Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document369-22 Filed07/28/09 Page1 of 4

```
Pages 1 - 23
          United States District Court
         Northern District of California
      Before The Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte
Oracle Corporation, et al. )
      Plaintiff,
                        No. C07-1658 PJH (EDL)
 VS.
SAP AG, et al.,
      Defendant.
                    San Francisco, California
                     Wednesday, May 27, 2009
        Reporter's Transcript Of Proceedings
Appearances:
For Plaintiff:
                   Bingham McCutchen
               Three Embarcadero Center
               San Francisco, California 94111
           By: Anthony Falzone, Esquire
               Zachary Alinder, Esquire
For Defendant:
                     Jones Day
               555 California Street, 26th Floor
               San Francisco, California 94104
            By: Elaine Wallace, Esquire
               Greg Lanier, Esquire
               Jane Froyd, Esquire
                 Sahar McVickar, RPR, CSR No. 12963
Reported By:
            Official Reporter, U.S. District Court
            For the Northern District of California
        (Computerized Transcription By Eclipse)
```

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document369-22 Filed07/28/09 Page3 of 4

Oracle_SAP	Hearing, Discovery before Laporte re Defendants' MTC 5/27/200
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13.	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	THE COURT: Could that be done I mean, what's the

	1	most efficient way to do that? Perhaps a detailed	
	2	interrogatory response?	
	3	MS. WALLACE: That would be our preference. And, in	
	4	fact, that we originally, as we've said in the papers,	ļ -
	5	suggested or sought 30(b)(6) testimony on this. Oracle came	
	6	back and said	
	7	THE COURT: No, I hate to have real people have to	
	8	memorize reams of information for a 30(b)(6).	
	9	MS. WALLACE: Yeah. And when Oracle said an	
	10	interrogatory response is more appropriate, we agreed to that.	
	11	And so that would be our ideal response, would be an	
	12	interrogatory response.	
	13	MR. FALZONE: I think, in light of what Your Honor	
	14	has told us today, we should confer about the burden of doing	
	15	that and figure out if that is something that we believe is	
	16	feasible.	
	17	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to order you to do	
	18	something.	
	19	MR. FALZONE: Understood.	
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
ı			