BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP	JONES DAY
DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)	ROBERT A. MITTELSTAEDT (SBN 060359)
	JASON McDONELL (SBN 115084)
	ELAINE WALLACE (SBN 197882) 555 California Street, 26 th Floor
BREE HANN (SBN 215695)	San Francisco, CA 94104
Three Embarcadero Center	Telephone: (415) 626-3939
	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com jmcdonell@jonesday.com
	ewallace@jonesday.com
geoff.howard@bingham.com	
holly.house@bingham.com	JONES DAY
	THARAN GREGORY LANIER (SBN 138784)
bree.nann@bingnam.com	JANE L. FROYD (SBN 220776) 1755 Embarcadero Road
DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	Palo Alto, CA 94303
	Telephone: (650) 739-3939
500 Oracle Parkway	Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
M/S 50p7	tglanier@jonesday.com
	jfroyd@jonesday.com
	JONES DAY
	SCOTT W. COWAN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
	JOSHUA L. FUCHS (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
5 6	717 Texas, Suite 3300
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Houston, TX 77002
	Telephone: (832) 239-3939
Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com
	jlfuchs@jonesday.com
	Jindens e Jonesaay.com
	Attorneys for Defendants
	SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and
	TomorrowNow, Inc.
UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION
ORACLEUSA INC et al	No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED
v.	REVISED CASE MANAGEMENT
SAP AG et al	AND PRETRIAL ORDER
<i>bi</i> 110, <i>ci ui</i> .,	
Defendants.	
	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009) BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286 donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com bolly.house@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST SAN FRANC ORACLE USA, INC., <i>et al.</i> , Plaintiffs, v. SAP AG, <i>et al.</i> ,

- **1** Based on the Parties' showing of good cause, and pursuant to the direction the
- 2 Court provided the Parties during the May 27, 2009 Case Management Conference, the Court
- 3 hereby grants the Parties' joint motion to modify the case management schedule and modifies the
- 4 May 5, 2008 Case Management and Pretrial Order as follows:
- 5 1. Defendants are granted leave to file on August 26, 2009, an additional summary
- 6 judgment motion regarding Plaintiffs' royalty damages theory. The Parties shall meet
- 7 and confer as soon as possible to schedule on a priority basis what, if any, discovery may
- **8** be needed by Plaintiffs before filing of that motion.
- **9** 2. The case management and pre-trial schedule is now as follows:

DESCRIPTION	DEADLINE/DATE
Defendants to File Summary Judgment Motion Regarding Plaintiffs' Royalty Damages Theory	08/26/09
Plaintiffs to File Opposition to Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion Regarding Plaintiffs' Royalty Damages Theory	09/23/09
Last Day to Identify Custodians (w/ 6 in reserve)	10/02/09
Deadline to Designate Expert Witnesses	10/02/09
Defendants to File Reply in Support of Summary Judgment Motion Regarding Plaintiffs' Royalty Damages Theory	10/07/09
Hearing on Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion Regarding Plaintiffs' Royalty Damages Theory	10/28/09
Last Day to Identify Final 6 Custodians	11/02/09
Last Day to Serve Discovery Requests	11/02/09
Deadline to Serve Expert Reports	11/16/09
Updated Settlement Conference Statements Due	11/23/09
Settlement Conference	11/30/09
Deadline to Supplement and/or Correct All Disclosures and Discovery Responses	12/04/09
Fact Discovery Cut-off	12/04/09
Last Day to File Motion to Compel	12/11/09
Deadline to Designate Rebuttal Expert Witnesses	01/22/10
Deadline to Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports	02/26/10
Parties to File Dispositive Motions	03/03/10
Parties to File Oppositions to Dispositive Motions	03/31/10
Parties to File Replies Re Dispositive Motions	04/14/10
Expert Discovery Cut-off	04/23/10

