1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP				
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)				
	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)				
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)				
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695)				
4	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067				
5	Telephone: 415.393.2000				
•	Facsimile: 415.393.2286				
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com				
_	geoff.howard@bingham.com				
7	holly.house@bingham.com				
8	zachary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com				
O	ore main compilative on				
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)				
10	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)				
10	500 Oracle Parkway				
11	M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070				
	Telephone: (650) 506-4846				
12	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114				
12	dorian.daley@oracle.com				
13	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation	on, and			
15	Oracle EMEA Limited				
16					
10	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT			
17		SISTIMOT COURT			
	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
18	CANEDANICIC	CO DIVIGIONI			
19	SAN FRANCIS	CODIVISION			
1)					
20					
	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	No. 07-CV-1568 PJH (EDL)			
21	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF CHAD			
22	V.	RUSSELL IN SUPPORT OF			
	,	ORACLE'S MOTION TO AMEND			
23	SAP AG, et al.,	COMPLAINT			
. .	D 6 1 4	- 10 A000			
24	Defendants.	Date: August 19, 2009 Time: 9:00 a.m.			
25		Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 5, 17th Floor			
		Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton			
26					
27					
28					
40					

1	I, Chad Russell, declare as follows:				
2	1. I am an associate at the firm of Bingham McCutchen LLP, counsel of				
3	record for Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited				
4	(collectively, "Oracle") in this action. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge				
5	from my representation of Oracle and could and would testify competently to the facts stated				
6	herein if called upon to do so.				
7	2. Attached as Exhibit A are true and correct copies of excerpts from the				
8	transcript of the deposition of Mark Kreutz as TomorrowNow's Rule 30(b)(6) witness, taken on				
9	or about October 30, 2007 in this action. Oracle has supplied the highlighting.				
10	3. Oracle served a Second Set of Interrogatories to Defendants on or about				
11	November 27, 2007 in this action. Defendants served their responses to those interrogatories on				
12	or about December 27, 2007. Defendants then served Amended and Supplemental Responses to				
13	the same interrogatories on or about May 22, 2009. For the Court's convenience, attached as				
14	Exhibit B are true and correct copies of excerpts from only the Amended and Supplemental				
15	Responses, which additionally reflect the text of both Oracle's original Interrogatories and				
16	Defendants' December 2007 Response.				
17	4. Attached as Exhibit C is a combined list derived from two sources:				
18	 Defendants' SAS Database, and representing products and releases 				
19	supported by Defendants for the PeopleSoft, JDE, and Siebel Product				
20	lines; and				
21	• the "screenshots" produced by Defendants by email on or about May				
22	1, 2009 (see ¶ 23 below), and representing versions of Oracle database				
23	products which may have existed on Defendants' systems.				
24	5. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of a printout of a				
25	spreadsheet produced by Defendants in this action and Bates-labeled (according to the				
26	production cover letter) as TN-OR00169315. It has been formatted for printing, including by				
27	adding the "No." column to number the environments for the Court's convenience.				
28	6. Attached as Exhibit E are true and correct copies of excerpts from the				

1	transcript of the deposition of John Baugh as TomorrowNow's Rule 30(b)(6) witness, taken on			
2	or about February 6, 2008 in this action. Oracle has supplied the highlighting.			
3	7. Attached as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of a printout of a			
4	spreadsheet produced by Defendants in this action and Bates-labeled (according to the			
5	production cover letter) as TN-OR06515456. It has been formatted for printing, including by			
6	adding the "No." column to number the environments for the Court's convenience.			
7	8. Oracle received the following productions from Defendants on or about			
8	the dates indicated, and designated by the accompanying cover letters as follows:			
9	• "BakTrak files" - April 20, 2009;			
10	• "SAS database" - March 6, 2009;			
11	• "DotProject files" - May 8, 2009.			
12	9. Attached as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of a printout of a view			
13	from Defendants' SAS Database, as produced by Defendants on or about March 6, 2009. Oracle			
14	has supplied the highlighting.			
15	10. Attached as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of a printout of a view			
16	from Defendants' SAS Database, as produced by Defendants on or about December 4, 2007 (and			
17	corresponding to the view referenced in \P 9 above).			
18	11. Attached as Exhibit I are true and correct copies of excerpts from the			
19	transcript of the discovery hearing held by Magistrate Judge Laporte on November 25, 2008.			
20	Oracle has supplied the highlighting.			
21	12. As of the execution of this declaration, Oracle has received productions			
22	from Defendants Bates-labeled up through approximately the following numbers - SAP-			
23	OR00787867 and TN-OR06997289. These combined productions indicate a production of			
24	approximately 7,785,156 pages. This is only a rough approximation, as there are a number of			
25	exceptions to the "one Bates-number equals one-page" rule, including that many large			
26	spreadsheets and databases are assigned only one Bates number. Defendants' production cover			
27	letters and assigned Bates numbers as of January 1, 2009 (approximately SAP-OR00581938 and			
28	TN-OR03712543) indicate that Defendants have produced approximately 3.5 million pages thus			

