Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-104 Filed03/03/10 Page1 of 4

EXHIBIT 103

Dockets.Justia.com

1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	
2	CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)	
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)	
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center	
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000	
5	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	
6	chris.hockett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com	
7	holly.house@bingham.com	
8	zachary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com	
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JEFFREY S. ROSS (SBN 138172)	
10	500 Oracle Parkway	
11	M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070	
12	Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114	
	dorian.daley@oracle.com	
13	jeff.ross@oracle.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	Oracle Corporation, Oracle USA, Inc., and Oracle International Corporation	
16	and officie international corporation	
17	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
19	SAN FRANCISCO) DIVISION
20		
21	ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)
	corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
22	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
23	Plaintiffs,	FOR:
24	v.	(1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;(2) VIOLATIONS OF THE COMPUTER
25	SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP	FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT;
	AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation,	(3) VIOLATIONS OF THE COMPUTER DATA ACCESS AND FRAUD ACT;
26	and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	(4) BREACH OF CONTRACT;
27	Defendants.	(5) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC
28		ADVANTAGE;

Case No. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)

CaSesse4707.vs0-1035558/APJH Doccurrentt657-184ed 1062/00/2/007/10 Pragee3706451

1	Second Claim for Relief	
2	Violation of Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act	
3	$(18 \text{ U.S.C. } \S 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4) \& (a)(5))$	
4	(By Oracle Against All Defendants)	
5	108. Oracle incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding	
6	paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.	
7	109. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C.	
8	§ 1030(a)(2)(C), by intentionally accessing a computer used for interstate commerce or	
9	communication, without authorization or by exceeding authorized access to such a computer, and	
10	by obtaining information from such a protected computer.	
11	110. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C.	
12	§ 1030(a)(4), by knowingly, and with intent to defraud Oracle, accessing a protected computer,	
13	without authorization or by exceeding authorized access to such a computer, and by means of	
14	such conduct furthered the intended fraud and obtained one or more things of value, including	
15	but not limited to Oracle's Software and Support Materials.	
16	111. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C.	
17	§ 1030(a)(5)(A)(i), by knowingly causing the transmission of a program, information, code, or	
18	command and as a result intentionally causing damage without authorization to a protected	
19	computer owned by Oracle.	
20	112. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C.	
21	§§ 1030(a)(5)(A)(ii) and (iii) by intentionally accessing a protected computer without	
22	authorization, causing damage to Oracle, recklessly or without due regard for their actions.	
23	113. The computer system or systems that Defendants accessed as described	
24	above constitute a "protected computer" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2).	
25	114. Oracle has suffered damage and loss by reason of these violations,	
26	including, without limitation, harm to Oracle's data, programs, and computer systems and other	
27	losses and damage in an amount to be proved at trial, but, in any event, in an amount well over	
28	\$5000 aggregated over a one-year period. 36 Case No. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)	

CaSes&0707vc0-10055558APJH Doccurrentt657-104ed 106#00/32/007/10 Pragee 91064451

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
2	In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiffs Oracle Corporation, Oracle	
3	International Corporation and Oracle USA, Inc. demand a trial by jury on all issues triable by a	
4	jury.	
5		
6	DATED: June 1, 2007 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	
7	\mathcal{A}	
8	By: Cleton B. Hill	
9	Christopher B. Hockett Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
10 11	Oracle Corporation, Oracle USA, Inc., and Oracle International Corporation	
11		
12		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	50 Case No. 07-CV-01658 (MJ	

J)