1	Hearing on the Parties' Dispositive Motions05/05/10		
2	Last Day to Meet and Confer re Joint Pretrial Statement	07/22/10	
2	Deadline to File Joint Pretrial Statement	08/05/10	
3 4	Deadline to File Proposed Joint Voir Dire Questions and Joint Jury Instructions 08/05/10		
	Deadline to File Trial Briefs	08/05/10	
5	Deadline to File Motions in Limine (all in one document)08/05/10		
6	Deadline to File Deposition Excerpts 08/05/10		
7	Deadline to File Witness List	08/05/10	
	Deadline to File Exhibit List	08/05/10	
8	Deadline to Exchange and Submit Exhibits (2 sets to Court)08/05/10		
9	Deadline to File Any Request re: Treatment of Conf. or Sealed Docs. 08/05/10		
10	Deadline to File Proposed Verdict Form	08/05/10	
	Deadline to File Opposition to Motions in Limine	08/19/10	
11	Pretrial Conference	09/30/10	
12	Trial Commences (estimated 6 weeks)	11/01/10	
13	3. Each side is allowed a total of 450 deposition hours for fact witness	depositions.	
14	4. Each side is allowed a total of 15 expert witnesses. The Parties sha	ll meet and confer	
15	regarding the time needed for expert depositions.		
16	5. Plaintiffs are permitted to move to amend the complaint by July 15,	2009 to add Siebel-	
17	related claims and any other claims or allegations agreed to by the H	Parties prior to July	
18	15, 2009. All Siebel-related claims shall be asserted at this time. T	he Parties reserve	
19	their respective rights, defenses, and positions with respect to any o	ther amendment.	
20	6. Should Plaintiffs intend to seek any other amendment to the compla	int, then Plaintiffs	
21	shall make the appropriate motion(s) no later than August 26, 2009.	The Parties shall	
22	meet and confer prior to the filing of any motion under this paragraph.		
23	Additionally, the following discovery limitations shall apply:		
24	1. For purposes of the following limitations, the phrase "relating to	Siebel" used in the	
25	context of any new discovery right or obligation created by this	Order relating to any	
26	discovery sought from Defendants shall: (a) mean documents and testimony of		
27	similar scope to those already provided by Defendants in this case relating to		
28	PeopleSoft and JD Edwards products and support; and (b) inclu 2	de discovery relating	

Now") to
ted support
Passage
1
nc.). For
l in the
ating to
y of similar
eSoft and
iebel
istomers for
acquisition
•
jections
in this case.
eet and
om
l of 140
e SAP
lians and
ched.
es,
luding those
erner Brandt,
ons to any
iated time
Plaintiffs:

1	a. Up to four additional actual clock hours each for Shelley Nelson and James
2	Mackey relating to Siebel and/or Defendants' post-litigation conduct through
3	October 31, 2008, without regard to whether questions on these topics also
4	incidentally relate to issues previously covered with these witnesses. With
5	respect to Shelley Nelson, this additional time may also be used to address any
6	other questions or documents relevant to the claims and defenses in this case
7	that have not been previously asked or used in her prior depositions, with the
8	exception of Plaintiffs' Deposition Exhibit 135.
9	b. Up to seven additional actual clock hours each for Werner Brandt, Gerhard
10	Oswald, and Martin Breuer relating to Siebel and/or Defendants' post-
11	litigation conduct through October 31, 2008. The seven hours assumes that all
12	seven hours would be conducted in German and thus, per Judge Laporte's
13	prior ruling, would count against Plaintiffs' total allotment as 3.5 hours each.
14	5. Other than as provided in 4(a) and (b) immediately above, no depositions of
15	individuals from either side will be re-opened without consent of the relevant party or
16	a ruling from Judge Laporte after a showing of good cause.
17	6. By June 30, 2009:
18	a. Plaintiffs and TomorrowNow will provide dates for supplemental depositions
19	of the Parties' corporate designees on topics relating to Siebel, subject to any
20	and all objections the Parties may have to the deposition notices.
21	b. SAP will provide a date for a SAP Rule 30(b)(6) deposition relating to Siebel,
22	for up to 7 hours of record time, subject to any and all objections SAP may
23	have to the deposition notice.
24	c. SAP will provide dates for supplemental depositions of its corporate designees
25	on topics relating to Siebel other than those addressed during the deposition
26	permitted by paragraph 6(b) above, for up to four hours of record time
27	combined, subject to any and all objections SAP may have to the deposition
28	notices. 4
	4

