Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-106 Filed03/03/10 Page1 of 14

EXHIBIT 105

1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	
	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)	
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)	
	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)	
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	
	BREE HANN (SBN 215695)	
4	Three Embarcadero Center	
	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	
5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000	
	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com	
v	geoff.howard@bingham.com	
7	holly.house@bingham.com	
•	zachary.alinder@bingham.com	
8	bree.hann@bingham.com	
Ū	oroc.namicomgnam.com	
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	
	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)	
10		
10	500 Oracle Parkway	
11	M/S 5op7 Redwood City CA 04070	
11	Redwood City, CA 94070	
12	Telephone: (650) 506-4846	
14	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114	
13	dorian.daley@oracle.com	
13	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com	
14	0 71 1 100	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corp., Oracl	e
13	EMEA Ltd., and Siebel Systems, Inc.	
16		
10	V2 V7777 07 1 77 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	AMPLOM COLUMN
17	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
1,	NO DELLEGAL DIGERRACE	OF CALLED NAME
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
10	G 137 FR 137 G7G	
19	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION
17		
20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation,	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)
20	ORACLE INTÉRNATIONAL CORPORATION,	` '
21	a California corporation, ORACLE EMEA	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT
21	LIMITED, an Irish private limited company, and	FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE
22	SIEBEL SYSTEMS INC., a Delaware	RELIEF FOR:
22	corporation,	
22	r ,	(1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;
23	Plaintiffs,	(2) VIOLATIONS OF THE
•	V	COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE
24		ACT;
	SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP	(3) VIOLATIONS OF THE
25	AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	COMPUTER DATA ACCESS AND
•	TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation,	FRAUD ACT;
26	and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	(4) BREACH OF CONTRACT;
		(5) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE
27	Defendants.	WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC
•-	Defendants.	ADVANTAGE;
28		111111111111111111111111111111111111111

1	servers, Oracle discovered nearly <i>8 million</i> downloaded Oracle Software and Support Materials.
2	18. For years, SAP dumped these materials into a co-mingled, master
3	download library, and "exploded" the software support packages into their constituent objects to
4	facilitate later indexing and searching by product. SAP accessed these master download libraries
5	as needed when customers needed a fix – regardless of which log-in credential SAP had used to
6	download a particular fix in the library, regardless of whether the customer getting the fix had
7	any license to receive it, and regardless of whether the customer had a support contract with
8	Oracle entitling them to receive that fix.
9	19. But these downloads of Software and Support Materials, though massive,
10	were just one part of SAP TN's fundamentally illegal business model:
11	• Beginning as early as 2002, SAP TN co-founders Andrew Nelson and Seth
12	Ravin decided that SAP TN would expand its services and, in doing so, would
13	create and keep on its computer systems illegal copies of Oracle's underlying
14	software applications;
15	• Nelson and Ravin directed SAP TN to warehouse dozens of these copies
16	simply as "generic software environments" and use them as a "sandbox" to
17	service other customers, train its employees, and create phony SAP TN-
18	branded fixes to sell to its customers;
19	• In particular, SAP TN used these generic copies of Oracle software to
20	"develop" (by copying Oracle software or creating illegal derivative works
21	from it) SAP TN-branded "tax and regulatory updates," and deliver them to its
22	customers paying for SAP TN support of each Oracle software release;
23	• In at least hundreds of instances, in a process created by Nelson and Ravin,
24	SAP TN did this by first updating one "generic" environment with the Oracle-
25	authored update code that SAP TN would download from Oracle's systems
26	with one customer's log-in credential. SAP TN would then use software
27	comparison tools to compare this "updated" generic software environment to a
28	generic copy (also obtained from some unidentified customer) of an earlier

