1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP					
•	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)					
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) HOLLY A. HOWARD (SBN 136045)					
3						
	BREE HANN (SBN 215695)					
4	Three Embarcadero Center					
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000					
3	Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286					
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com					
-	geoff.howard@bingham.com					
7	holly.house@bingham.com zachary.alinder@bingham.com					
8						
_	<u> </u>					
9	2014114 211221 (821412)					
10	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7					
	Redwood City, CA 94070					
11	Telephone: (650) 506-4846					
12	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114					
12	dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com					
13	J					
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
14	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and					
15	Oracle EMEA Limited					
1.0						
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
17						
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
18	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION				
19						
	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)				
20	Plaintiffs,	[ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING				
21	V.	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE				
		MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL				
22	SAP AG, et al.,	DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING				
23	Defendants.	PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR				
23	Delenamo.	SANCTIONS AND TO COMPEL				
24		7				
25		Date: August 18, 2009				
25		Time: 2:00 pm Place: Courtroom E, 15th Floor				
26						
27						
28						
-						

Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

1	Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International				
2	Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited (collectively, "Oracle," and with Defendants, the				
3	"Parties") Administrative Request to File Under Seal Documents and Declarations Supporting				
4	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Sanctions and Plaintiff's Opposition to				
5	Defendants' Motion to Compel Financial Information (the "Request to Seal").				
6	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to				
7	permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of "a trade secret or other				
8	confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(c). In				
9	particular, when the request for sealing concerns discovery documents attached to a non-				
10	dispositive motion, a showing of good cause to seal the documents is sufficient to justify				
11	protection under Rule 26(c). See Navarro v. Eskanos & Adler, Case No. C-06 02231				
12	WHA(EDL), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24864 at *7 (March 22,2007) (citing Kamakana v.				
13	Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006).				
14	In compliance with this Court's Standing Order for Cases Involving Sealed or				
15	Confidential Documents, Rule 26(c) and Civil Local Rule 79-5, Oracle has filed the Declaration				
16	of Jennifer Gloss (the "Gloss Declaration") in support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal on July 28,				
17	2009. Through the Gloss Declaration, Oracle provides evidence of good cause sufficient for this				
18	Court to permit filing the requested exhibits under seal. The Gloss Declaration establishes both				
19	that Oracle has considered and treated the information contained in the subject documents as				
20	confidential, commercially sensitive and proprietary, and that public disclosure of such				
21	information would create a risk of significant competitive injury and particularized harm and				
22	prejudice to Oracle. See Phillips v. General Motors Corp. 307 F. 3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2006).				
23	The Gloss Declaration also establishes that the request for sealing has been narrowly tailored.				
24	Having considered Plaintiffs' Request to Seal and the documents and exhibits				
25	filed in support, including the Gloss Declaration and the Stipulation of the Parties to Permit				
26	Plaintiffs to File Documents Under Seal, and GOOD CAUSE having been shown:				
27					
28					

1	11 15 HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Plaintins Request to Seal is GRANTED.				
2	The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of the following documents				
3	that have been lodged with the Court: (1) portions of the Opposition to Sanctions Motion; (2)				
4	the testimony identified as Exhibits G through J of the House Sanctions Declaration; (3) portions				
5	of the Motion to Compel Opposition; (4) portions of the House Motion to Compel Declaration;				
6	(5) the document identified as Exhibit F of the House Motion to Compel Declaration; (6)				
7	portions of the Guner Declaration; (7) portions of San Juan Declaration; and (8) portions of the				
8	Meyer Declaration.				
9	IT IS SO ORDERED			STATES DISTRICT CO.	
10	DATED:	August 12	, 2009	IT IS SO ORDERED	
11	DATED.			Hon Flift ( ) Land	
12				United State Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte	
13				THEN DISTRICT OF CE	
14				DISTRICT	
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
23 24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				2	