Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document65 Filed03/14/08 Page1 of 19

1 2 3 4	George A. Riley (State Bar No. 118304) Scott D. Sanford (State Bar No. 208866) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street San Francisco, California 94111-3305 Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701		
5	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	APPLE COMPUTER, INC.		
7 8			
9	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
		RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOS	E DIVISION	
11	X/OPEN Company, LTD.,	Case No. C01-21218 RMW PVT	
12	Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT,	
13	v.	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS OF	
14	APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	DEFENDANT APPLE COMPUTER, INC.	
15 16	Defendant/Counterclaimant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
17 18 19 20 21	responds to the Complaint for Trademark Infrand Unfair Competition ("Complaint") filed by follows:	ople"), by and through its undersigned attorneys ingement, Trademark Dilution, False Advertising plaintiff X/OPEN Company, LTD. ("X/Open") as egation set forth in Paragraph 1, except that Apple	
22		r relief against Apple for trademark infringement.	
23	trademark dilution, false advertising, and unfair competition arising under federal, state, and		
24	common law.		
25	2. Apple is without knowledge or	information sufficient to form a belief as to the	
26		refore, denies each and every allegation contained	
27	therein.		
28	ANOWER TO COMPANY OF		

- 3. Apple admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 3.
- 4. Apple denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 4, except that Apple admits that X/Open purports to bring this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and (b), and 1367.
 - 5. Apple admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5.
- 6. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 and, therefore, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 7. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 and, therefore, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 8. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 and, therefore, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 9. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 and, therefore, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 10. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 10 and, therefore, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 11. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 11 and, therefore, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 12. Apple denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 12.
- 13. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 13, except that Apple admits that the materials attached as Exhibit A to the Complaint contains the phrases "UNIX-based," "UNIX-based foundation," "UNIX-foundation," "UNIX-underpinnings," "full-

fledged UNIX OS," and "no ordinary UNIX."

- 14. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 14, except that Apple admits that it has used the "UNIX BASED" figure illustrated in Paragraph 14 in connection with Apple's MAC OS X operating system.
- 15. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 15, except that Apple admits that it has accurately described the nature of its MAC OS X operating system as based on UNIX.
- 16. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 16, except that Apple admits that its MAC OS X operating system has not been submitted to X/Open for approval. Apple further denies that its MAC OS X operating system must be submitted to X/Open in order to use the term UNIX in connection with the MAC OS X operating system.
- 17. Apple is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation that Apple's MAC OS X operating system does not meet any standards set forth by X/Open. Apple further denies that its MAC OS X operating system must meet X/Open's UNIX standards in order to use the term UNIX in connection with Apple's MAC OS X operating system.
- 18. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 18, except that Apple admits that X/Open notified Apple of the federal registrations for the term UNIX.
 - 19. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 19.
- 20. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 20, except that constructive notice of a federally registered trademark is a legal conclusion which Apple does not have to admit or deny.
- 21. Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 21, except that Apple admits that Apple's MAC OS X operating system has not been submitted to X/Open for approval. Apple further denies that Apple's MAC OS X must conform to X/Open's UNIX quality standards in order to use the term UNIX in connection with Apple's MAC OS X operating system.

1	X/Open has	suffered any damage whatsoever.
2		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (TRADEMARK DILUTION UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))
4	36.	Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Answer in response to
5	paragraph 3	6.
6	37.	Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 37.
7	38.	Apple denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 38, and specifically denies that
8	X/Open has	suffered any damage whatsoever.
9		FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (FALSE ADVERTISING UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B))
10	39.	Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Answer in response to
11	paragraph 39).
12	40.	Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 40.
13	41.	Apple denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 41, and specifically denies that
14	· ·	
15 16 17		FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S ANTI-DILUTION STATUTE, § 14330 OF CAL. BUS.& PROF. CODE)
18	42.	Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Answer in response to
19	paragraph 42	
20	43.	Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 43.
21	44.	Apple denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 44, and specifically denies that
22	X/Open has s	suffered any damage whatsoever.
23	(UNFAII UNFAIR	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF R COMPETITION AND FALSE ADVERTISING UNDER CALIFORNIA'S TRADE STATUTE, 17200 <i>ET. SEQ.</i> OF THE CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE)
25	45.	Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Answer in response to
26	paragraph 45	
27	46.	Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 46.
28		ANSWED TO COMPLAINT ACCIDMATIVE DESCRICES AND COLD VEED OF A 12 OF

