EXHIBIT L

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document572-7 Filed12/11/09 Page2 of 5

RODERIC RUSSELL June 25, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)

SAP AG, a German corporation,)
SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware)
corporation, TOMORROWNOW,)
INC., a Texas corporation, and)
DOES 1-50, inclusive,)

Defendants.

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"

ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

30(B)(6) TOMORROWNOW, INC.

RODERIC RUSSELL

JUNE 25, 2008

ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RODERIC RUSSELL, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 25th day of June, 2008, from 8:42 a.m. to 2:40 p.m., before Dana Richardson, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the offices of Jones Day, 717 Texas, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

Job No. 1603-87429

RODERIC RUSSELL June 25, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 21

09:08:40	8	Q. Okay. So, let's look at 287, then, in the master fix
09:08:44	9	view and let's start with maybe you can just describe for
09:08:49	10	me how the development process unfolds when there's an
09:08:54	11.	identified need to develop a fix such as the 6621 fix. What
09:09:03	12,	happens?
09:09:07	13	A. Well, different roles are assigned to the fix; and
09:09:10	14	then each of those tasks, such as a developer, will identify
09:09:18	15	what the need is, what the change should be. We also have
09:09:23	16	a I should say before that, a scoping role where we
09:09:28	17	identify what the regulation is, what are the details around
09:09:33	18	the regulation and then we would go in and develop the
09:09:44	19	particular fix would be developed on our client environments
09:09:49	20	and then tested and then published.
09:09:53	21	Q. Okay. So, there's an identification of a need. That
09:10:05	22	is the first step?
09:10:06	23	A. Yes.
09:10:10	24	Q. There's a scoping process. Is that the second step?
09:10:13	25	A. Yes.

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document572-7 Filed12/11/09 Page4 of 5

RODERIC RUSSELL June 25, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

			Page 22
	09:10:14	1	Q. And the scoping is serves what purpose?
	09:10:20	2	A. To identify, basically, the business requirements of
	09:10:24	3;	the change.
	09:10:32	4	Q. Then there's the actual development of the fix? Is
	09:10:38	5'	that the next step?
I	09:10:38	6	A. Yes.
	09:10:41	7'	Q. And then after there's a and that's that's
	09:10:45	8	done well, we'll get to it. But but but as I
	09:10:49	9	understand it, that's done in initially done in one release
	09:10:59	10	first to to develop the objects that are going to be the
l	09:11:05	11	updated objects that will be sent out; is that right?
١	09:11:08	12	A. Well, when you say "in one release," typically the
l	09:11:12	13	development is assigned to one developer. So, in that regard,
	09:11:17	14	they would have to start somewhere. Eventually they would
	09:11:20	15	have to hit each release; but since it's one person, they
	09:11:25	16	would obviously have to start in one one particular.
I			

Gase4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document572-7 Filed12/11/09 Page5 of 5

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF HARRIS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Dana Richardson, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do certify that this deposition transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness named herein, after said witness was duly sworn by me. The witness was requested to review the deposition.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, related to, nor employed by any parties to the action in which this testimony is taken and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

I further certify that the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:

Mr. Geoffrey Howard - 04:42 Mr. Joshua Fuchs - 00:00

Mr. Jonathan Bergman - 00:00

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under my hand and seal of office

on this the <u>27</u> day of <u>June</u>

2008

Dana Richardson, CSR

Texas CSR 5386

Expiration: 12/31/09
Merrill Legal Solutions, Firm No. 210

315 Capitol, Suite 100 Houston, Texas 77002 Phone (713) 426-0400

Fax (713) 426-0600

25