropriate documentation.

----- Original Message -----From: Jason McDonell <jmcdonell@JonesDay.com> To: House, Holly Cc: Hann, Bree; Howard, Geoff; Jane L Froyd <jfroyd@JonesDay.com>; Joshua L Fuchs <jlfuchs@JonesDay.com>; Scott Cowan <swccowan@JonesDay.com>; Alinder, Zachary J.; Elaine Wallace <ewallace@JonesDay.com>; Martha Boersch <mboersch@JonesDay.com> Sent: Thu Jul 17 18:07:48 2008 Subject: 2ND Stipulation to extend production of grand jury documents pending appeal.DOC;McDonell Decl ISO 2ND Stip to Extend Time to Comply with Order.DOC

Holly,

For your consideration in connection with my pending request for a stipulation, please see the attached drafts. Thanks.

Jason McDonell, Esq. Jones Day 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500 SF Office Main Tel.: (415) 626-3939 Direct Dial: (415) 875-5820 Fax: (415) 875-5700 Email: jmcdonell@jonesday.com

Bingham McCutchen LLP Circular 230 Notice:

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

matters addressed herein and may not be relied upon by any other person or entity or used for any other purpose without our prior written consent. The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone. Thank you.

IRS requirements, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding any federal tax penalties. Any legal advice expressed in this message is being delivered to you solely for your use in connection with the

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

To ensure compliance with

===========

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.