1	7. By July 15, 2009, the Parties will provide the following relating to Siebel:	
2	a. For Plaintiffs, all relevant organization charts or equivalents, acquisition	
3	documents, copyright application/registration documents, customer contracts,	
4	customer contract files, customer-specific financial and other records, and	
5	copies of software at issue (with license keys). For TomorrowNow, all	
6	relevant organization charts or equivalents, customer contracts, customer	
7	contract files, customer-specific financial and other records, and, to the extent	
8	they exist in a centralized location outside of what is normally included in this	
9	case as part of an individual custodian's production, documents reflecting	
10	development and implementation of the support model for Siebel customers.	
11	For SAP, all relevant organization charts or equivalents, board-level	
12	documents, including versions of board meeting minutes and any related	
13	board presentation materials previously produced with information relating to	
14	Siebel redacted, and SAP's customer contracts, files, and financial and other	
15	records for any Siebel customer that was recruited through Safe Passage and	
16	was also both a SAP and TomorrowNow customer. All Parties retain all	
17	rights and objections to all document requests and the Parties shall retain all	
18	rights and objections regarding the proper scope of discovery from any party	
19	relating to Safe Passage. To the extent any dispute arises regarding that	
20	scope, then it will be subject to further meet and confer and, if necessary,	
21	presented to Judge Laporte for a ruling.	
22	b. For Plaintiffs, all licenses (including exclusive and nonexclusive licenses),	
23	assignment agreements, or other agreements relevant to rights to use and/or	
24	ownership of the software and/or copyrighted material at issue. Plaintiffs	
25	retain the right to raise the same objections previously raised to these requests.	
26	c. For Plaintiffs, as to any copyrighted works claimed to be derivative works,	
27	identification and copies of the underlying work(s) and a list of Plaintiffs'	
28	products embodying, including, or constituting any copyrighted works at 5	

1	issue. Plaintiffs retain the right to raise the same objections previously raised	
2	to these requests.	
3	d. For Plaintiffs, Siebel financial information (including chart of accounts and	
4	other such information produced as to PeopleSoft and JD Edwards products).	
5	For Defendants and relating to Siebel, financial information (including chart	
6	of accounts and other such information produced as to PeopleSoft and JD	
7	Edwards products). All Parties retain the right to raise the same objections	
8	previously raised to these requests.	
9	e. Defendants have given or will give Plaintiffs access to all servers, CDs,	
10	DVDs, and other portable media (besides backup tapes) relating to Siebel that	
11	after a reasonable search Defendants are aware of at TomorrowNow, and will	
12	not separately produce all environments or environment components relating	
13	to Siebel on those servers or any other media. If Plaintiffs locate any	
14	environment components in the Data Warehouse and mark them for	
15	production, then Defendants will produce the files they mark, subject to a	
16	privilege review. Defendants will also produce all TomorrowNow fixes	
17	provided to Siebel customers, assuming such fixes can be located and are kept	
18	in a central location. If such fixes are kept on a server in the Data Warehouse,	
19	then Plaintiffs can mark them for production as part of the Data Warehouse	
20	review.	
21	f. Each side may identify 20 interrogatory responses that it would like updated	
22	in scope consistent with the additional Siebel discovery permitted or required	
23	elsewhere in this Order. The Parties retain all objections to any and all such	
24	interrogatories.	
25	8. Defendants have provided declarations stating that neither SAP, TomorrowNow, nor	
26	any SAP subsidiary provided any third-party maintenance and related support	
27	services for eBusiness Suite, Retek, or Hyperion (the "HRE Products"). Plaintiffs	
28	have reviewed these declarations and agree they will not propound further discovery 6	

1	in this case relating to the HR	E Products. Defendants agree not to object to providing
2	documents or testimony relating to the JD Edwards, PeopleSoft, or Siebel products on	
3	the grounds that any such documents or testimony relating directly to those three	
4	products also contain informa	ation relating to the HRE Products. Plaintiffs agree that
5	they will not seek to amend the	neir complaint in this case to include new claims
6	attempting to add the HRE Pr	oducts, but will reserve their rights to file a separate
7	lawsuit relating to the HRE P	roducts at another time, should they obtain any
8	information supporting such	claims. Defendants agree not to assert that any such
9	subsequent claims regarding	the HRE Products are improper by using an argument
10	that relies on the fact that those claims were not asserted in this lawsuit.	
11		
12	DATED: June 4, 2009	JONES DAY
13		By:/s/
14		By: /s/ Tharan Gregory Lanier Attorneys for Defendants
15		SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and TomorrowNow, Inc.
16		
17	In accordance with General Orde	r No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that
18	concurrence in the filing of this document	nt has been obtained from the signatory below.
19		
20	DATED: June 4, 2009	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
21		By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard
22		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
23		Corporation, and Oracle EMEA, Ltd.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	STATES DISTRICT CO
25	06/11	E E
26	Date:, 2009	- El Obo
27		Hon. Phylli United State
28		United State Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED REVIS	SED CASE MANAGEMBOR AND PRETRIAL ORDER TO NO. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
	CASET	DISTRICT OF