1	release of the same software. SAP TN then copied the differing code and
2	used it to "develop" (again, by creating an illegal derivative work) what it
3	called an SAP TN "retrofit update" in another "generic" environment. In the
4	course of this development process, SAP TN would normally make at least
5	four, and sometimes many more, generic copies of Oracle's software
6	applications. In effect, there was no original development at all but merely
7	repeated, illegal copying and use of the Oracle software code;
8	• In at least hundreds of other instances, SAP TN simply used these generic
9	environments copied from customers' Oracle software to develop and test
10	SAP TN "authored" (again, illegally created) updates that it delivered to its
11	customers. After it bought SAP TN, SAP AG directly assisted in this process
12	using its own software support resources;
13	Many of SAP TN's environments, including generic environments, in turn ran
14	upon copies of Oracle's database software that were not licensed for
15	commercial or production use. After purchasing SAP TN, SAP AG and SAP
16	America refused to purchase Oracle database licenses for SAP TN use, even
17	though as an authorized Oracle database reseller, they knew full well the
18	permissible uses of database copies, and even though SAP TN described the
19	licenses as "urgently needed to support [SAP TN's] PeopleSoft customers
20	using this technology";
21	• In total, SAP TN made thousands of copies of Oracle's software, and
22	distributed thousands of individual fixes, for a fee, through its illegal "generic
23	retrofit" and "direct update" models;
24	• In addition to the code associated with these retrofit software updates, SAP
25	TN provided its customers with stolen Oracle instruction manuals, guides,
26	notes and other support documentation related to the updates. It did this by
27	"copying and pasting" downloaded Oracle documentation into re-branded
28	SAP TN documentation that was, according to the sworn testimony of SAP

1	IN's third employee, "essentially identical" and "virtually verbatim with
2	small changes" as the Oracle documentation. SAP TN then distributed these
3	copied documents to its customers with a cover letter signed by its CEO,
4	Andrew Nelson; and,
5	• SAP TN prepared operations manuals to instruct SAP TN employees how to
6	download Oracle documentation and alter it to conceal its origin and make it
7	look like SAP TN's. These instructions mandated specific, but minor changes
8	to Oracle materials, stating for example, "Go to Document Properties and
9	change author to TomorrowNow," or "[w]here the [Oracle] document talks
10	about the appendix, edit so that the TomorrowNow document says
11	'summary.'"
12	20. The illegal downloads and the illegal software copies are part of an
13	integrated, illegal business model. Without this stolen intellectual property, SAP TN could not
14	operate. For example, whenever SAP TN wished to advertise support services for a new Oracle
15	software product, it would need to first obtain a "seed" copy of the software. It needed this first
16	copy so it could train its employees to support the software and create a generic software
17	environment from which to "recycle" its support efforts and scale them across other customers.
18	For these reasons, SAP TN's internal business plans specify that the first SAP TN customer on a
19	new Oracle software release must contractually agree with SAP TN to provide copies of its
20	Oracle software CDs to SAP TN.
21	21. SAP AG and SAP America have made repeated false statements about
22	their own involvement in, and benefit from, SAP TN's theft.
23	While admitting that "inappropriate" downloads took place, in a July 3,
24	2007 press conference, SAP AG CEO Henning Kagermann stated that a "firewall" existed
25	between SAP AG and SAP TN that prevented SAP AG from having access to the Oracle
26	software downloaded by SAP TN. That was not true:
27	 SAP AG and SAP America employees accessed SAP TN's systems
28	through a special link on SAP TN's website;

