EXHIBIT BB

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALLFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, A
DELAWARE CORPORATION, ORACLE
USA, INC., A COLORADO
CORPORATION, AND ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, A
CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs :CA NO. 07-CV-01658 (MJJ)

٧.

SAP AG, A GERMAN,
CORPORATION, SAP AMERICA,
INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,
TOMORROWNOW, INC., A TEXAS
CORPORATION, AND DOES 1-50,
INCLUSIVE,

Defendants

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"
VIDEOTAPED AND ORAL DEPOSITION OF
TOMORROWNOW BY AND THROUGH SHELLFY NELSON
VOLUME 3
APRIL 18, 2008

VIDEOTAPED AND ORAL DEPOSITION Of SHELLEY NELSON, produced as a witness at the instance of Counsel for the Plaintiffs, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 18th day of April, 2008, from 8:27 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., before JANE E. DEMARS, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the Law Offices of Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody, 401 Congress Avenue, Austin, Travis County, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

SHELLEY NELSON April 18, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 351
11:18 1	2490 001
. 2	
3	
4.	
5	
6	
7	
. 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Q Did you, nevertheless, tell people at
11:19 15	TomorrowNow that you thought that customers could give
16	TomorrowNow a copy of their software pursuant to their
17	license agreement with PeopleSoft?
18	MR. LANIER: Object to form.
19	THE WITNESS: Possibly. I'm not sure.
20	Q (BY MR. HOWARD) And what was the basis of that statement? A Well, we, we signed support agreements with
21	statement?
22	A Well, we, we signed support agreements with
23	customers which include language relating to the need
24	for software in order to support them. So and the
25	presumption is that a client is reviewing that language,

•		Page 35
11:19	1	probably reviewing their license with PeopleSoft before
	2	signing on with us, just as that particular company did,
	3	and that they are doing what, what's in their right in
•	4	terms of getting support for their software.
	5	Q Nevertheless, you purported to tell people at
	. 6	TomorrowNow that customers could give TomorrowNow
	7	software, pursuant to the customer's license agreement
	. 8	with PeopleSoft, even though you'd never read a
	9	PeopleSoft license agreement, you'd never consulted
	10	counsel?
	11	MR. LANIER: I'm going to object to form,
	12	and to the extent there were any consultations with
	13	counsel in that, don't disclose the results of any of
	14	those.
11:20	15	THE WITNESS: It's possible I, I had had
	16	an e-mail forwarded to me, at one point in time, where,
	17	during the sales cycle, a client had questioned whether
	18	or not it was okay to send software, and had, had asked
	19	PeopleSoft themselves if it was okay, and I had the
·	20	e-mail forwarded to me where PeopleSoft had said yes,
•	21	it's, it's fine for you to send the software to
	22	TomorrowNow to support you. So, you know, on that
	23	basis, and the fact that we have in our agreement that
•	24	we need the software, you know, my presumption was that

any of our customers who work with us have gone through

25

SHELLEY NELSON April 18, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 353
11:21 1	their own due diligence.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
11:33 15		·
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
. 22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor
2	counted for, related to, nor employed by any of the
3	parties to the action in which this testimony was taken;
4	and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of
5	any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto
6	or trnancially interested in the action.
7	I further certify that the deposition transcript
8	was submitted on to the witness or
9	to the attorney for the witness for examination,
10	signature and return to me by;
11	The original deposition was/was not returned to the
:2	deposition officer on;
:3	If returned, the attacked Charges and Signature
. 4	page contains any changes and the reasons therefor;
15	If returned, the original deposition was delivered
16	to, Custodial Attorney;
:7	That \$ is the deposition officer's
:9	charges to the Plaintiffs for preparing the original
13	deposition transcript and any copies of exhibits;
13	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this 22^{kq}
:-	day of April , 2008.

Jane L. Demars, Texas CSR No. 2789
Expiration Date: 12-31-09
DepoTexas, Austin

Jan demars

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs,

vs.

) CASE NO. 07-CV-01658PJH(EDL)

SAP AG, a German corporation,)
SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware)
corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a)
Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50,)
inclusive,)
Defendants.)

