EXHIBIT C

PAGES 1 - 76

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ORACLE CORPORATION, ET AL.,

COPY

PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

NO. C07-1658 PJH (EDL)

SAP AG, ET AL.,

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

DEFENDANTS.

THURSDAY
AUGUST 28, 2008
9:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4067
BY: GEOFFREY M. HOWARD, ESQUIRE AND
DONN PICKETT, ESQUIRE AND
HOLLY HOUSE, ATTORNEY AT LAW
262-9212

AND

JENNIFER GLOSS, SENIOR CORPORATE COUNSEL ORACLE 500 ORACLE PARKWAY REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 940656 650-506-7114

FURTHER APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE

REPORTED BY:

KATHERINE WYATT, CSR #9866, RMR OFFICIAL REPORTER - U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DRAW A LINE BETWEEN SAYING: 1 "PROOF OF THE PUDDING IS THE POLICY ITSELF, NOT 3 WHY WE ADOPTED IT." I MEAN, I THINK YOU ARE SOMEWHAT INCONSISTENT AS THE BUSINESS ISN'T LEGAL. BUT THE FACT IS, I 5 MEAN, BOARDS MAKE BIG DECISIONS ALL THE TIME WITH ADVICE OF 6 COUNSEL. 7 AND THE DECISION, THE RESULT OF THE DECISION, THE POLICY ITSELF CAN BE REVEALED AND DISCUSSED AND USED IN THE 8 9 LITIGATION WITHOUT TRANSLATING INTO OPENING, WAIVING THE 10 PRIVILEGE IN ANY WAY. AND I DON'T THINK THIS IS THE KIND OF THING LIKE IN A 11 12 PATENT CASE WHERE YOU RELY ON THE ADVICE OF COUNSEL: "THEY TOLD US WE WEREN'T INFRINGING." 13 INSTEAD, THEY ARE RELYING ON THEY HAD THIS FIREWALL. 14 15 IT EITHER IS A POTEMKIN VILLAGE, WHICH IS, YOU KNOW, FULL OF HOLES AND, YOU KNOW, TO MIX A LOT OF METAPHORS, THAT IT'S NO 16 GOOD, AND YOU PROVE THAT -- AND SOUNDS LIKE YOU THINK YOU CAN --17 AND THAT IS THE BAD FAITH. THIS WAS A BIG PHONY THING THAT WAS. 18 19 YOU KNOW. NEVER GOING TO WORK. AND THEY KNEW IT. 20 BUT YOU DON'T NEED THE LAWYERS' ASSISTANCE -- YOU'RE 21 NOT ENTITLED TO LAWYERS' ASSISTANCE TO DO THAT. IT SEEMS TO ME THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO MAKE SURE THAT 22 23

24

25

1	IF THERE IS A GRAY AREA. PRESUMABLY, SHE WILL DECIDE, BECAUSE I
2 ,	THINK IT'S A MOTION-IN-LIMINE-TYPE ARGUMENT.
3	BUT THE MINUTE YOU TRY GOING BEYOND THAT AND SAY
4	LIKE IF THEY SAY:
5	"WELL, YOU KNOW, THIS POLICY WAS NO GOOD. THIS
6	FIREWALL WAS FULL OF HOLES, " AND YOU SAY:
7	"WELL, BUT WE ADOPTED IT IN GOOD FAITH BECAUSE
8	OUR LAWYERS TOLD US IT WOULD WORK, " YOU CAN'T SAY
9	THAT. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO SAY ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
10	AND I'M SURE THEY ARE GOING TO JUMP DOWN YOUR THROAT
11	THE MINUTE YOU TRY, AND YOU PROBABLY SHOULDN'T GET AWAY WITH
12	THAT. BUT TO ME THAT'S THE ANSWER.
13	MR. PICKETT: THANK YOU.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. AND AS TO THE DOCUMENTS
15	THEMSELVES
16	MR. PICKETT: JUST ONE
17	THE COURT: YES. OKAY.
18	MR. PICKETT: YOU'RE ALSO RULING ON THE SELECTIVE
19	WAIVER ISSUE, WHICH I KNOW IS A VERY SLIPPERY ISSUE.
20	THE COURT: YEAH, I DON'T SEE A SELECTIVE WAIVER,
21	EITHER. BUT I'M GOING THROUGH THE DOCUMENTS.
22	MR. PICKETT: I KNOW IT'S A DIFFICULT ISSUE, AND I
23	WONDER THERE MAY NOT BE ENOUGH DATA POINTS. AND I KNOW THE
24	CONCERN ABOUT TIME. WE MAY OR MAY NOT BRING BACK SOME MORE DATA
25	POINTS TO YOU AT SOME POINT.

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 I, KATHERINE WYATT, THE UNDERSIGNED, HEREBY CERTIFY 3 THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE REPORTED BY ME, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED BY ME INTO 4 5 TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS. 7 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT OF COUNSEL OR 8 ATTORNEY FOR EITHER OR ANY OF THE PARTIES IN THE FOREGOING 9 PROCEEDINGS AND CAPTION NAMED, OR IN ANY WAY INTERESTED IN THE 10 OUTCOME OF THE CAUSE NAMED IN SAID CAPTION. 11 THE FEE CHARGED AND THE PAGE FORMAT FOR THE 12 TRANSCRIPT CONFORM TO THE REGULATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL 13 CONFERENCE. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND THIS 14 15. 4TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2008. 16 17 18 19 20 S/S KATHY WYATT 21 22 23 24 25