EXHIBIT 6

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, plaintiffs,)

vs. CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)

SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a)
Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"

ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

JOHN BAUGH

AUGUST 13, 2009

ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN BAUGH, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 13th day of August, 2009, from 8:33 a.m. to 2:04 p.m., before Dana Richardson, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the office of Jones Day, 717 Texas, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002-2712, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

Job No. 1603-92155

Defendants.

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-6 Filed03/03/10 Page3 of 8

		Page 12	26
	13:02:50		
	13:03:04		
	13:03:06		
	13:03:13		
	13:03:14		
	13:03:19		
	13:03:20		
	13:03:21		
	13:03:23		
	13:03:26 1		
	13:03:29 1		
	13:03:30 1		
	13:03:33 1		
	13:03:35 1		
	13:03:36 1		
	13:03:37 1		
j	13:03:41 1		
	13:03:47 1		
	13:03:48 1		
	13:04:00 20		
	13:04:13 23	MR. HOWARD: Let me mark as Exhibit 1550 an	
	13:04:17 22	e-mail from John Baugh dated August 28th, 2007.	
	23	(Exh.1550 marked)	
	13:04:48 2	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Mr. Baugh, is Exhibit 1550 an e-mail	
	13:04:51 25	that you sent on August 28, 2007?	
ļ			

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-6 Filed03/03/10 Page4 of 8

		Page 12	7
13:04:54	1	A. Yes.	
13:04:57	2	Q. And in that e-mail, did you identify clients with	
13:05:03	3	environments that were working from a shared install?	
13:05:07	4	A. Yes.	
13:05:08	5	Q. And are those the same clients you identified in your	
13:05:10	6	February 6th, 2008, testimony to me?	
13:05:15	7	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.	
13:05:22	8	A. I don't recall whether they were or not.	
13:05:44	9	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Let me read you your testimony.	
13:05:45	1.0	A. Okay.	
13:05:46	11	Q. Talking about the HR751CSS database. You talked to	
13:05:51	12	Catherine Hyde about it.	
13:05:55	13	I say: "QUESTION: What did she say?	
13:05:56	14	"ANSWER: She said there was still or there was	
13:05:58	15	four clients being supported on this database.	
13:06:02	16	"QUESTION: Did she say who the clients were?	
13:06:04	17	"ANSWER: Those clients are Advanced Auto	
13:06:07	18	Parts, Bear Stearns, Heritage Valley Healthcare and Universal	
13:06:10	19	City Studios, I think it is."	
13:06:13	20	Do you recall that testimony?	
13:06:15	21	A. Yes.	
13:06:15	22	Q. And are those the same four clients that are	
13:06:18	23	identified on Exhibit 1549, the cross-use environments meeting	
13:06:33	24	that occurred on February 8, 2008?	
13:06:36	25	A. Yes.	

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-6 Filed03/03/10 Page5 of 8

,		
		Page 128
13:06:38	1	Q. And are those same clients identified in your e-mail
13:06:42	2	of August 28, 2007, as client environments working from a
13:06:47	3	shared install?
13:06:48	4	A. Yes.
13:06:51	5	Q. So, no later than August 28, 2007, everybody on your
13:06:57	6	e-mail, Exhibit 1550, knew that these client environments were
13:07:02	7	working from a shared install?
13:07:05	8	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:07:05	9	A. Yes.
13:07:07	10	Q. (By Mr. Howard) And that included Shelley Nelson?
13:07:09	11	A. Yes.
13:07:11	12	Q. Included Kathy Williams?
13:07:12	13	A. Yes.
13:07:13	14	Q. Included the Greg Lanier?
13:07:16	15	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:07:16	16	A. Yes.
13:07:18	17	Q. (By Mr. Howard) And can you explain why these
13:07:22	18	environments were not prioritized at least as of your
13:07:27	19	August 28, 2007, e-mail?
13:07:31	20	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:07:32	21	A. No.
13:07:37	22	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Don't you think they should have
13:07:38	23	been?
13:07:42	24	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:07:48	25	A. I believe they should have been based on our meeting.

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-6 Filed03/03/10 Page6 of 8

·	Page 129
13:07:54	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Did anybody respond to your e-mail
13:07:56 2	and say "Prioritize these right away"?
13:07:59	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:08:02	A. I don't recall what their what response I got to
13:08:07	this e-mail, if any.
13:08:08	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Was there any cross-use environments
13:08:10	meeting convened immediately, such as the one reflected in
13:08:14 8	Exhibit 1549 after you sent your e-mail on August 28, 2007?
13:08:19	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:08:23 10	A. Not that I recall.
13:08:25 11	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Did anybody say in response to your
13:08:27 12	August 28, 2007, e-mail, as Mr. Guzman writes in his meeting
13:08:32 13	minutes on February 8, 2008: "These clients need to be
13:08:36 14	prioritized to the front of the line in the environments
13:08:38 15	project and get completed immediately"?
- 13:08:41 16	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:08:43 17	A. Not that I recall.
13:08:44 18	Q. (By Mr. Howard) It wasn't until you gave your
13:08:46 19	testimony under oath to me on February 6th, 2008, that such a
13:08:51 20	meeting was convened and these environments were given that
13:08:54 21	top priority; is that right?
13:08:57 22	MR. WILKES: Objection, form.
13:09:04 23	A. Yes.
13:09:17 24	
13:09:21 25	

1	STATE OF TEXAS
	Sounty of Harris
2 .	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	I, Mana Richardson, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and
4	for the State of Texas, do certify that this deposition
5	transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the
6	witness named berein, after said witness was duly sworn by me.
7	The witness was requested to review the deposition.
8	I murther certify that I am neither attorney or counsel
9	for, related to, her employed by any parties to the action in
10	which this testimony is taken and, further, that I am not a
11	relative or employee of any counsel employed by the parties
12	hereto or financially interested in the action.
13	I further certify that the amount of time used by each
	party at the deposition is as follows:
14	
·	Mr. Mr. Geoffrey M. Howard - 04:10
15	Mr. J. Laurens Wilkes - 00:00
16	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under my hand and seal of office
	on this the 18 day of Hugust,
17	2007
18	Nana Kichendan
19	MOUNTAIN
20	Dana Richardson, CSR
	Texas CSR 5386
21	Expiration: 12/31/09
İ	Merrill Legal Solutions, Firm No. 210
22	315 Capitol, Suite 100
	Houston, Texas 77002
23	Phone (713) 426-0400
	Fax (713) 426-0600
24	
25	

Gase4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document657-6 Filed03/03/10 Page7 of 8

JOHN BAUGH August 13, 2009 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Page 156
1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is
2	true and correct.
3	
4	in the men
5	JOHN BAUGH
6	
7	
8	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the undersigned
9	authority, by the witness, JOHN BAUGH, on this the // day
10	of September, 2009.
11	
12 📜	ELLI NADIMI
13	Notary Public, State of Texas My Commission Expires NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
14 8	SEPTEMBER 24, 2009 THE STATE OF ———————————————————————————————————
15	
16	My Commission Expires: 2009
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	·
	•

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132