1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)	JONES DAY ROBERT A. MITTELSTAEDT (SBN 060359)
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)	JASON McDONELL (SBN 115084)
	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)	ELAINE WALLACE (SBN 197882)
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	555 California Street, 26 th Floor
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
e	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	jmcdonell@jonesday.com
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com	ewallace@jonesday.com
_	geoff.howard@bingham.com	JONES DAY
7	holly.house@bingham.com zachary.alinder@bingham.com	THARAN GREGORY LANIER (SBN 138784)
8	bree.hann@bingham.com	JANE L. FROYD (SBN 220776)
0		1755 Embarcadero Road
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	Palo Alto, CA 94303
	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)	Telephone: (650) 739-3939
10	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7	Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
	Redwood City, CA 94070	tglanier@jonesday.com jfroyd@jonesday.com
11	Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114	Jiloyd@jonesday.com
12	dorian.daley@oracle.com	JONES DAY
14	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com	SCOTT W. COWAN (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
13		JOSHUA L. FUCHS (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	717 Texas, Suite 3300
14	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939
15	Corp., and Oracle EMEA Ltd.	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600
13		swcowan@jonesday.com
16		jlfuchs@jonesday.com
17		Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and
10		TomorrowNow, Inc.
18		Tomorrowittow, me.
19	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
20	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
21	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
22	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
23	Plaintiffs, v.	STIPULATION TO MODIFY MAY 5, 2008 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
24		
25	SAP AG, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
26		
77		
27		
28		

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4), Section E of this Court's 2 May 5, 2008 Case Management and Pretrial Order ("May 5 Case Management Order"), and 3 Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle 4 EMEA Ltd. ("Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") and Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., (together, 5 "SAP") and TomorrowNow, Inc. (with SAP AG and SAP America, Inc., "Defendants," and 6 together with Oracle, the "Parties") jointly submit this stipulation in support of their joint motion 7 to modify the Court's May 5, 2008 Case Management and Pretrial Order ("May 5 Case 8 Management Order"). 9 The Parties believe good cause exists to modify the May 5 Case Management 10

Order, as stipulated to below. As noted in the Parties' Joint Administrative Motion to Modify 11 May 5, 2008 Case Management Order (the "Motion"), each of the requested modifications is the 12 result of substantial negotiation and compromise between the Parties and is conditioned upon all 13 of the requested modifications being accepted by the Court. In other words, the Parties 14 respectfully request that the Court either accept or reject all of the Parties' modifications in full. 15 If the Court rejects the Parties' motion in whole or in part, the Parties have agreed to reserve 16 their rights to seek a status conference with the Court and/or file any other motion or seek any 17 other relief relating to the case schedule, related case management efficiencies, and/or proposed 18 pleading amendments that they may deem necessary and appropriate, with a more detailed 19 explanation of the basis for any such request for relief than is provided in the Motion. 20

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the Parties, through their respective
counsel of record and in light of the good causes shown in the Motion, that the Court modify its
May 5 Case Management Order as follows:

- That the Parties now be permitted to file a total of two rounds of summary judgment
 motions before the close of fact discovery.
- 26 2. That the case management schedule will now reflect these dates:

27	DESCRIPTION	DEADLINE/DATE
28	Deadline to File Initial Round of Summary Judgment Motions	08/31/09
	1 Case	No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

STIPULATION TO MODIFY MAY 5, 2008 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