ľ	
47.	Apple denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 47, and specifically denies that
X/Open ha	s suffered any damage whatsoever.
(C	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF OMMON LAW TRADEMARK AND TRADE NAME INFRINGEMENT, PALMING OFF, AND UNFAIR COMPETITION)
48.	
paragraph 4	^
49.	Apple denies each and every allegation set forth in Paragraph 49.
50.	Apple denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 50, and specifically denies that
X/Open has	s suffered any damage whatsoever.
51.	Apple notes that X/Open demands a jury trial. Because this is not an allegation, no
response is	required. Apple also demands a jury trial.
AF	FIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT APPLE COMPUTER, INC.
	endant Apple Computer, Inc. alleges the following as separate and affirmative
defenses to	the Complaint:
	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
52.	The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
53.	X/Open's claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the basis that the term UNIX is
or has become	
	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
54.	X/Open's claims are barred, in whole or in part, on the basis that the term UNIX is
and has bee	n abandoned as a trademark.
	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
55.	The term UNIX is not a famous mark, and is therefore not subject to dilution.
	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
56.	The term UNIX is not a famous mark, and X/Open is therefore not entitled to
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS

1	relief under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act.	
2	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
3	57. The term UNIX is not a famous mark, and X/Open is therefore not entitled to	
4	relief under any anti-dilution statute.	
5	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	58. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.	
7	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
8		
9	59. X/Open's claims are barred, in whole or in part, under the doctrine of trademark	
10	misuse.	
11	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12	60. There is no likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding as to the source of the	
13	goods offered by Plaintiff and Defendant, or as to the connection, affiliation, or association of	
14	Defendant with Plaintiff.	
15	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
16	61. There is no likelihood of confusion caused by Defendant's use of the generic term	
17	UNIX.	
18	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	62. Apple's use of the term UNIX, in which X/Open claims trademark rights, is fair	
20	use under 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(4) and 15 U.S.C. §1115(b)(4).	
21		
22	COUNTERCLAIMS OF COUNTERCLAIMANT APPLE COMPUTER, INC.	
23	For its counterclaims against X/Open, Apple alleges as follows:	
24	PARTIES	
25	63. Counterclaimant Apple Computer, Inc. is a California corporation with its	
26	principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California 95014.	
27	64. X/Open, in paragraph 2 of the Complaint, alleges that X/Open has a principal	
	principal	

place of business in the United States at 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 960, San Francisco, California 94014-4704.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 65. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this counterclaim under 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a); 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and (b), and 1367.
- 66. Venue of this Action is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c).

FACTS RELEVANT TO ALL COUNTERCLAIMS

- 67. Apple designs, manufactures, and markets personal computers and related personal computing and communicating solutions for sale, primarily to education, creative, consumer, and business customers. Apple markets these products almost exclusively under the marks MACINTOSH and MAC, including such products as the POWER MAC and iMAC desktop personal computers, and the MAC OS X and MAC OS X SERVER operating systems.
- 68. Apple owns several United States trademark registrations for its trademarks, including U.S. Registration No. 1,460,661 for the mark MACINTOSH, U.S. Registration Nos. 1,964,391 and 1,968,965 for the mark MAC, and U.S. Registration No. 2,000,282 for the mark MAC OS.
- 69. X/Open alleges that it is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,392,203 for the term UNIX for computer programs, and U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,390,593 for the term UNIX for computers.
- 70. Over the years, many variations of UNIX operating systems have been developed and marketed by third parties. The purchasing public does not associate X/Open or any other entity as the source of products bearing the term UNIX. The relevant public understands that the term UNIX is a generic term for a multi-user, multi-tasking operating system that was derived, at least partially, from the UNIX operating system code created 30 years ago by the American Telephone and Telegraph Company ("AT&T").
 - 71. Between 1969 and 1971, the existing Multics (Multiplexed Information and

8 9

11

10

12 13

15 16

14

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Computing Service) operating system was re-written by Ken Thompson and Dennis Ritchie of AT&T. The new operating system was named Unics for "Uniplexed Information and Computing Service." The Unics name eventually evolved into UNIX. The term UNIX is used by the computer industry to refer to a class of products from various sources.

