

1 Terms of Use, the SAR legal restrictions, Oracle's Technical Support Policies, and the Legal  
2 Download Agreement. Further, Oracle refers defendants to Oracle's production of the server  
3 logs in this matter as they show defendants' access to and downloading from Customer  
4 Connection. Oracle's production of such documents is continuing, but includes materials Bates  
5 numbered ORCL00000001 through ORCL00007590, and ORCL00009434. Further, Oracle  
6 refers defendants to the First Amended Complaint and defendants' Answer, and incorporates  
7 both here.

8 **SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

9 **Second Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 3(a):**

10 Oracle further objects to the extent that supplementation would require Oracle to  
11 summarize the documents and testimony provided on this subject; Oracle cannot and will not and  
12 is not required to do so and incorporates all such evidence by reference into this supplemented  
13 answer. Subject to and without waiving the specific and general objections stated above, Oracle  
14 further responds that Defendants have interfered with, directly breached contract terms and/or  
15 induced breach of contractual terms with respect to at least the customers set forth on Defendant  
16 TomorrowNow, Inc.'s Supplemental Exhibit 1 to its First Sets of Requests for Production, whose  
17 agreements with Oracle have been produced. Oracle's production of such documents is  
18 continuing, but includes materials Bates numbered ORCL00000001 through ORCL00007714,  
19 ORCL00009434, ORCL00051950 through ORCL00052052, ORCL00139148 through  
20 ORCL00159820, ORCL00176128 through ORCL00180465 and ORCL00372975 through  
21 ORCL00381654. Oracle also refers Defendants to their own communications with customers  
22 urging or requiring them to either download themselves or allow to download and/or use  
23 materials outside of the scope of the customers' license. Oracle further responds that the  
24 Defendants' breaches of agreements and inducement of breach are set forth in detail in Oracle's  
25 responses to Defendants' Fifth Set of Interrogatory Nos. 22-31 and incorporates those responses  
26 here as if set forth in full.

27 **Second Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 3(b):**

28 Oracle further objects to the extent that supplementation would require Oracle to

1 summarize the documents and testimony provided on this subject; Oracle cannot and will not and  
2 is not required to do so and incorporates all such evidence by reference into this supplemented  
3 answer. Oracle further objects to the extent that supplementation would require Oracle to  
4 summarize the documents and testimony provided on this subject; Oracle cannot and will not and  
5 is not required to do so and incorporates all such evidence by reference into this supplemented  
6 answer. Subject to and without waiving the specific and general objections stated above, Oracle  
7 further responds that Defendants have interfered with, directly breached contract terms and/or  
8 induced breach of contractual terms with respect to at least the customers set forth on Defendant  
9 TomorrowNow, Inc.'s Supplemental Exhibit 1 to its First Sets of Requests for Production, whose  
10 agreements with Oracle have been produced. Oracle's production of such documents is  
11 continuing, but includes materials Bates numbered ORCL00000001 through ORCL00007714,  
12 ORCL00009434, ORCL00051950 through ORCL00052052, ORCL00139148 through  
13 ORCL00159820, ORCL00176128 through ORCL00180465 and ORCL00372975 through  
14 ORCL00381654. Oracle also refers Defendants to their own communications with customers  
15 urging or requiring them to either download themselves or allow to download and/or use  
16 materials outside of the scope of the customers' license. Oracle further responds that the  
17 Defendants' interference with Oracle's relationships are set forth in detail in Oracle's responses  
18 to Defendants' Fifth Set of Interrogatory Nos. 39-76 and incorporates those responses here as if  
19 set forth in full.

20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

21 For each item of Software and Support Materials that Oracle claims was accessed or  
22 Downloaded improperly by any Defendant, describe in as much detail as possible the  
23 circumstances of the access or Download, including the specific item allegedly accessed or  
24 Downloaded, the date and time of the access or Download, and the reasons why the access or  
25 Download was improper.

26 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

27 In addition to its General Objections, Oracle objects that its investigation into the  
28 circumstances related to defendants' intrusion into and theft from Oracle's systems is not yet

1 complete. Oracle further objects to the extent that this Interrogatory calls for information  
2 protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges. Oracle further objects to this  
3 Interrogatory on the ground that many of the facts concerning defendants' access and  
4 downloading are solely within the knowledge and/or control of defendants or third parties.  
5 Oracle further objects to the extent the Interrogatory purports to require Oracle to create a  
6 compilation, abstract, or summary from business records that Oracle has already produced or  
7 will produce.

