Exhibit I

Dockets.Justia.com

Hearing, Discovery before Judge Laporte 11/25/2008 12:00:00 PM

```
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
         FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
         MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
4
5
    ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware ) Case No. C07-1658
    Corporation; ORACLE, USA, INC.,) PJH(EDL)
6
    a Colorado Corporation; and )
    ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
                                 )
    CORPORATION, a California
7
                                )
    Corporation,
                         )
8
                     )
              Plaintiffs, )
9
                     )
                      ) FURTHER DISCOVERY
         vs.
10
                     ) CONFERENCE
    SAP AG, a German Corporation; )
11
    SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware )
12
    CORPORATION; TOMORROWNOW, INC.,)
    a Texas Corporation; and DOES)
13
    1-50, Inclusive,
                         )
                     )
14
             Defendants. )
                                  )
15
              November 25, 2008
16
17
     TRANSCRIPT OF AUDIO RECORDING OF DISCOVERY CONFERENCE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
           TRANSCRIBED BY: FREDDIE REPPOND
```

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document354-9 Filed07/17/09 Page3 of 3

Hearing, Discovery before Judge Laporte 11/25/2008 12:00:00 PM

5

6

5 little less servers, but some servers have more than one 6 partition on the hard drive; but at 52 partitions they completed that review in August. And of those 52, 32 of 7 them they indicated that there were no files that they 8 9 wanted copies of immediately; but there were 20 of those that they did want copies from. Then subsequently since 10 11 mid-August until now we made available another net 15 partitions. And of those partitions we just got final 12 confirmation from them today that that's complete. And 13 14 so there's an ongoing effort on our part to produce 15 copies that they tagged. The issue really that's in dispute here is the 16 timing of the production of those copies. And to give 17 the Court some feel for what volume we're talking about, 18 to date Defendants have produced 4 -- over 4 million 19 pages -- 4 million Bates-stamped pages of documents in 20 this case. The tagged documents that they have out of 21 22 this data warehouse are over 5 million files. So it is a huge number of --23 THE COURT: And the files may have more than 24 25 one page, of course. MR. COWAN: A file could be -- what is known 1 as a TST file. And inside of that is a whole e-mail 2 inbox that could be thousands if not tens of thousands 3 of additional documents and pages. And so it does -there are some things that we can look at and say there's no need for a file-by-file review because 6 there's no way this thing just by the nature of it could 7 be privileged; and we are doing that. We're doing that in an expeditious way. It just takes time, given the 9 10 volume. 11 We have committed to everything they've tagged to review and produce in 90 days. Their position is 12 13 that's not fast enough. Our position is we're going as 14 fast as we can and still keeping the other productions 15 on track 16 THE COURT: Well, are you doing it in some kind of phased way? In other words, the ones that you 17 determine you don't have to review you're producing 18 19 those quickly? 20 MR. COWAN: Yes, we are. And the phasing that we're doing is we're letting them focus on what they 21 want first and prioritize that. There was a partition 22 23 on this TNFS01 that they said want pieces of that immediately; and that involved a lot of review on our 24 25 part. But we did review it and we've gotten those

remotely. They don't have to go somewhere.

52 partitions of servers. It's a little less than -- a

MR. COWAN: We first made available a total of

THE COURT: Right.

1 2

3

4