- 72. Over the past three decades, many different versions of AT&T's UNIX operating system code have been developed by different groups, such as universities, research institutions, government bodies, and computer companies. The many strands of its development are illustrated in the Unix History Chart located at http://perso.wanadoo.fr/levenez/unix/.
- 73. In the middle 1970s, the University of California at Berkeley (UCB) received the source code for Version 6 of AT&T's UNIX operating system. UCB improved AT&T's Version 6 source code and developed the Berkeley Software Distribution (BSD) version of UNIX, which became widely known as BSD UNIX.
- Since the initial release of BSD UNIX, multiple versions or "flavors" of BSD 74. UNIX have been developed, some under the open source movement, such as OpenBSD, FreeBSD, and BSDLite. Apple's "Darwin" version of UNIX incorporates several of these flavors of BSD UNIX, and is the foundation of Apple's MAC OS X operating system. Daemon News, a news organization dedicated to serving the BSD community, recognizes that "Darwin is based on Mach 3.0 and FreeBSD 3.2." Rob Braun, Introduction to Darwin, (visited May 1, 2002) http://ezine.daemonnews.org/200010/Darwin.html. BSD UNIX and its various flavors, including Apple's Darwin version of UNIX, are widely understood within the computer industry as versions of UNIX. Apple's MAC OS X operating system, founded on the Darwin version of UNIX, is UNIX-based.
- 75. The computer industry recognizes and accepts the fact that Apple's MAC OS X operating system is based on BSD UNIX. CNET.com, a leading computer industry internet magazine, acknowledges that Apple's MAC OS X operating system "is based on BSD Unix, a popular variant of Unix." Joe Wilcox, Will OS X's Unix roots help Apple grow?, (visited May 1, 2002) http://news.cnet.com/news/0-1006-200-5992099.html?tag=dd.ne.dtx.nl-sty.0>.

9

1213

1415

16

17 18

10

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

CNET.com also recognizes that "Unix developer's interest in Mac OS X is simple: It is the first desktop, Unix-based operating system to reach the mass market." *Id.* ZDNet, another computer industry Internet magazine, recognizes that "Apple chose BSD as the basis for its Mac OS X operating system." Mary Hubley, *BSD operating systems: Perspective*, (visited May 1, 2002) http://techupdate.zdnet.com/techupdate/stories/main/0,14179,2846265,00.html.

- 76. The term UNIX is or has become a generic term in the field of computers and computer programs. As used in the industry, the term UNIX does not denote a particular source or origin of a product. The definition for UNIX in the Microsoft® Computer Dictionary states that "UNIX has been released in a wide variety of versions, or flavors, including ... BSD UNIX." MICROSOFT COMPUTER DICTIONARY 459 (4th ed. 1999). Additionally, the definition for UNIX in the Computer Desktop Encyclopedia recognizes that "there are many versions of UNIX." See http://www.computerencyclopedia.com/webexamples.htm. The Microsoft® Computer Dictionary does not make any reference to X/Open, X/Open's trade name, or The Open Group. Neither the Microsoft® Computer Dictionary nor the Computer Desktop Encyclopedia use the term UNIX exclusively in reference to products that conform to X/Open's UNIX quality standards.
- 77. News organizations within the computer industry use the term UNIX to describe a general category of products. OS News, a leading computer industry Internet magazine, recognizes that "FreeBSD and its cousins, NetBSD and OpenBSD are all offshoots of BSD UNIX, a commercial UNIX also known as Berkeley Software Distribution." Nathan Mace, FreeBSD Week: Migrating from Linux to FreeBSD, (visited May 1. 2002) http://www.osnews.com/stpry.php?news_id=580. ZDNet, a well-known Internet magazine within the computer industry, acknowledges that BSD is a Unix variant that is in "widespread use as a high-traffic Internet server OS, and counts prominent businesses such as Yahoo® and Hotmail® among its users." Stephen Somogyi, Want a Windows alternative? Try BSD, (visited May 1, 2002) http://zdnet.com.com/2100-1107-863169.html.
- 78. Universities also use the term UNIX in a generic manner to refer to a general category of products. Indiana University, when describing the history of BSD Unix,