8 Subject to and without waiver of these objections, Oracle responds as follows:

9 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 33(d), Oracle refers defendants to Oracle's  
10 production of the server logs in this matter as they show defendants' access to and downloading  
11 of the Software and Support Materials available through Customer Connection. Each access by  
12 defendants was improper to the extent that the customer, whose log-in credentials were used by  
13 defendants, was not licensed to access, download, and/or receive the downloaded Software and  
14 Support Materials, including because the customer's support rights had expired. Defendants had  
15 no license of their own, and no other independent right to access, download, or use Oracle's  
16 Software and Support Materials.

17 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

18 Subject to and without waiving the above objections, and pursuant to the extensive meet  
19 and confer discussions and agreements as described in more detail above, Oracle further  
20 responds that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 33(d), Oracle refers defendants to Oracle's  
21 production of the server logs in this matter, including ORCL00009434, as they show defendants'  
22 access to and downloading of the Software and Support Materials available through Customer  
23 Connection. Each access by defendants was improper to the extent that the customer, whose log-  
24 in credentials were used by defendants, was not licensed to access, download, and/or receive the  
25 downloaded Software and Support Materials, including because the customer's support rights  
26 had expired. Defendants had no license of their own, and no other independent right to access,  
27 download, or use Oracle's Software and Support Materials.

28

**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

Oracle further objects to the extent that supplementation would require Oracle to summarize the documents and testimony provided on this subject; Oracle cannot and will not and is not required to do so and incorporates all such evidence by reference into this supplemented answer. Subject to and without waiving the specific and general objections stated above, Oracle further responds that the business records and depositions of Defendants' employees confirm that (a) Defendants policy was to indiscriminately download all support materials regardless of whether the customer was licensed to it, (b) Defendants regularly downloaded support materials for customers after their maintenance end date with Oracle, (c) Defendants downloaded support materials for customers that never signed an agreement with them, and often kept those illegal downloads for later use, even though the customer never signed on with Defendants, (d) for years Defendants held downloads for all customers in one central repository making it impossible to know which support material was downloaded for which customer, or to know which customer was entitled to access such support materials, (e) Defendants created computer scripts to accomplish their scheme of mass, indiscriminate downloading, which later were more formally developed into computer scrapers, including a computer program, called Titan, so that all support materials available on Oracle's customer support websites could be mass downloaded as quickly as possible, and (f) Defendants created numerous libraries to house their mass, illegal downloads, that are evident all across Defendants' servers and computer systems, including the millions of downloads that exist on TomorrowNow's servers.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

Describe in as much detail as possible how Oracle believes any activity alleged in the Complaint has damaged it, including how Oracle was damaged by each allegedly improper Download identified in the response to Interrogatory No. 4 and, if Oracle claims to have lost any customer as a result of any activity alleged in the Complaint, all facts and inferences upon which Oracle bases that claim for each customer allegedly lost.

**RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

In addition to its General Objections, Oracle objects that this Interrogatory is compound,

1 as it includes two distinct inquiries. Oracle will therefore treat this Interrogatory as though it  
2 were two separate Interrogatories, as follows:

3 **Interrogatory No. 5(a):**

4 Describe in as much detail as possible how Oracle believes any activity alleged in the  
5 Complaint has damaged it, including how Oracle was damaged by each allegedly improper  
6 Download identified in the response to Interrogatory No. 4.

7 **Response to Interrogatory No. 5(a):**

8 In addition to its General Objections, Oracle objects that the internal reference to  
9 Interrogatory No. 4 renders this Interrogatory vague and ambiguous. Oracle further objects that  
10 this interrogatory seeks information that is not relevant to the litigation, nor likely to lead to the  
11 discovery of admissible evidence. Oracle further objects that its investigation into the  
12 circumstances related to defendants' intrusion into and theft from Oracle's systems, and the  
13 resulting damage, is not yet complete, including but not limited to its investigation into  
14 customers implicated by SAP's unlawful conduct. Oracle further objects to the extent that this  
15 Interrogatory calls for information protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges.  
16 Oracle further objects to the extent that the Interrogatory calls for expert opinion or testimony in  
17 advance of the time for production of this information. Oracle further objects to this  
18 Interrogatory on the ground that many of the facts concerning defendants' interference are solely  
19 within the knowledge and/or control of defendants or other third parties.

