Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document572-5 Filed12/11/09 Page2 of 6

CHRISTOPHER FAYE

October 22, 2008

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH)

SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

CHRISTOPHER FAYE

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

REPORTED BY: HOLLY THUMAN, CSR No. 6834, RMR, CRR (1-413165)

CHRISTOPHER FAYE October 22, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 24

| 09:28:08 | Q. Was he involved in any analysis of whether |
|-------------|--|
| 09:28:11 7 | TomorrowNow was infringing copyright? |
| 09:28:17 8 | MR. LANIER: Let me think for a second |
| 09:28:19 | about that question. |
| 09:28:32 10 | Are you asking before the litigation or |
| 09:28:33 11 | after, or are you limiting your question at all as |
| 09:28:36 12 | to time? |
| 09:28:37 13 | MR. PICKETT: Not limiting. |
| 09:28:42 14 | MR. LANIER: You can answer that question |
| 09:28:43 15 | at that high level of detail. |
| 09:28:45 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 09:28:47 17 | MR. PICKETT: Q. Did he work with you on |
| 09:28:49 18 | that topic? |
| 09:28:50 19 | A. Yes. |
| 09:28:54 20 | Q. During what time period? |
| 09:28:58 21 | A. Oh, I'd say during the entire time period |
| 09:29:27 22 | that I was working on it. |
| 09:29:30 23 | Q. So from the acquisition until the |
| 09:29:33 24 | litigation? |
| 09:29:34 25 | A. Yes. |
| | |

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document572-5 Filed12/11/09 Page4 of 6

CHRISTOPHER FAYE October 22, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

| | | | Page 25 |
|----------|----|-----------|---|
| 09:29:37 | 1 | Q. | Was Mr. Crean also involved in that |
| 09:29:40 | 2 | exercise | ? |
| 09:29:43 | 3 | А. | Which exercise? |
| 09:29:44 | 4 | Q. | Providing advice regarding potential |
| 09:29:47 | 5 | copyrigh | t infringement by TomorrowNow. |
| 09:29:50 | 6 | Α. | Yes. |
| 09:29:52 | 7 | Q. | Any other lawyers? |
| 09:29:53 | 8 | А. | Yes. |
| 09:29:54 | 9 | Q. | Who? |
| 09:29:57 | 10 | A. | Bob Dillon. |
| 09:30:00 | 11 | Q. | Who is he? |
| 09:30:01 | 12 | Α. | He's an attorney in the SAP America legal |
| 09:30:02 | 13 | group. | |
| 09:30:04 | 14 | Q. | Anyone else? |
| 09:30:06 | 15 | Α. | Yes. Brad Brubaker. |
| 09:30:12 | 16 | Q. | Who is he? |
| 09:30:14 | 17 | Α. | He was at the time the general counsel of |
| 09:30:16 | 18 | SAP Amer | ica. Those are the only ones that I can |
| 09:30:35 | 19 | remember | • |
| 09:30:35 | 20 | Q. | And were they all involved in the same time |
| 09:30:37 | 21 | period? | |
| 09:30:40 | 22 | Α. | Yes, to a greater or lesser extent. |
| 09:30:48 | 23 | Q. | Were any outside counsel involved in |
| 09:30:52 | 24 | providing | g well, just let me put it this way: |
| 09:30:57 | 25 | Were any | outside counsel involved with respect to |
| | : | | |

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document572-5 Filed12/11/09 Page5 of 6

CHRISTOPHER FAYE October 22, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

| 09:31:01 1 the general topic of potential infringement by 09:31:05 2 TomorrowNow? 09:31:06 3 A. Yes. 09:31:07 4 Q. Who were they? 09:31:09 5 A. David Haves. | Page | 26 |
|--|------|----|
| 09:31:05 2 TomorrowNow? 09:31:06 3 A. Yes. 09:31:07 4 Q. Who were they? | | |
| 09:31:06 3 A. Yes. 09:31:07 4 Q. Who were they? | | |
| 09:31:07 4 Q. Who were they? | | |
| | | |
| 00.31.00 5 A David Harra | | |
| 09:31:09 5 A. David Hayes. | | |
| 09:31:12 6 Q. What firm? | | |
| 09:31:13 7 A. He's at Fenwick. | | |
| 09:31:19 8 Q. Who else? | | |
| 09:31:20 9 A. I think that's it. | | |
| 09:31:23 10 Q. And what was the time period in which | | |
| 09:31:26 11 Mr. Hayes was involved? | | |
| 09:31:29 12 A. I would say also that same period. | | |
| 09:31:32 13 Basically, from the beginning. | | |
| 09:31:34 14 Q. On and off over that | | |
| 09:31:36 15 A. Yes, over that | | |
| 09:31:37 16 Q period? | | |
| 09:31:39 17 A. Yeah. | | |
| · | | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|-----|---|
| 2 | I, HOLLY THUMAN, a Certified Shorthand |
| 3 | Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the |
| 4 | foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the |
| 5 | truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the |
| 6 | within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken |
| 7 | down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the |
| 8 | time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of |
| 9 | the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, |
| 10 | by computer, under my direction and supervision; |
| 11 | That before completion of the deposition, |
| 12 | review of the transcript $[X]$ was [] was not requested. |
| 13 | If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and |
| 14 | provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are |
| 15 | appended hereto. |
| 16 | I further certify that I am not of counsel or |
| 17 | attorney for either or any of the parties to the said |
| 18 | deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of |
| 19 | this cause, and that I am not related to any of the |
| 20 | parties thereto. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | DATED October 24, 2008 |
| 23 | |
| 24 | John Thum |
| 25. | HOLLY THUMAN, CSR No. 6834 |