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

25

27 28

acknowledges that "along with AT&T's own System V, BSD became one of the two major Unix flavors." What is BSD Unix and what are FreeBSD, NetBSD, OpenBSD, and BSD/OS?, (visited April 18, 2002) http://kb.indiana.edu/data/agom.html?cust=1160. Rutgers University states "the effect of many vendors choosing UNIX is that there is a wide variety of UNIX systems available to a user at attractive prices." Charles Severance, History of UNIX and Causes for its Popularity (visited April 18, 2002) http://www.hrsl.Rutgers.edu/ug/unix history.html>. Neither University uses the term UNIX in connection with X/Open, X/Open's trade name, or The Open Group. Neither University uses the term UNIX exclusively in reference to products that conform to X/Open's UNIX quality standards.

- 79. Apple does not use the term UNIX as a trademark in connection with Apple's products. Apple accurately uses the generic term UNIX merely to identify or describe an aspect or feature of Apple's MAC OS X operating system. This use is consistent with past and current industry standards.
- Apple's use of the generic term UNIX in connection with Apple's MAC OS X 80. operating system does not constitute trademark infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,392,203 for the term UNIX or U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,390,593 for the term UNIX.
- Because the term UNIX is and has become generic, X/Open's alleged trademark 81. rights in the term UNIX have been abandoned.
- 82. X/Open claims that X/Open will only enter into a license agreement with a third party to use U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,392,203 and U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,390,593 for the term UNIX if the operating system provided by the third party conforms to X/Open's standard specification. X/Open claims that X/Open will only enter into a license agreement with a third party for the term UNIX if the operating system provided by the third party has been "certified" by X/Open.
- 83. Third parties that provide UNIX operating systems indicate the origin of those operating systems with a mark other than UNIX.
 - 84. X/Open does not use the term UNIX as a trademark. X/Open has not used the

term UNIX as a trademark for at least three consecutive years.

- 85. Apple seeks to cancel U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,392,203 for the term UNIX and U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,390,593 for the term UNIX ("UNIX Registrations").
- 86. X/Open has obtained the UNIX Registrations from Novell, Inc. ("Novell") through an assignment in gross.
 - 87. The assignment of the UNIX Registrations from Novell to X/Open is invalid.
- 88. In 1986, AT&T received a registration for the term UNIX (U.S. Registration Nos. 1,390,593 and 1,392,203) in International Class 9 for computers and computer programs. The goods identified in U.S. Registration No. 1,390,593 are "computers" and indicates a date of first use of December 14, 1984. The goods identified in Registration No. 1,392,203 are "computer programs" and indicates a date of first use of December 14, 1972.
- 89. In 1990, AT&T assigned the UNIX Registrations to Unix System Laboratories, Inc. ("USL"), a subsidiary of AT&T.
 - 90. In early 1993, Novell acquired USL, including the UNIX Registrations.
- 91. In September 1993, "the computer systems industry ... agreed to back an initiative to ask X/Open Company to standardize the specifications of the UNIX operating system." The Open Group, Why This is Different, (visited May 2, 2002) http://www.unix-systems.org/what_is_unix/why_this_is_different.html. The initiative created The Common API Specification, which later became known as Spec 1170. In December 1993, Spec 1170 was delivered to X/Open. By 1995, Spec 1170 was revised into an industry supported specification, which X/Open published as X/Open's Single UNIX Specification.
- 92. X/Open began using the term UNIX in association with X/Open's Single UNIX Specification in 1995.
- 93. On November 13, 1998 Novell and X/Open executed a Deed of Assignment attempting to assign the UNIX Registrations from Novell to X/Open. Novell invalidly assigned the UNIX Registrations to X/Open.