1	or otherwise, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Due to the
2	surreptitious nature of Defendants' actions, and the complicated nature of their scheme, the
3	identities of the Doe Defendants have been concealed from Oracle, preventing Oracle from
4	identifying these Defendants by name. After discovery, which is necessary to ascertain the true
5	names and capacities of these Defendants, Oracle will amend its complaint to allege the
6	necessary identifying details.
7	43. Defendants all are doing business in and/or have directed their activities at
8	California, and specifically this judicial district. By way of example only, SAP America and
9	SAP TN advertise, promote, sell, license, service, and support customers in California and in this
10	judicial district. SAP AG negotiates and enters into software license and support agreements
11	directly within the United States and, specifically in this judicial district, negotiates certain
12	software-related contracts directly with Oracle that contain provisions by which SAP AG
13	consents to the jurisdiction of California courts and the application of California law. SAP AG
14	also holds an annual meeting of its Board of Directors in Palo Alto, California, and finances the
15	sales and promotional activities of both SAP America and SAP TN throughout the United States
16	and in California.
17	44. At all material times, through its 100% ownership of both SAP America
18	and SAP TN, SAP AG had both the right and the authority to control the actions of both
19	corporations. Similarly, at all material times, through its 100% ownership of SAP TN, SAP
20	America had both the right and authority to control the actions of SAP TN.
21	45. At all material times, each of the Defendants, including Does 1 through
22	50, was the agent, servant, employee, partner, joint venturer, representative, subsidiary, parent,
23	affiliate, alter ego, or co-conspirator of the others, had full knowledge of and gave substantial
24	assistance to the alleged activities, and in doing the things alleged, each was acting within the
25	scope of such agency, service, employment, partnership, joint venture, representation, affiliation,
26	or conspiracy, and each is legally responsible for the acts and omissions of the others.
27	III. JURISDICTION

28

46.

Oracle's first cause of action arises under the Federal Copyright Act, 17

1	other materials, customized ongoing tax and regulatory updates, lixes for serious issues,
2	"full upgrade script support," and, most remarkably, "30-minute response time, 24x7x365" on
3	software programs for which it had no intellectual property rights. To compound the puzzle,
4	SAP continued to offer this comprehensive support to hundreds of customers at the "cut rate" of
5	50 cents on the dollar, and purported to add full support for an entirely different product line –
6	Siebel – with a wave of its hand. The economics, and the logic, simply did not add up.
7	92. Oracle has now solved this puzzle. To stave off the mounting competitive
8	threat from Oracle and to do so without making the requisite investment, SAP unlawfully
9	accessed, copied, and wrongfully used Oracle's enterprise software applications and Software
10	and Support Materials. It did so with the knowledge and consent of the SAP AG executive board
11	of directors.
12	E. SAP's Theft By Downloading
13	1. SAP TN Compiles A Massive Download Library
14	93. SAP TN's use of its so-called Titan scraping tool resulted in such high
15	levels of downloads that Oracle discovered its scheme. In late November 2006, there occurred
16	unusually heavy download activity on Oracle's password-protected customer support website for
17	its PeopleSoft and J.D. Edwards product lines. That website permits licensed Oracle customers
18	with active support agreements to download a wide array of Software and Support Materials.
19	Oracle has invested billions of dollars in research, development, and engineering to create these
20	materials. Customers who have contracted for support with Oracle have log-in credentials to
21	access Customer Connection and download Software and Support Materials. However, Oracle's
22	support contracts limit customers' access and download rights to Software and Support Materials
23	pertaining to the customers' licensed products. Customers have no contractual right to download
24	Software and Support Materials relating to software programs they have not licensed from
25	Oracle, or for which the customers did not purchase support rights.
26	94. The Software and Support Materials are a subset of the technical support
27	services that Oracle makes available to its customers that have licensed Oracle software
28	programs and purchased the right to receive technical support services related to them. The full 29

