1	DINUITAM MICCUTCHEN LLP						
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)						
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)						
3	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)						
3	BREE HANN (SBN 215695)						
4	Three Embarcadero Center						
•	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067						
5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000						
	Facsimile: (415) 393-286						
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com						
	geoff.howard@bingham.com						
7	holly.house@bingham.com						
	zachary.alinder@bingham.com						
8	bree.hann@bingham.com						
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)						
10	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)						
10	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7						
11	Redwood City, CA 94070						
11	Telephone: (650) 506-4846						
12	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com						
	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com						
13	Jennier. 21083 @ Ordere.com						
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
14	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation,						
	Oracle EMEA Limited, and Siebel Systems, Inc.	,					
15							
1.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
16							
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA					
	OAKLAND D	IVISION					
18	OAKLAND D	IVISION					
40	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)					
19	ord felle est i, it ce., et at.,	Chief ite. of CV office is in (EDE)					
20	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING					
20	v.	DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE					
21		MOTION TO PERMIT					
	SAP AG, et al.,	DEFENDANTS TO FILE UNDER					
22	D-f1	SEAL PLAINTIFFS' DOCUMENTS					
	Defendants.	SUPPORTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL					
23		SUMMARY JUDGMENT					
•		SOMMANI JODOMENI					
24							
25							
43							
26							
27							
30							
28		Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)					
		Case 110. 07-C v-01036 I 311 (EDL)					

1	Pending before this Court is Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Under				
2	Seal Plaintiffs' Documents Supporting Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment				
3	("Defendants' Administrative Motion"); the supporting declaration of Tharan Gregory Lanier;				
4	Stipulation to Permit Defendants to File Under Seal; and Plaintiffs' Response in Support of				
5	Defendants' Administrative Motion ("Plaintiffs' Response").				
6	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to				
7	permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of "a trade secret or other				
8	confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). When				
9	the request for sealing concerns discovery documents attached to a dispositive motion, the moving				
10	party must provide "compelling reasons" to justify protection under Rule 26(c). See Kamakana v.				
11	City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006).				
12	In compliance with this Court's Standing Order for Cases Involving Sealed or				
13	Confidential Documents, Rule 26(c) and Civil Local Rule 79-5, Oracle has filed the Declaration				
14	of Jennifer Gloss in Support of Plaintiffs' Response on March 10, 2010 ("Gloss Declaration").				
15	Plaintiffs' Response, along with the supporting Gloss Declaration, reduces the set of materials				
16	that are subject of Defendants' Administrative Motion. For these materials, Oracle provides				
17	compelling reasons for this Court to permit filing the requested exhibits under seal. The Gloss				
18	Declaration establishes both that Oracle has considered and treated the information contained in				
19	the subject documents as confidential, commercially sensitive and proprietary, and that public				
20	disclosure of such information would create a risk of significant competitive injury and				
21	particularized harm and prejudice to Oracle. The Gloss Declaration also establishes that the				
22	request for sealing is narrowly tailored.				
23	Having considered Defendants' Administrative Motion, Plaintiffs' Response, and				
24	the documents filed in support, and COMPELLING REASONS having been shown:				
25	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Defendants' Administrative Motion is				
26	GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of the				
27	following documents that were lodged with the Court on March 3, 2010:				
28	• The following portions of Exhibit A to the March 3, 2010 Declaration of Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)				

1		Tharan Gregory Lanier in Support of Defendants' Motion for Partial					
2		Summary Judgment ("Lanier Declaration"): portions of ¶ 20 (Table 1),					
3		$\P\P$ 150-152, $\P\P$ 284-285, $\P\P$ 287-288, $\P\P$ 449-450;					
4	•	• The following portions of Exhibit B to the Lanier Declaration: portions of					
5		pages 6, 43-44;					
6	•	• The following portions of Defendants' March 3, 2010 Motion for Partial					
7		Summary Judgment: the requested portions of 10:16, 11:10.					
8							
9	IT IS SO ORDEREI	D.					
10	D. A. TIED	2010					
11	DATED:	, 2010	Но	norable Phyllis J. Hamilton			
12			Unite	ed States District Court Judge			
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28			3	Case No. 07-CV-01658 PIH (EDL.)			