- 94. Novell did not transfer any business associated with the UNIX Registrations to X/Open. The business associated with the UNIX Registrations is UNIX operating systems and computers that operate on such systems.
- 95. No rights to the UNIX Registrations can be transferred apart from the business with which the marks have been associated. The UNIX Registrations have been associated with the many versions and flavors of UNIX operating systems derived from AT&T's Time-Sharing System, Fifth Edition (V5). The UNIX Registrations have been associated with computers that operate on UNIX operating systems.
- 96. X/Open does not develop or distribute UNIX operating systems or computers in accordance with the business good will established by the prior use of the UNIX marks. X/Open is a technology-neutral, not-for-profit consortium. X/Open claims to provide "a single stable specification to be used to develop portable applications that run on systems conforming to the Single UNIX Specification." The Open Group, *The Single UNIX Specification*, (visited May 2, 2002) http://www.unix-systems.org/what_is_unix/single_unix_specification.html.
- 97. The UNIX Registrations have been abandoned. Alternatively, X/Open is limited to X/Open's own use of the term UNIX to establish a date of first use for the term UNIX.
- 98. X/Open cannot claim priority to the dates of first use indicated in the UNIX Registrations. X/Open does not develop or distribute computers. X/Open does not develop or distribute UNIX operating systems. X/Open's use of the term UNIX is only in connection with X/Open's Single UNIX specification. X/Open is not entitled to the December 14, 1972 date of first use indicated in U.S. Registration No. 1,392,203, or the December 14, 1984 date of first use indicated in U.S. Registration No. 1,390,593.
- 99. X/Open's continued use of the term UNIX causes the UNIX Registrations to lose significance as an indication of origin. X/Open publicly promotes the term UNIX as "the worldwide Single UNIX Specification integrating X/Open Company's XPG4, IEEE's POSIX Standards and ISO C." The Open Group, What is UNIX, (visited May 2, 2002) http://www.unix-systems.org/what_is_unix.html>. X/Open publicly promotes that "'UNIX' has

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	İ
9	
10	
11	ĺ
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

become a single stable specification to be used to develop portable applications that run on systems conforming to the Single UNIX Specification." The Open Group, *The Single UNIX Specification*, (visited May 2, 2002) http://www.unixsystems.org/what_is_unix/single_unix_specification.html>.

- 100. X/Open has not developed trademark rights in the term UNIX for computers that operate on UNIX operating system. X/Open has not developed trademark rights in the term UNIX for computer programs such as UNIX operating systems. X/Open does not develop or distribute UNIX operating systems or computers that operate on UNIX operating systems.
- 101. Novell ceased to use the term UNIX. Novell has abandoned all rights to the UNIX Registrations and has no intent to continue use of the term UNIX.

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM (CANCELLATION OF TRADEMARK REGISTRATIONS – MARK IS GENERIC)

- 102. Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of its counterclaims.
- 103. The term UNIX has been used by the public since as early as the 1970's to describe a multi-user, multi-tasking operating system.
- 104. Over the years, many variations of UNIX have been developed and marketed by third parties.
- 105. The relevant public associates the term UNIX with a genus, namely, a multi-user, multi-tasking operating system.
- 106. The relevant public understands that the term UNIX is generic for a multi-user, multi-tasking operating system.
- 107. The purchasing public does not associate X/Open or any other entity as the source of products bearing the term UNIX.
- 108. UNIX is a generic term for an interactive time-sharing operating system, and is therefore subject to cancellation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1064(3) and 1065(4).

SECOND COUNTERCLAIM (CANCELLATION OF TRADEMARK REGISTRATIONS – ABANDONMENT)

28	
	II .

and contends that such use does constitute infringement of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX.