1	make a copy of them all.
2	97. Oracle embarked on a time-consuming and costly investigation to assess
3	the damage done to its customer response database and fully understand the sources of the
4	unauthorized downloads. In the course of this investigation, Oracle discovered a pattern.
5	Frequently, in the month before a customer's Oracle support expired, a user purporting to be that
6	customer, employing the customer's log-in credentials, would access Oracle's system and
7	download large quantities of Software and Support Materials, including dozens, hundreds, or
8	thousands of products beyond the scope of the specific customer's licensed products and
9	permitted access. Some of these apparent customer users even downloaded materials after their
10	contractual support rights had expired.
11	98. This systematic theft of Oracle's Software and Support Materials did not
12	originate from any actual customer location. Rather, the access originated from an internet
13	protocol (IP) address in Bryan, Texas, an SAP America branch office location and home of its
14	wholly-owned subsidiary SAP TN. SAP TN is a company that purports to provide technical
15	support services on certain versions of Oracle's PeopleSoft, JDE and Siebel software programs.
16	The Bryan, Texas IP address used to access and download Oracle's Software and Support
17	Materials is connected directly to SAP's computer network. Indeed, Oracle's server logs have
18	recorded access through this same IP address by computers labeled with SAP TN identifiers
19	using SAP TN IP addresses. When Oracle first noticed that the unlawful access and downloads
20	originated almost exclusively from one IP address in Bryan, Texas, Oracle shut down access to
21	that IP address. If the access and downloads had been legitimate, the customer or vendor would
22	have called in right away to get its access reinstated. Instead, a new IP address, also linked to
23	SAP TN, sprouted up almost immediately and the unlawful access and downloading resumed.
24	99. These SAP TN Bryan, Texas offices, housed the SAP "download center"
25	with twenty or more "download servers" running the Titan program and other computer scripts
26	virtually around the clock.
27	100. In many instances, including the ones described above, SAP TN
28	employees used the log-in IDs of multiple customers, combined with phony user log-in

31

1	is reflected as the person performing many of the downloads at issue, appear to have applied
2	their familiarity with Oracle's customer support websites to directly participate in and perfect the
3	illegal downloading scheme. Consistent with this evidence, SAP TN's then Vice President,
4	Nigel Pullan (who has since "resigned"), recently suggested that SAP intentionally targets
5	Oracle's employees to extract their knowledge of Oracle's new products: "As new releases start
6	to come out, the people that we hire, we make sure that they have skillsets in those new
7	releases." SAP had copies of Oracle's license agreements and Terms of Use in its possession,
8	including for Siebel. SAP TN admitted to discussing those terms and using them for example as
9	reference materials. In short, SAP cannot credibly claim ignorance of Oracle's access rules.
10	107. Notwithstanding SAP's knowledge of Oracle's license agreements with its
11	customers, the support website terms of use, and the confidential, proprietary, and copyrighted
12	nature of Oracle's Software and Support Materials, Oracle learned that SAP TN accessed and
13	downloaded the Software and Support Materials when it either had no legitimate basis to access
14	Oracle's restricted website, or in a way that grossly violated the limited access rights it did have.
15	Further, during the period of time between when the customer's support license lapsed and when
16	Oracle decommissioned the customer's password credentials, SAP TN still accessed and
17	downloaded Software and Support Materials using the old customer passwords. SAP TN did so
18	despite its knowledge that it had no legal right or legitimate purpose to access Oracle's system at
19	all after the customer's support license lapsed.
20	108. SAP TN did not innocently download the Software and Support
21	Materials – the purpose was to copy them from customer support websites and store them on
22	SAP TN's servers for later use in marketing and providing support services to Oracle customers.
23	The rate that SAP TN accessed many of these materials – at intervals of just seconds or less –
24	shows that no one reviewed them in real time. Further, the scope of the downloaded Software
25	and Support Materials – across multiple libraries in multiple lines of business – for customers
26	that had no license to take, or need for, those products, suggests that SAP TN took the Software
27	and Support Materials to stockpile a library to support its present and prospective customers.
28	109. SAP TN's internal documents confirm that, in at least one instance, its 35

