EXHIBIT I

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, ET AL,   *
      Plaintiffs,   *
                        *
VS.   * CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)
                        *
SAP AG, ET AL,   *
      Defendants.   *

****

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF

KATHERINE WALKER WILLIAMS

APRIL 1, 2008

****

REPORTED BY:

CAROL JENKINS, CSR, RPR, CRR

CERTIFICATE NO. 2660

KATHERINE WALKER WILLIAMS   April 1, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 113

11:40:54 1

11:40:56 2

11:40:59 3

11:41:01 4

11:41:05 5

11:41:08 6

11:41:08 7

11:41:11 8

11:41:15 9

11:41:19 10

11:41:21 11

11:41:28 12

11:41:31 13

11:41:31 14

11:41:34 15

11:41:37 16

11:41:41 17

11:41:44 18

11:41:49 19

11:41:50 20

11:41:52 21

11:41:55 22

11:41:58 23   Q.   (By Mr. Howard) Would an individual fix be

11:42:04 24   sent to more than one client outside of this six times a

11:42:10 25   year scheduled delivery process?

KATHERINE WALKER WILLIAMS   April 1, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 114

11:42:12	1	A. In some cases.
11:42:13	2	MR. McDONELL: Vague and ambiguous.
11:42:16	3	A. In some cases, yes.
11:42:17	4	Q. (By Mr. Howard) How would you -- how would you
11:42:19	5	identify individual fixes that were sent out separate
11:42:22	6	from the six times a year delivery process?
11:42:26	7	A. I would look in SAS.
11:42:27	8	
11:42:32	9	
11:42:38	10	
11:42:41	11	
11:42:44	12	
11:42:46	13	
11:42:48	14	
11:42:51	15	
11:42:54	16	
11:42:57	17	
11:43:02	18	
11:43:03	19	
11:43:06	20	
11:43:06	21	
11:43:12	22	
11:43:15	23	
11:43:19	24	
11:43:22	25	

KATHERINE WALKER WILLIAMS   April 1, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 142

13:38:41   1

13:38:46   2

13:38:47   3

13:38:51   4

13:38:56   5

13:38:58   6

13:38:59   7

13:39:04   8

13:39:09   9

13:39:12 10

13:39:17 11

13:39:20 12

13:39:23 13

13:39:27 14

13:39:32 15

13:39:32 16

13:39:35 17

13:39:37 18

13:39:41 19

13:39:42 20

13:39:42 21   Q.   So as -- is it -- is it correct that this

13:39:49 22   process that we've now been through for developing the

13:39:53 23   individual fix would be done for each individual fix

13:39:58 24   that would be included within a particular bundle?

13:40:02 25   A.   Are you asking me was each individual fix

KATHERINE WALKER WILLIAMS   April 1, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 143

13:40:05   1   individually tested?

13:40:06   2   Q.   Well, I'm just talking about the general

13:40:08   3   process of the unit testing and the quality assurance

13:40:11   4   testing to arrive at a working individual fix.

13:40:11   5   MR. McDONELL: Vague.

13:40:16   6   Q.   (By Mr. Howard) Does that process occur for

13:40:18   7   each fix within a bundle?

13:40:20   8   MR. McDONELL: Vague and ambiguous.

13:40:22   9   A.   Typically.

13:40:23 10

13:40:27 11

13:40:31 12

13:40:35 13

13:40:37 14

13:40:42 15

13:40:46 16

13:40:51 17

13:40:53 18

13:40:57 19

13:40:59 20

13:41:04 21

13:41:06 22

13:41:06 23

13:41:12 24

13:41:15 25

1   SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO by the undersigned

2   on this the 7th day of April, 2008.

3

4   _Carol Jenkins_

       CAROL JENKINS, CSR

5   Certificate No. 2660

       Date of Expiration: 12/31/08

6   Merrill Legal Solutions, No. 210

       315 Capitol Street, Suite 100

7   Houston, Texas 77002

       (713) 426-0400

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

205