- 122. Apple now desires a judicial determination that its use of the term UNIX does not in any way infringe the purported trademark rights of X/Open for the term UNIX.
- 123. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time and under the circumstances in order that the parties may ascertain their respective rights and obligations with respect to the use of the term UNIX.

FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM (DECALARATORY RELIEF - NON-INFRINGEMENT UNDER 15 U.S.C. §1125(a)(1)(A))

- 124. Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of its counterclaims.
- 125. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between X/Open, on the one hand, and Apple, on the other, concerning whether Apple's use of the term UNIX constitutes trademark infringement, false designation of origin, passing off, and unfair competition of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX.
- 126. Apple contends, among other things, that its use of the term UNIX does not constitute trademark infringement, false designation of origin, passing off, and unfair competition of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX. X/Open disputes this contention and contends that such use does constitute trademark infringement, false designation of origin, passing off, and unfair competition of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX.
- 127. Apple now desires a judicial determination that its use of the term UNIX does not in any way constitute trademark infringement, false designation of origin, passing off, and unfair competition of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX.
- 128. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time and under the circumstances in order that the parties may ascertain their respective rights and obligations with respect to the use of the term UNIX.

SIXTH COUNTERCLAIM (DECLARATORY RELIEF - NO TRADEMARK DILUTION UNDER 15 U.S.C. §1125(c))

129. Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of its counterclaims.

- 130. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between X/Open, on the one hand, and Apple, on the other, concerning whether Apple's use of the term UNIX is likely to cause dilution of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX.
- 131. Apple now desires a judicial determination that its use of the term UNIX does not in any way cause dilution of X/Open's purported trademark rights in the term UNIX.
- 132. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time and under the circumstances in order that the parties may ascertain their respective rights and obligations with respect to the use of the term UNIX.

SEVENTH COUNTERCLAIM (NOVELL'S ASSIGNMENT OF THE UNIX REGISTRATIONS TO X/OPEN CONSTITUTES AN ASSIGNMENT IN GROSS)

- 133. Apple incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of its counterclaims.
- 134. X/Open does not develop or distribute UNIX operating systems or computers that operate on UNIX operating systems.
- 135. X/Open's continued use of the term UNIX causes the UNIX Registrations to lose significance as an indication of origin.
- 136. All trademark rights in the UNIX Registrations remained with Novell. Novell ceased to use the term UNIX, and has no intent to use the term UNIX. Novell has abandoned all trademark rights to the UNIX registrations.
- 137. Novell's assignment of the UNIX Registrations to X/Open is an assignment in gross.
 - 138. Novell's assignment of the UNIX Registrations to X/Open is invalid.
 - 139. No trademark rights in the UNIX Registrations transferred to X/Open.
 - 140. The UNIX Registrations have been abandoned.
- 141. X/Open has not developed any trademark rights in the term UNIX for computers or computer programs.

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document65 Filed03/14/08 Page18 of 19

	d	
1	WHE	EREFORE, Apple prays for judgment as follows:
2	(1)	The Plaintiff take nothing by reason of its Complaint, and that judgment be
3	rendered in f	Favor of Defendant;
4	(2)	The Defendant be awarded its costs of suit, including attorney's fees incurred
5	herein;	
6	(3)	That Plaintiff's "UNIX" marks (Registration Nos. 1,390,593 and 1,392,203) are
7	cancelled; and	ıd
8	(4)	For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
9		
10	Date:	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
11		
12		D
13		By:
14		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
15		APPLE COMPUTER, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19	I	
20	I	
21	Į.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS C01-21218 RMW

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document65 Filed03/14/08 Page19 of 19

1	JURY DEMAND
2	Apple respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues triable thereby.
3	
4	Dated: June 20, 2002
5	GEORGE A. RILEY
6	SCOTT D. SANFORD O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
7	
8	By
9	By
10	COMPUTER, INC.
11	
12	
13	SF1:470358.1
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS C01-21218 RMW