EXHIBIT 36

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-38 Filed09/23/09 Page2 of 6

LARRY ELLISON May 5, 2009
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH)

SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

LARRY ELLISON

TUESDAY, MAY 5, 2009

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

REPORTED BY: HOLLY THUMAN, CSR No. 6834, RMR, CRR (1-418128)

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-38 Filed09/23/09 Page3 of 6

LARRY ELLISON May 5, 2009
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 12 10:39:49 1 10:39:49 2 Do you have any estimate of your own --10:39:50 don't tell me what the lawyers have told you -- but 3 10:39:53 4 any estimate of your own of how many customers fall 10:39:56 into those two categories of customers that you 5 10:39:58 mentioned? 10:40:03 A. You'd have to -- I guess we'd have to look 7 10:40:06 at every deal that -- we'd have to talk to every 10:40:09 customer and figure out what -- you know, what --9 10:40:14 10 you know, how they made the decision to buy SAP 10:40:17 11 rather than buy Oracle, or buy DB2, and -- you know, 10:40:21 12 what influenced them to make those decisions. 10:40:25 13 The other thing I'd like to add is, when we 10:40:28 14 lose a deal with a customer, a transaction, they decide to buy SAP Accounting rather than Oracle 10:40:31 15 10:40:34 16 Accounting, for example, and then they pay a million 10:40:36 17 dollars to SAP, that million dollars does really not 10:40:40 18 tell the whole story. 10:40:41 19 Once they've made a design decision for 10:40:43 20 Oracle or SAP, that customer continues to buy from 10:40:46 21 Oracle or SAP for the next 20 years, probably. And a million-dollar deal, if you look at how much --10:40:50 22 10:40:52 23 you know, once you acquire the customer, that customer is worth tens of millions of dollars, not 10:40:57 24 10:41:01 25 that first million-dollar transaction -- that first

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-38 Filed09/23/09 Page4 of 6

LARRY ELLISON May 5, 2009
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Page 13
10:41:04 1	million-dollar transaction is just the beginning of
10:41:06 2	the relationship.
10:41:07 3	So when we lose customers to SAP, we lose
10:41:09 4	them for a decade or two.
10:41:12 5	
10:41:14 6	
10:41:17 7	
10:41:19 8	
10:41:23 9	
10:41:27 10	
10:41:30 11	
10:41:33 12	
10:41:37 13	
10:41:39 14	
10:41:42 15	
10:41:45 16	
10:41:46 17	
10:41:48 18	
10:41:52 19	
10:41:53 20	
10:41:57 21	
10:42:00 22	
10:42:02 23	
10:42:04 24	
10:42:07 25	What period of time is that?

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-38 Filed09/23/09 Page5 of 6 LARRY KLLISON May 5, 2009

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

13:40:57	1	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: This marks the end of
13:40:58	2	Videotape No. 2 in the deposition of Larry Ellison.
13:41:01	3	Going off the record, the time now is 1:41.
13:41:04	4	(Time noted, 1:41 p.m.)
13:41:09	5	000
13:41:09	6	I declare under penalty of perjury that
13:41:09	7	the foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at
13:41:09	8	, California, this day of
13:41:09	9	2009.
13:41:09	10	
13:41:09	11	
13:41:09	12	LARRY ELLISON
•	13	
	14	
	15	
	1-6	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	·
	23	
	24	
	25	
		,

137

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, HOLLY THUMAN, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
5	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
6	within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken
7	down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the
8	time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of
9	the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting,
10	by computer, under my direction and supervision;
11	That before completion of the deposition,
12	review of the transcript $[\mathcal{M}]$ was [] was not requested.
13	If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
14	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are
15	appended hereto.
16	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
17	attorney for either or any of the parties to the said
18	deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of
19	this cause, and that I am not related to any of the
20	parties thereto.
21	20
22	DATED May 11, 2009.
23	1 11 0
24	Atoly Thum
25	HOLLY THUMAN, CSR No. 6834