1	far in 2009.			
2	13.	One of the hard drives produced by Defendants on or about March 6, 2009		
3	(see ¶ 8 above) as the	s the SAS database, was assigned the Bates-number TN-OR04446719. The		
4	combined size of the	combined size of the files on this drive is approximately 21.6 gigabytes.		
5	14.	According to the production cover letters, since January 1, 2009,		
6	Defendants' product	ants' productions have included documents corresponding to custodians in the table		
7	below, which also shows the individual's job title as indicated on Defendants' organizational			
	charts or customer li		job title as maleated on Berendant	organizationar
8	charts of customer in	818.		
9		John Baugh	Environments Manager	
10		Shelley Blackmarr	Consultant II, Senior Support	
10		Patti VonFeldt	World Development	
11		Larry Garcia	PSFT Quality Assurance Manager	
		Desmond Harris	IT Analyst	
12		Peggy Lanford	Senior Tech Services Analyst	
13		Keith Larsen	Senior SA Developer	
13		Beth Lester Kimberley Martinez	Specialist IV, Quality Management HCM QA Lead	
14		Adrianne McMillan	Tech / CRM Support Engineer	
		Sharon Piper	HCM Support Engineer Lead	
15		Elouise Plain	Financials/Payroll/HR Engineer	
1.6		Roberto Porfirio	Technical Engineer	
16		Keith Shankle	Technical Engineer	
17		Pete Surette	Distribution Engineer	
		Siew Hee Wong	Technical Engineer	
18	1.5	A.: 1 1 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
19	15.	Attached as Exhibi	t J are true and correct copies of ex	cerpts from
20	Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery Relevant to Plaintiffs' Copyright Claims, filed with			Claims, filed with
21	the Court on or about April 14, 2009 in this action as entry 294 on the Docket. Oracle has			et. Oracle has
22	supplied the highlighting.			
	16.	Attached as Exhibi	t K is a true and correct copy of Ma	agistrate Judge
23	Laporte's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery Relevant to Plaintiffs'			nt to Plaintiffs'
24 25	Copyright Claims, entered by the Court on or about June 26, 2009 in this action as entry 328 on			
25	the Docket. Oracle has supplied the highlighting.			
26	17. Attached as Exhibit L are true and correct copies of excerpts from			
	17. Attached as Exhibit L are true and correct copies of excerpts from			

Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Compel Discovery Relevant to Plaintiffs'

27

28

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document350 Filed07/15/09 Page5 of 6

1	Copyright Claims, filed with the Court on May 5, 2009 in this action as entry 302 on the Docket				
2	Oracle has supplied the highlighting.				
3	18. Attached as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of a document produced				
4	by Defendants in this action and Bates-labeled TN-OR01019046. Oracle has supplied the				
5	highlighting.				
6	19. Attached as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of a document produced				
7	by Defendants in this action and Bates-labeled TN-OR01029402-08. Oracle has supplied the				
8	highlighting.				
9	20. Because of the large number of documents produced by Defendants on an				
10	ongoing basis, Oracle uses search terms based on the operative issues in the case to reduce the				
11	review sets. Reviews are prioritized based on upcoming immediate needs, which typically relate				
12	to deposition preparation.				
13	21. On or about February 8, 2009, while preparing for the deposition of Greg				
14	Nelson on February 19, 2009, I came across TN-OR01019046 and TN-OR01029402 (see ¶¶ 18-				
15	19 above). As soon as I found them I circulated them internally and Oracle began to investigate				
16	the issues raised by the documents. These documents were included in a production from				
17	Defendants in March 2008 consisting of approximately 176,916 pages.				
18	22. Attached as Exhibit O is a true and correct copy of a document produced				
19	by Defendants in this action and Bates-labeled TN-OR00434268. Oracle has supplied the				
20	highlighting.				
21	23. Attached as Exhibit P are true and correct copies of printouts of				
22	"screenshots" sent to Oracle by Defendants via email on May 1, 2009.				
23	24. Attached as Exhibit Q are true and correct copies of excerpts from the				
24	transcript of the deposition of George Lester, taken on or about April 23, 2009 in this action.				
25	Oracle has supplied the highlighting.				
26	25. Attached as Exhibit R is a true and correct copy of a printout of Plaintiffs'				
27	and Defendants' Joint Administrative Motion to Modify May 5, 2008 Case Management Order,				
28	filed with the Court on May 12, 2009 in this action as entry 304 on the Docket.				

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document350 Filed07/15/09 Page6 of 6

1	26.	Attached as Exhibit S are true and correct copies of excerpts from the				
2	transcript of the disc	transcript of the discovery hearing held by Magistrate Judge Laporte on February 13, 2009.				
3	Oracle has supplied the highlighting.					
4	27.	Attached as Exhibit T are true and correct copies of excerpts from the				
5	transcript of the disc	ot of the discovery hearing held by Magistrate Judge Laporte on March 31, 2009. Oracle				
6	has supplied the highlighting.					
7	28.	Between December 27, 2007, and July 28, 2008, Oracle deposed the				
8	following Tomorrov	wNow witnesses on or about	t the following dates f	or the corresponding	7	
9	approximate amoun	t of record time:		,		
10		St. H. M. I.	49/0/3007	Z-40		
		Shelley Nelson	12/6/2007 2/6/2008	5:40 4:35		
11		John Baugh - 30(b)(6)	2/7/2008	5:15		
12		John Baugh - 30(b)(6) Mark Kreutz - 30(b)(6)	2/19/2008	8:29		
		Kathy Williams - 30(b)(6)	4/1/2008	1:44		
13		Catherine Hyde - 30(b)(6)	4/1/2008	5:00		
14		Catherine Hyde - 30(b)(6)	4/2/2008	7:03		
		Shelley Nelson	4/18/2008	7:14		
15		Roderic Russell - 30(b)(6)	6/25/2008	4:42		
16		Larry Garcia - 30(b)(6)	6/25/2008	0:47		
10		Sharon Piper - 30(b)(6)	6/25/2008	0:22		
17			(b)(6) Record Time:	37:57		
18			Total Record Time:	50:51		
19						
	т 1	1	don the large of th	a United States that	tha	
20		clare under penalty of perjur				
21	foregoing is true an	d correct and that this declar	ration is executed at S	an Francisco, Califo	rnıa, on	
22	July 15, 2009.			1	*	
23	Chad L. Russell				±	
24			Chad L. Russen			
25						
26						
27						
28						