Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document359-3 Filed07/21/09 Page1 of 9

· • '

EXHIBIT C

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware )
corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a)
Colorado corporation, and ORACLE)
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
a California corporation,
                          )
Plaintiffs,
                   ) CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)
VS.
SAP AG, a German corporation, )
SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware )
corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a)
Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50,)
inclusive,
Defendants.
"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"
ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
RODERIC RUSSELL
FEBRUARY 5, 2009
ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RODERIC RUSSELL, produced
as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn,
was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 5th
day of February, 2009, from 8:56 a.m. to 4:05 p.m., before
Dana Richardson, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the
State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at
the offices of Jones Day, 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3300,
Houston, Texas 77002, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached
hereto.
Job No. 1603-90332
```

Oracle_SAP Russell, Roderic (Individual) FINAL 2/5/2009 1 2 3 Q. What's the scope of your duties as a consultant for 4 TomorrowNow? 5 A. To basically be available to counsel and answer any 6 questions or clarify anything for them. 7 Q. So, is -- testifying here today is part -- is within 8 the scope of your consultant relationship? 9 MR. FUCHS: Objection, form. 10 A. Not as I understand it. That I would have to testify 11 here today regardless of that relationship. 12 Q. (By Mr. Polito) Are you being compensated to testify 13 here today? 14 A. Not as I understand it, no. 15 Q. Are you compensated when you're -- you make yourself 16 available to counsel on other occasions? 17 A. If they have questions, yes. 18 Q. What's the rate that you're being compensated at to 19 be a consultant for this case? 20 MR. FUCHS: Objection, form. 21 A. Redacted 22 23 24 25

Oracle_SAP

Tarmer, John Final

3/13/2009

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE)
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, )
a California corporation,
Plaintiffs,
)
                 ) CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)
VS.
)
SAP AG, a German corporation, )
SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware)
corporation, TOMORROWNOW,
INC., a Texas corporation, and)
DOES 1-50, inclusive,
Defendants.
                )
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
JOHN TANNER
MARCH 13, 2009
ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN TANNER, produced as a
witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn, was
taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 13th day
of March, 2009, from 9:27 a.m. to 6:26 p.m., before Dana
Richardson, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State
of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the
offices of Jones Day, 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3300, Houston,
Texas 77002, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.
Job No. 1603-90679
```

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document359-3 Filed07/21/09 Page5 of 9

| Oracle_SAP | | Tanner, John Final | | <u> </u> | 3/13/2009 |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------|-----------|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | 1 | | | - |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | 1 | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | Q. You haven't consulted with an | nyone regarding this | | | |
| 24 | lawsuit? | | | | |
| 25 | A. What do you mean? | | | | |
| L | | None | | | Page 20 |

one Page 20

| oracle_SAP | Tanner, John Final | 3/13/2009 |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Q. Provided consultation services for pay in relation to | |
| 2 | this lawsuit. | |
| 3 | A. Provided consultation for pay, yes. | |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And can you describe that? | |
| 5 | A. Yes. The agreement is for me to be available to | |
| 6 | answer any kind of technical question that I can remember from | |
| 7 | the time at TomorrowNow in case any of the legal team needs | |
| 8 | needs help deciphering what what they see or find in their | |
| 9 | research. | |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And who who do you report to for that | |
| 11 | that consultation? | |
| 12 | A. I don't have a manager that I know of. | |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Who is it that's asking you these questions | |
| 14 | regarding the lawsuit? | |
| 15 | A. Counsel, Jones Day counsel. | |
| 16 | Q. Anyone else other than Jones Day? | |
| 17 | A. And my personal counsel, Reid Wittliff. | |
| 18 | Q. And how much are you being paid for providing these | |
| 19 | consultation services? | |
| 20 | A. Redacted | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

None

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware )
corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a)
Colorado corporation, and ORACLE)
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
a California corporation,
Plaintiffs,
VS.
                   ) CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)
SAP AG, a German corporation, )
SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware )
corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a)
Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50,)
inclusive,
Defendants.
"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"
ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
CATHERINE HYDE
FEBRUARY 12, 2009
ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CATHERINE HYDE, produced as
a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn,
was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 12th
day of February, 2009, from 8:37 a.m. to 5:26 p.m., before
Dana Richardson, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the
State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at
the offices of Jones Day, 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3300,
Houston, Texas 77002, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached
hereto.
Job No. 1603-90347
```

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document359-3 Filed07/21/09 Page8 of 9

| Oracle_SAP | AP Hyde, Catherine Final | 5/12/2009 |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 |) | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | 2 | |
| 13 | 3 | |
| 14 | 1 | |
| 15 | 5 | |
| 16 | 6 | |
| 17 | 7 | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | testified that you were being paid by SAP at the rate of | |
| 21 | Redacted month as a consultant, do you recall that? | |
| 22 | 2 A By TomorrowNow. | |
| 23 | Q Is that are you I believe you said that | |
| 24 | at that time that your contract expired in April of | |
| 25 | 5 2009? | |
| | | l |

None Page 186

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document359-3 Filed07/21/09 Page9 of 9 Hyde, Catherine Final 5/12/2009 :*'

| (| Oracle_SAP | | Hyde, Catherine Final | *′ | 5/12/2009 | . ; |
|----|------------|---|--|----|-----------|-----|
| | 1 | A | Yes. | | | |
| | 2 | Q | Has it been extended? | | | |
| | 3 | A | Yes. | | | |
| | 4 | Q | Does it have an expiration date? | | | |
| | 5 | A | Ten months from they added ten months. | | | |
| | 6 | Q | They added so it ends in February of 2010? | | | |
| | 7 | A | Yes. If that's ten months, yes. | | | |
| | 8 | Q | Has the rate of pay changed? | | | |
| | 9 | A | Yes. | | | |
| | 10 | Q | What has it changed to? | | | |
| | 11 | A | Redacted | | | |
| | 12 | | | | | |
| | 13 | | | | | |
| | 14 | | | | | |
| | 15 | | | | | |
| | 16 | | | | | |
| | 17 | | | | | |
| | 18 | | | | | |
| | 19 | | | | | |
| | 20 | | | | | |
| | 21 | | | | | |
| | 22 | | | | | |
| | 23 | | | | | |
| | 24 | | | | | |
| | 25 | | | | | |
| -1 | | | | | | |