1 of the nature and extent of SAP's theft, Oracle sets forth below illustrative instances of SAP 2 TN's illegal conduct regarding several of its customers. 3 112. **Honeywell.** Honeywell International ("Honeywell") is listed on SAP 4 TN's website as a client. In the approximately three and a half year period before Honeywell 5 switched to SAP TN, it averaged just over 20 downloads of Software and Support Materials per 6 month. Then, after switching to SAP TN, a user employing Honeywell's log-in ID downloaded 7 at least 7,000 Software and Support Materials in less than two weeks in January 2007. Most of 8 these excessive downloads came during the course of four days, during which "Honeywell" was 9 downloading almost 1800 solutions per day. At least 2,000 of the Software and Support 10 Materials taken in this period were solutions that Honeywell was not licensed to take at all. In 11 one specific library containing solutions for Enterprise One software, "Honeywell" downloaded 12 at least 450 distinct unlicensed solutions on January 16, 2007 and nearly 400 more the next day. 13 These downloads spanned virtually every library in every line of business – far beyond the 14 products to which Honeywell had authorized access as an Oracle customer. This unlawful 15 downloading even stretched across product families. Honeywell used and licensed PeopleSoft 16 software applications, but Oracle discovered users downloading JDE products with Honeywell's 17 credentials. Oracle subsequently connected many of the illegal downloads to an SAP TN IP 18 address and to SAP TN's employee, Wade Walden – a former PeopleSoft employee now 19 employed by SAP. 20 **Merck.** Merck & Company, Inc. ("Merck"), one of the largest 113. 21 pharmaceutical companies in the world, licenses and receives support for many Oracle software 22 products. Merck's support rights for its JDE software products expired on January 1, 2007. In 23 the three months prior to that date, users purporting to be "Merck" logged into the Oracle support 24 system and downloaded at least 5,500 distinct Software and Support Materials for JDE software. 25 At least 2,800 of these downloads related to JDE software products for which Merck had no 26 license. But, the unauthorized downloads did not stop there. Users logging into Oracle's support 27 system with Merck's credentials continued to download Software and Support Materials into 28 March 2007. Many of these "Merck" downloads came directly from an IP address in Bryan,

1	Texas that belongs to SAP TN, and some were traced to a computer with SAP TN's initials in
2	the title, "TN-DL03." In many cases, SAP TN users employed fake identification information to
3	download the Software and Support Materials, using names such as "xx" "ss" and "NULL," and
4	phone numbers such as "4444444444" and "999 999 9999." Neither Merck nor SAP TN had
5	any license, authorization or other right to access and download the 2,800-plus unlicensed
6	Software and Support Materials from Oracle.
7	114. OCE. OCE-Technologies B.V. ("OCE") is located in the Netherlands and
8	appears as a customer on SAP TN's website. In the months leading up to the expiration of
9	OCE's support rights for its Oracle products, users employing OCE's credentials downloaded a
10	large number of Oracle products relating to US Payroll, Canadian Payroll, Homebuilder
11	Management, and Real Estate Management – none of which make sense for a European
12	customer supporting its European business. From December of 2006 to January of 2007, SAP
13	TN users logged into Oracle's support system using OCE's credentials (and, in some cases, false
14	user names) and downloaded at least 5,600 distinct Software and Support Materials. These
15	downloads included at least 1,800 distinct items for which OCE had no license. There is little
16	chance that SAP TN intended OCE as the beneficiary of these massive sweeps, since OCE does
17	not run many of the software programs to which these downloads relate, and neither OCE nor
18	SAP TN have any license, authorization, or other right to access and download these Software
19	and Support Materials. Like the other companies, these illegal downloads are associated with the
20	same IP address belonging to SAP TN in Bryan, Texas, including specifically to a computer with
21	SAP TN's initials in the title, "TNL-02." Similar to the other customer examples, many of these
22	"OCE" users entered phony identification information, such as the name "user" and phone
23	numbers such as "123 456 7897," "9999999999," and even "xxx xxx xxxx." This systematic
24	sweep of products across numerous licensed and unlicensed Oracle product lines and libraries
25	dramatically exceeded the access for which OCE (and SAP TN acting on its behalf) had any
26	right or authority, and could serve no legitimate or lawful business purpose.
27	115. SPX. SPX Corporation ("SPX") dropped all Oracle support on December
28	10, 2006 and became an SAP TN customer, listed on SAP TN's website. For the nine month 38