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"

ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

SHELLEY NELSON

VOLUME 4

SEPTEMBER 3, 2009

ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SHELLEY NELSON, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 3rd day of September, 2009, from 8:04 a.m. to 12:26 p.m., before Dana Richardson, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the Jones Day, 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

Job No. 1603-92416

SHELLEY NELSON September 3, 2009 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

i i		
·		Page 569
08:46:47	1	
08:46:53	2	
08:46:56	3	
08:46:59	4	
08:47:02	5	
08:47:09	6	
08:47:09	7	
08:47:10	8	
08:47:14	9	
08:47:24	10	
08:47:29	11	
08:47:30	12	
08:47:51	13	MR. HOWARD: Let's mark as Exhibit 1569 an
08:47:53	14	e-mail from Martin Breuer dated March 11, 2008, to Shelley
08:47:57	15	Nelson and others.
	16	(Exh.1569 marked)
08:48:39	17	Q. (By Mr. Howard) Ms. Nelson, I'm looking at the lower
08:48:44	18	part of Exhibit 1569 on the first page, which appears to be an
08:48:46	19	e-mail from you to Mr. Breuer on March 11, 2008? Do you see
08:48:51	20	that?
08:48:52	21	A. Yes.
08:48:52	22	Q. And is that an e-mail from you to him?
08:48:54	23	A. It appears to be.
08:48:55	24	Q. All right. And does this reflect the results of one
08:48:58	25	of these download audits that we've just been discussing?

SHELLEY NELSON September 3, 2009 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

• •			Page 570
08:49:02	1	A. It appears to, yes.	
08:49:04	2	Q. And then, here, are you requesting approval to r	eturn
08:49:07	3	downloads to Wendy's International?	
08:49:11	4	A. Yes.	
08:49:13	5	Q. In your and then in your e-mail, you refer to	the the
08:49:16	6	following research that's been completed to validate that	
08:49:19	7	Wendy's is entitled to receive the certain items from	their
08:49:25	8 .	lownload library. Do you see that?	
08:49:28	9	A. Yes.	
08:49:28	10	Q. And then you list four things, a, b, c, d, right	:?
08:49:30	11	A. Yes.	
08:49:30	12	Q. And was that an accurate reflection of the resea	ırch
08:49:33	13	hat had been done to validate that Wendy's was entitled	to
08:49:38	14	eceive its downloads from TomorrowNow?	
08:49:41	15	MR. FUCHS: Objection, form.	
08:49:42	16	A. It's a summary of what was done, yes.	
08:49:48	17	Q. (By Mr. Howard) How did you determine that the	
08:49:50	18	that referring to (a), how did you determine that the	
08:49:53	19	download library was downloaded using the sign-on credent	ials
08:49:56	20	rom Wendy's International?	
08:50:01	21	A. We determined that Wendy's had sent credentials	prior
08:50:08	22	to that date and that an assignment was made to do the	
08:50:12	23	downloads with those credentials.	٠.
08:50:16	24	Q. Because because there's no way to actually ve	rify
08:50:19	25	hat that credential was used to download those particula	ır .

SHELLEY NELSON September 3, 2009 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
							Page	571
08:50:24	1	items,	right?				, ,	
08:50:28	2	Α.		know of a v	way.			
08:50:48	3							
08:50:50	4							
08:50:52	5							
08:50:54	6							
08:50:55	7							
08:51:00	8							
08:51:02	9							
08:51:03	10							
08:51:07	11							
08:51:11	12							
08:51:16	13							
08:51:16	14							
08:51:19	15							
08:51:31	16							
08:51:34	17							
e e e	18							
08:52:09	19							
08:52:12	20							
08:52:18	21							
08:52:48	22							
08:52:51	23,							
08:52:56	24							
08:52:58	25							
·								
l								

1	STATE OF TEXAS
	COUNTY OF HARRIS
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	I Jana Richardson, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and
4	for the State of Texas, do certify that this deposition
5	transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the
6	witness named herein, after said witness was duly sworn by me.
7	The witness was requested to review the deposition.
8	I curther certify that I am neither attorney or counsel
9	for, related to, nor employed by any parties to the action in
10	which this testimony is taken and, further, that I am not a
11	relative or employee of any counsel employed by the parties
12	hereto or financially interested in the action.
13	I further certify that the amount of time used by each
	party at the deposition is as follows:
14	
	Mr. Geoff Howard - 03:58
15	Mr. Josh Fuchs - 00:00 Mr. Reid Witliff - 00:00
1.0	MI. Reid Within - 00.00
16 17	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under my hand and seal of office
	on this the 9th day of September,
18	20090
19	MaraRichardson
20	Market Character
21 -	Dana Richardson, CSR
	Texas CSR 5386
22	Expiration: 12/31/09
	Merrill Legal Solutions, Firm No. 210
23	315 Capitol, Suite 100
	Houston, Texas 77002
24	Phone (713) 426-0400
	Fax (713) 426-0600
25 .	
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·