1

2

## 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 ORACLE CORPORATION, et al., No. C-07-01658 PJH (EDL) 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER FOLLOWING DISCOVERY **CONFERENCE** 12 v. 13 SAP AG, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 On July 24, 2008, this Court held a discovery conference in this matter. As stated at the 17 hearing, the Court makes the following order: 18 1. Regarding item two in the joint discovery conference statement filed on July 18, 2008, no 19 later than August 1, 2008, Defendants will provide customer contracts for United States 20 customers from the list of 61 customers already produced by Defendants. Defendants shall 21 also make their best efforts to also provide the monetary value of the contracts no later than 22 August 1, 2008. Defendants shall provide the remaining information about customer 23 contracts as soon as possible but no later than August 28, 2008. 24 2. Plaintiffs shall file their motion regarding certain of Defendants' privilege claims no later 25 than August 1, 2008. The motion shall be focused on three documents from each of two 26 categories: (1) documents that Plaintiffs believe are not privileged; and (2) documents for 27 which Plaintiffs believe the privilege has been waived. Plaintiffs shall provide a copy of 28 their motion to Defendants no later than 9:00 a.m. Pacific Standard Time on July 31, 2008. If the matter is not resolved, Defendants shall file their opposition no later than August 8,

1	2008, and Plaintiffs shall file a reply no later than August 13, 2008. The Court will take the
2	matter under submission and will contact the parties if a hearing is necessary, or may address
3	the matter at the August 28, 2008 discovery conference.
4	3. Defendants stated that they are reviewing their documents designated as highly confidential
5	that Plaintiffs contend are misdesignated (approximately 27,000 documents) to determine
6	whether they will re-designate or de-designate those documents. No later than August 1,
7	2008, Defendants shall notify Plaintiffs of the results of the review of the first set of
8	documents. The parties shall agree on a schedule for timely completion of the review of all
9	these documents. Plaintiffs may provide Defendants with a prioritized list for review.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	Dated: July 25, 2008  Elijah R. D. Lapott
13	ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE United States Magistrate Judge
14	Office States Wagistrate State
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	