20 Subject to and without waiver of these objections, Oracle responds as follows:

21 As a result of the conduct described in its First Amended Complaint, Oracle has suffered  
22 damages, including without limitation loss of profits from sales or licenses to current and  
23 potential customers of Oracle support services and software programs; diminution of Oracle's  
24 competitive advantage; harm to Oracle's data, programs, and computer systems, including  
25 without limitation damage to their functionality; loss of the revenues, earnings, profits,  
26 compensation, and benefits that SAP obtained from the unlawful and unfair use of Oracle's  
27 stolen property; damage to Oracle's rights to dominion and control over its property; damage to  
28 the confidential nature of the information on Oracle's website; diminution in value of Oracle's

1 stolen property; deprivation of the intended use of Oracle's computer systems; and irreparable  
2 harm as a result of SAP's illegal, wrongful, and unfair business practices, for which Oracle has  
3 no adequate remedy at law. Oracle may discover additional categories of damage as it continues  
4 its investigation of the matter. A calculation of damages has not been made. After computation  
5 of damages is complete, Oracle will make available to SAP the documents or other evidentiary  
6 material, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on which such computation is based,  
7 including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered.

8 **Interrogatory No. 5(b):**

9 If Oracle claims to have lost any customer as a result of any activity alleged in the  
10 Complaint, all facts and inferences upon which Oracle bases that claim for each customer  
11 allegedly lost.

12 **Response to Interrogatory No. 5(b):**

13 In addition to its General Objections, Oracle objects on the grounds that the undefined  
14 phrase "all facts and inferences" renders the Interrogatory vague and ambiguous. Oracle further  
15 objects that this Interrogatory seeks information that is not relevant to the litigation, and is not  
16 likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Oracle further objects that its  
17 investigation into the circumstances related to defendants' intrusion into and theft from Oracle's  
18 systems, and the resulting damage, is not yet complete, including but not limited to its  
19 investigation into customers implicated by SAP's unlawful conduct. Such a request is  
20 objectionable as a premature contention interrogatory before discovery has begun in earnest. *See*  
21 *In re Convergent Technologies*, 108 F.R.D. 328 (N.D. Cal. 1985). Oracle further objects to the  
22 extent that this Interrogatory calls for information protected by the attorney-client or work  
23 product privileges. Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that many of the  
24 facts concerning lost customers are solely within the knowledge and/or control of defendants or  
25 other third parties.

26 Subject to and without waiver of these objections, Oracle responds as follows:

27 As a result of the conduct described in its First Amended Complaint, Oracle has lost a  
28 number of current and potential customers of Oracle support services and software programs.

1 The full extent of customers lost due to SAP's unlawful conduct will be revealed as Oracle  
 2 continues its investigation of the matter and conducts discovery. However, at a minimum and  
 3 without limitation, Oracle has lost the Named Customers as a result of the activity alleged in the  
 4 First Amended Complaint. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 33(d), Oracle refers defendants  
 5 to Oracle's document production, including Oracle's production of the customer license  
 6 agreements related to each of the Named Customers and the customer's license agreement file,  
 7 and including correspondence related to the customer's support renewal. Further, Oracle refers  
 8 defendants to the First Amended Complaint and defendants' Answer.

9 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

10 **Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 5(b):**

11 Subject to and without waiving the above objections, and pursuant to the extensive meet  
 12 and confer discussions and agreements as described in more detail above, Oracle further  
 13 responds that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 33(d), Oracle refers defendants to Oracle's  
 14 document production, including Oracle's production of the customer license agreements related  
 15 to each of the Named Customers and the customer's license agreement file, and including  
 16 correspondence related to the customer's support renewal. Oracle's production of such  
 17 documents is continuing, but includes materials Bates numbered ORCL00000001 through  
 18 ORCL00007590. Further, Oracle refers defendants to the First Amended Complaint and  
 19 defendants' Answer.

20 **SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

21 **Second Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 5(a):**

22 Oracle further objects to the extent that supplementation would require Oracle to  
 23 summarize the documents and testimony provided on this subject, including, e.g., the deposition  
 24 testimony of Redacted  
 25 Redacted ; Oracle cannot and will not and is not required to do so  
 26 and incorporates all such evidence by reference into this supplemented answer. Subject to and  
 27 without waiving the specific and general objections stated above, Oracle further incorporates by  
 28 reference the damages-related responses and information contained in Oracle's responses to