1

1	Last Day to Identify Custodians (w/ 6 in reserve)10/02/09		
2	Deadline to Designate Expert Witnesses	10/02/09	
	Last Day for Hearing on Initial Round of Summary Judgment Motions	10/30/09	
3	Last Day to Identify Final 6 Custodians	11/02/09	
4	Last Day to Serve Discovery Requests	11/02/09	
5	Deadline to Serve Expert Reports	11/16/09	
5	Updated Settlement Conference Statements Due	11/23/09	
6	Settlement Conference	11/30/09	
7	Deadline to Supplement and/or Correct All Disclosures and Discovery Responses	12/04/09	
8	Fact Discovery Cut-off	12/04/09	
9	Last Day to File Motion to Compel	12/11/09	
	Deadline to Designate Rebuttal Expert Witnesses	01/22/10	
10	Deadline to Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports	02/26/10	
11	Deadline to File Second Round of Summary Judgment Motions and any other Pre-Trial Dispositive Motions	03/01/10	
12	Expert Discovery Cut-off	04/23/10	
13	Last Day for Hearing on Second Round of Summary Judgment Motions and any other Pre-Trial Dispositive Motions	04/30/10	
14	Last Day to Meet and Confer re Joint Pretrial Statement	04/30/10	
15	Deadline to File Joint Pretrial Statement	05/07/10	
16	Deadline to File Proposed Joint Voir Dire Questions and Joint Jury Instructions 05/07/10		
17	Deadline to File Trial Briefs 05/07/10		
17	Deadline to File Motions in Limine (all in one document)	05/07/10	
18	Deadline to File Deposition Excerpts	05/07/10	
19	Deadline to File Witness List 05/07/10		
20	Deadline to File Exhibit List	05/07/10	
20	Deadline to Exchange and Submit Exhibits (2 sets to Court)	05/07/10	
21	Deadline to File Any Request re: Treatment of Conf. or Sealed Docs.05/07/10		
22	Deadline to File Proposed Verdict Form	05/07/10	
	Deadline to File Opposition to Motions in Limine	05/21/10	
23	Pretrial Conference	06/03/10	
24	Trial Commences	06/21/10	
25	3. That each side be allowed an additional 100 deposition hours, for a te	otal of 450 hours.	
26	4. That, no later than July 15, 2009, Oracle be permitted to move to amo	end the complaint to	
27	add Siebel-related claims (for which it is in the process of obtaining	registrations) and	
28	any other claims or allegations agreed to by the Parties by that time.	-	
	2 Ca	se No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)	

not to oppose those portions of a motion filed on or before July 15, 2009 that seek to add
 Siebel-related claims or any other claims or allegations agreed to by the Parties prior to
 July 15, 2009. The Parties reserve their respective rights, defenses, and positions with
 respect to any other amendment.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by the Parties, through their respective counsel of record 5 and in light of the good causes shown in the Parties' Motion, that if the modifications proposed 6 above are accepted and ordered by the Court, then the Court also consider either: (a) entering an 7 order that accepts the Parties' agreement on the following discovery limitations that shall apply 8 going forward; or (b) directing the Parties to submit the following agreed stipulations to Judge 9 Laporte for her consideration. The Parties note that there are pending discovery disputes relating 10 to the scope of the Parties' existing productions, which are not waived by this Stipulation. The 11 Parties are not limited by this Stipulation from pursuing additional relief related to past or future 12 discovery: 13

1. For purposes of the following stipulations, the phrase "relating to Siebel" used in the 14 context of any stipulated discovery right or obligation relating to any discovery 15 sought from Defendants shall: (a) mean documents and testimony of similar scope to 16 those already provided by Defendants in this case relating to PeopleSoft and JD 17 Edwards products and support; and (b) include discovery relating to Defendants' 18 decision for TomorrowNow to provide Siebel support, the provision of third-party 19 maintenance and related support services to Siebel customers, and the Siebel portion 20 of Defendants' Safe Passage program to the extent that it involves entities who were 21 customers of both TomorrowNow and SAP. For purposes of the following 22 stipulations, the phrase "relating to Siebel" used in the context of any stipulated 23 discovery right or obligation relating to any discovery sought from Oracle shall: (a) 24 mean documents and testimony of similar scope to those already provided by 25 Plaintiffs in this case relating to PeopleSoft and JD Edwards products and support; 26 and (b) include discovery relating to Siebel software licensing, maintenance, and 27 related support services to Siebel customers for the time frames relevant to this case, 28