1	period prior to October 2006, SPX averaged approximately eleven downloads per month from
2	Oracle's support system. Then, between October and December 2006, users purporting to
3	represent SPX accessed and downloaded at least 9,000 distinct Oracle Software and Support
4	Materials (far more than SPX could legitimately access or use). These SPX downloads included
5	at least 1,500 distinct Software and Support Materials for which SPX had no license. At least
6	200 distinct downloads just on December 9, 2006 were Software and Support Materials related
7	to unlicensed Payroll software. In some cases, these users logged in using SPX credentials, but
8	used fake identification information like the name "NULL" and phone numbers like
9	"77777777" and "999 999 9999." Many of these SPX downloads, like the others, originated
10	from the same IP address belonging to SAP TN, and some were traced to a computer with SAP
11	TN's initials in the title, "tn-wts01."
12	116. Metro Machine. Metro Machine Corp. ("Metro Machine") dropped all
13	Oracle support effective on January 1, 2007 and switched to SAP TN, as reflected on SAP TN's
14	website. In the month before Metro Machine dropped its support rights with Oracle, users
15	purporting to represent Metro Machine logged onto Oracle's support servers and downloaded at
16	least 600 distinct Software and Support Materials. At least 50 of those downloads related to
17	software programs that Metro Machine had not licensed from Oracle. In addition, users logging
18	into Oracle's support system with Metro Machine's credentials continued to download Software
19	and Support Materials into March 2007. Oracle has traced these illegal and unauthorized
20	downloads to the same SAP TN IP address employed for the other downloads described above.
21	117. Yazaki. Yazaki North America, Inc. ("Yazaki") is a large supplier of
22	automotive products headquartered in Michigan. It dropped all Oracle support effective on
23	January 3, 2007. In the month leading up to the expiration of Yazaki's support rights for its
24	Oracle products, users employing Yazaki's credentials downloaded an enormous number of
25	Oracle Software and Support Materials relating to Canadian Payroll, Homebuilder Management,
26	and Real Estate Management, and many other software products, which make no sense for a U.S.
27	automotive supply company supporting its U.S. business. In two weeks, from December 15,
28	2006 to December 29, 2006, SAP TN users logged into Oracle's support system using Yazaki's

1	allegations of paragraphs 1 through 125, 134 through 153, and 169 through 226 of this	
2	Complaint as though fully set forth here.	
3	228. Since at least September 2006, Defendants have obtained business through	
4	he use of unlawful conduct including, but not limited to:	
5	(a) Breaching the agreements governing access to or use of Oracle's	
6	customer support websites;	
7	(b) Intentionally and/or negligently interfering with Oracle USA's,	
8	DIC's and OEMEA's prospective economic advantage with its existing and potential customers;	
9	(c) Improperly, willfully, and unlawfully taking commercial advantage	
10	of the investment in its Software and Support Materials by Oracle entities including Oracle USA,	
11	OIC, OEMEA, and SSI, for the purpose of sabotaging Oracle USA's, OIC's, OEMEA's, and	
12	SSI's ability to do business and compete in the market; and,	
13	(d) Fraudulently accessing and intentionally trespassing on Oracle	
14	JSA's password-protected customer support websites, without authorization or consent, in	
15	furtherance of their unlawful and deceptive scheme as described above.	
16	229. Defendants have received money as a result of their misconduct, at the	
17	expense of Oracle USA, OIC, OEMEA, and SSI, and some or all of such money is rightfully due	
18	to Oracle USA, OIC, OEMEA, and SSI.	
19	230. The amount of money due from Defendants to Oracle USA, OIC,	
20	DEMEA, and SSI is unknown to Oracle USA, OIC, OEMEA, and SSI and cannot be ascertained	
21	without an accounting of the income and gross profits Defendants have obtained through their	
22	wrongful and unlawful conduct. Oracle USA, OIC, OEMEA, and SSI are entitled, therefore, to a	
23	full accounting.	
24	Prayer For Relief	
25	WHEREFORE, Oracle respectfully prays for the following:	
26	A. For a preliminary and permanent injunction restraining	
27	Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those in active concert	
28	or participation with any of them, from the following:	
	71	

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle
3	International Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and Siebel Systems, Inc. demand a trial by jury on all
4	issues triable by a jury.
5 6	DATED: August 18, 2009 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
7 8	By: Seoffrey M. Howard
9 10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and Siebel Systems, Inc.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	