EXHIBIT 57

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-59 Filed09/23/09 Page2 of 9

GERHARD OSWALD December 11, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 173 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a) Colorado corporation, and ORACLE) INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs,)) Case No. v.)07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL) SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP) AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants.) HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MR. GERHARD OSWALD VOLUME II Thursday, December 11, 2008 AT: 8:28 a.m. Taken at: Bingham McCutchen LLP 41 Lothbury London EC2R 7HF UNITED KINGDOM

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-59 Filed09/23/09 Page3 of 9

			Page 278
	14:13:21	1	Q. If you could turn to the first page of the
	14:13:25	2	presentation, 141571, there is a slide titled "Management"
	14:13:39	3	Summary TomorrowNow". And at the bottom is the
	14:13:42	4	conclusion:
	14:13:44	5	"TomorrowNow is a strategic investment and serves
	14:13:48	6	as a strategic weapon against Oracle."
	14:13:53	7	Do you see that?
	14:14:04	8	A. Yes.
	14:14:05	9	Q. And that was accurate?
	14:14:08	10	A. Yes.
	14:14:10	11	Q. And it continues that it is:
	14:14:13	12	"Taking away maintenance revenue from Oracle."
	14:14:17	13	Do you see that?
	14:14:24	14	A. Yes.
	14:14:24	15	Q. And that was also accurate as to TomorrowNow?
	14:14:30	16	A. Yes.
	14:14:31	17	Q. And it continues that TomorrowNow:
	14:14:35	18	"Creates pre-pipeline of future SAP customers."
	14:14:48	19	And that was also correct?
	14:14:51	20	A. Yes.
	14:14:53	21	
	14:14:55	22	
	14:15:00	23	
	14:15:04	24	
	14:15:07	25	
ı			

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-59 Filed09/23/09 Page4 of 9

	Page 288
14:56:42 1	
14:56:46 2	
14:56:51 3	
14:57:27 4	
14:57:33 5	
14:57:36 6	
14:57:39 7	
14:57:42 8	
14:58:01 9	
14:58:04 10	
14:58:04 11	
14:58:06 12	
14:58:07 13	•
14:58:13 14	
14:58:15 15	
14:58:49 16	
14:58:50 17	Q. And exhibit 472 is a document, TN-OR00995250
14:58:58 18	through 5258, entitled "Business Case TomorrowNow Siebel.
14:59:04 19	Board Area: Gerhard Oswald" and it appears to be a draft of
14:59:09 20	the Siebel business case.
14:59:34 21	If you would turn to page 254, it is entitled
14:59:44 22	"Business Opportunity TomorrowNow Offering for Siebel".
14:59:52 23	And at the top left, under "Customer Analysis", there is the
14:59:57 24	statement:
14:59:57 25	"The Siebel customer base consists of 4,000

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-59 Filed09/23/09 Page5 of 9

	
	Page 289
15:00:00 1	customers (including large number of joint SAP/Siebel
15:00:05 2	customers) and presents a huge market opportunity."
15:00:38 3	Did you agree that accessing that customer base
15:00:41 4	was a huge opportunity for SAP?
15:00:56 5	A. Yes.
15:01:00 6	Q. And that opportunity was part of the basis for
15:01:01 7	SAP's decision to have TomorrowNow service Oracle-owned
15:01:05 8	Siebel applications?
15:01:07 9	MR. LANIER: Assume facts not in evidence. Go
15:01:09 10	ahead.
15:01:37 11	MR. BUTLER: "Service" is a verb.
15:01:41 12	THE INTERPRETER: Shouldn't that say "service on
15:01:43 13	Siebel applications"?
15:01:44 14	MR. LANIER: No, "service" is a verb.
15:01:58 15	A. Yes.
15:02:00 16	BY MS. HOUSE:
15:02:00 17	Q. And looking at the "Conclusions" portion of
15:02:03 18	this page, the second conclusion reads:
15:02:07 19	"The Maintenance offering a key part of the
15:02:10 20	Safe Passage program and provided by TomorrowNow can be
15:02:14 21	used as enabler for future license revenue, to grow
15:02:19 22	maintenance contract volume taken away from Oracle and to
15:02:22 23	generate additional maintenance revenue for SAP."
15:03:07 24	Was that also a reason for SAP's decision to have
15:03:10 25	TomorrowNow service Siebel applications?

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-59 Filed09/23/09 Page6 of 9

•			Page	290	S -75
15:03:22	1	A. Yes.			
15:03:25	2				
15:03:28	3				
15:03:31	4				c .
15:03:35	5				
15:03:58	6				
15:04:01	7				
15:04:02	8				
15:04:06	9				
15:04:12	10				
15:04:32	11				
15:04:34	12				
15:04:37	13				
15:04:41	14				
15:04:46	15				
15:05:11	16				ŀ
15:05:14	17				
15:05:18	18				
15:05:20	19				
15:05:23	20				
15:05:28	21				
15:05:38	22				
 15:05:44	23				
15:06:10	24				
15:06:11	25				
					1

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document487-59 Filed09/23/09 Page7 of 9

		Page 294
15:14:52	1	
15:15:10	2	
15:15:14	3	
15:15:19	4	
15:15:38	5	And so up until the eve of Oracle's lawsuit,
15:15:42	6	TomorrowNow was integral to SAP's efforts to attack Oracle;
15:15:47	7	correct?
15:15:48	8	MR. LANIER: Assume facts not in evidence.
15:15:49	9	Mischaracterizes. Go ahead.
15:16:05	10	A. Yes.
15:16:06	11	
15:16:08	12	
15:16:12	13	
15:16:21	14	
15:16:47	15	
15:16:52	16	
15:16:56	17	
15:17:08	18	
15:17:09	19	
15:17:12	20	
15:17:16	21	
15:17:29	22	
15:17:32	23	
15:17:33	24	
15:17:37	25	

GERHARD OSWALD December 11, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 305
1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
3	
	GERHARD OSWALD, hereby certify that I have read the
5 of	egoing pages, numbered 173 through 307, of my deposition Lestimony taken in these proceedings on Thursday,
6 lis	ember 11, 2008, and, with the exception of the changes ted on the next page and/or corrections, if any, find
ther 7	n to be a true and accurate transcription thereof.
8	
9	
10	/ (
11 Sign	ned: A. A. A
12 Nam	e: GERHARD OSWALD
13 Date	e: 12 1xx. 2009
. 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
2.9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 ·	

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

306

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, ROSE HELEN CLAIRE KAY, an Accredited LiveNote Reporter
4	with Merrill Legal Solutions (a LegaLink company) of London, England, and Asia, hereby certify that the testimony of the
5	witness GERHARD OSWALD in the foregoing transcript, numbered pages 173 through 307, taken on Thursday, December 11, 2008
6	was recorded by me in machine shorthand and was thereafter transcribed by me; and that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate workstim record of the
7	true and accurate verbatim record of the said testimony.
8	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee,
9	counsel or financially involved with any of the parties to the within cause, nor am I an employee or relative of any counsel for the parties, nor am I in any way interested in
10	the outcome of the within cause.
11 .	
12	
13	
14	
15	Signed: CluyM
16	ROSE HELEN CLAIRE KAY
17	Dated: Thursday, December 11, 2008
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	