3

1		whether before and after Oracle's acquisition of Siebel. Notwithstanding any of the
2		agreements above, the Parties reserve all rights and objections regarding the proper
3		scope of discovery from any party relating to Siebel in this case. Nothing in this
4		Stipulation limits or prevents the Parties from continuing to meet and confer on such
5		disputes about the proper scope of Siebel discovery, or from submitting such disputes
6		to Judge Laporte if necessary for a ruling.
7	2.	Each side is permitted to designate an additional 20 custodians, for a total of 140
8		custodians per side. Up to 7 of Oracle's additional 20 custodians may be SAP
9		custodians. The Parties will meet and confer regarding the desired custodians and
10		reserve the right to seek further relief from Judge Laporte if an agreement cannot be
11		reached.
12	3.	In addition to the current expanded timeline agreement between the Parties,
13		Defendants agree to produce additional responsive custodian documents (including
14		those relating to Siebel) from March 22, 2007 through October 31, 2008 for Werner
15		Brandt, Gerhard Oswald, and Thomas Bamberger, subject to Defendants' objections
16		to any outstanding document requests.
17	4.	Defendants agree to the following additional individual depositions with the
18		following time limits that shall be counted against the total deposition hours available
19		to Plaintiffs:
20		a. Up to four additional actual clock hours each for Shelley Nelson and James
21		Mackey relating to Siebel and/or Defendants' post-litigation conduct through
22		October 31, 2008, without regard to whether questions on these topics also
23		incidentally relate to issues previously covered with these witnesses. With
24		respect to Shelley Nelson, this additional time may also be used to address any
25		other questions or documents relevant to the claims and defenses in this case
26		that have not been previously asked or used in her prior depositions, with the
27		exception of Exhibit 135.
28		b. Up to seven additional actual clock hours each for Werner Brandt, Gerhard
		4

1	Oswald, and Martin Breuer relating to Siebel and/or Defendants' post-
2	litigation conduct through October 31, 2008. The seven hours assumes that all
3	seven hours would be conducted in German and thus, per Judge Laporte's
4	prior ruling, would count against Plaintiffs' total allotment as 3.5 hours each.
5	5. Other than as provided in paragraph 4(a) and (b) immediately above, no depositions
6	of individuals from either side will be re-opened without consent of the relevant party
7	or a ruling from Judge Laporte after a showing of good cause.
8	6. All Siebel-related claims shall be asserted in an amended complaint in this action
9	filed no later than July 15, 2009.
10	7. By June 30, 2009:
11	a. Oracle and TomorrowNow will provide dates for supplemental depositions of
12	the Parties' corporate designees on topics relating to Siebel, subject to any and
13	all objections the Parties may have to the deposition notices.
14	b. SAP will provide a date for a SAP Rule 30(b)(6) deposition relating to Siebel,
15	for up to 7 hours of record time, subject to any and all objections SAP may
16	have to the deposition notice.
17	c. SAP will provide dates for supplemental depositions of its corporate designees
18	on topics relating to Siebel other than those addressed during the deposition
19	permitted by paragraph 7(b) above, for up to four hours of record time
20	combined, subject to any and all objections SAP may have to the deposition
21	notices.
22	8. By July 15, 2009, the Parties will provide the following relating to Siebel:
23	a. For Oracle, all relevant organization charts or equivalents, acquisition
24	documents, copyright application/registration documents, customer contracts,
25	customer contract files, customer-specific financial and other records, and
26	copies of software at issue (with license keys). For TomorrowNow, all
27	relevant organization charts or equivalents, customer contracts, customer
28	contract files, customer-specific financial and other records, and, to the extent
	5 Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

1		they exist in a centralized location outside of what is normally included in this
2		case as part of an individual custodian's production, documents reflecting
3		development and implementation of the support model for Siebel customers.
4		For SAP, all relevant organization charts or equivalents, board-level
5		documents, including versions of board meeting minutes and any related
6		board presentation materials previously produced with information relating to
7		Siebel redacted, and SAP's customer contracts, files, and financial and other
8		records for any Siebel customer that was recruited through Safe Passage and
9		was also both a SAP and TomorrowNow customer. All Parties reserve all
10		rights and objections to all document requests and all Parties reserve all rights
11		and objections regarding the proper scope of discovery from any party relating
12		to Safe Passage in this case. To the extent any dispute arises regarding that
13		scope, then it will be subject to further meet and confer and, if necessary,
14		presented to Judge Laporte for a ruling.
15	b.	For Oracle, all licenses (including exclusive and nonexclusive licenses),
16		assignment agreements, or other agreements relevant to rights to use and/or
17		ownership of the software and/or copyrighted material at issue. Oracle
18		reserves its right to raise the same objections previously raised to these
19		requests.
20	с.	For Oracle, as to any copyrighted works claimed to be derivative works,
21		identification and copies of the underlying work(s) and a list of Plaintiffs'
22		products embodying, including, or constituting any copyrighted works at
23		issue. Oracle reserves its right to raise the same objections previously raised
24		to these requests.
25	d.	For Oracle, Siebel financial information (including chart of accounts and other
26		such information produced as to PeopleSoft and JD Edwards products). For
27		Defendants and relating to Siebel, financial information (including chart of
28		accounts and other such information produced as to PeopleSoft and JD 6 Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

1	Edwards products). All Parties reserve their right to raise the same objections
2	previously raised to these requests.
3	e. Defendants have given or will give Oracle access to all servers, CDs, DVDs,
4	and other portable media (besides backup tapes) relating to Siebel that after a
5	reasonable search Defendants are aware of at TomorrowNow, and will not
6	separately produce all environments or environment components relating to
7	Siebel on those servers or any other media. If Oracle locates any environment
8	components in the Data Warehouse and marks them for production, then
9	Defendants will produce the files they mark, subject to a privilege review.
10	Defendants will also produce all TomorrowNow fixes provided to Siebel
11	customers, assuming such fixes can be located and are kept in a central
12	location. If such fixes are kept on a server in the Data Warehouse, then
13	Oracle can mark them for production as part of the Data Warehouse review.
14	f. Each side may identify 20 interrogatory responses that it would like updated
15	in scope consistent with the additional Siebel discovery permitted or required
16	elsewhere in this Stipulation. The Parties reserve all objections to any and all
17	such interrogatories.
18	9. Defendants have provided declarations stating that neither SAP, TomorrowNow, nor
19	any SAP subsidiary provided any third-party maintenance and related support
20	services for eBusiness Suite, Retek, or Hyperion (the "HRE Products"). Oracle has
21	reviewed these declarations and agrees it will not propound further discovery in this
22	case relating to the HRE Products. Defendants agree not to object to providing
23	documents or testimony relating to the JD Edwards, PeopleSoft, or Siebel products on
24	the grounds that any such documents or testimony relating directly to those three
25	products also contain information relating to the HRE Products. Oracle agrees that it
26	will not seek to amend its complaint in this case to include new claims attempting to
27	add the HRE Products, but will reserve its rights to file a separate lawsuit relating to
28	the HRE Products at another time, should it obtain any information supporting such 7 Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

1	claims. Defendants agree not to assert that any such subsequent claims regarding the
2	HRE Products are improper by using an argument that relies on the fact that those
3	claims were not asserted in this lawsuit.
4	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
6	DATED: Mar 12, 2000
7	DATED: May 12, 2009 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
8	By: /s/
9	Geoffrey M. Howard
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
11	Corporation, and Oracle EMEA, Ltd.
12	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that
13	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.
14	DATED: May 12, 2009
15	JONES DAY
16	By: /s/
17	Tharan Gregory Lanier
18	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc.,
19	and TomorrowNow, Inc.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	8 Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)