EXHIBIT I

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., a Colorado
corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH)

SAP AG, a German corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

TIM CREAN

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2009
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

REPORTED BY: HOLLY THUMAN, CSR No. 6834, RMR, CRR (1-416085)

	Page 106
12:02:44 1	
12:02:46 2	
12:02:47 3	
12:02:49 4	
12:02:51 5	
12:02:57 6	
12:03:00 7	
12:03:03 8	
12:03:03 9	
12:03:05 10	
12:03:08 11	
12:03:09 12	
12:03:12 13	
12:03:14 14	
12:03:19 15	
12:03:23 16	
12:03:25 17	
12:03:28 18	
12:03:32 19	
12:03:41 20	Q. When was final approval given by the Board
12:03:44 21	to proceed with the closing?
12:03:47 22	A. I believe it was January 19th, 2005.
12:03:50 23	
	provide that final approval?
12:03:55 25	A. Can you try to clarify what you mean by

Page 107 12:03:58 1 Q. Was it a Board meeting, was it over the 12:03:59 2 telephone? How did it come about? 12:04:02 A. I believe -- my recollection is that there 3 12:04:06 was a -- I will call it a meeting of the Board. I 12:04:13 participated by phone. I don't know if the -- when 5 12:04:16 I say the Board, I mean the Executive Board members. That -- I don't know whether the Executive Board 12:04:20 7 members were physically present together or not, but 12:04:24 8 12:04:27 I believe there was a telephone call. 12:04:32 10 Q. Well, what do you remember about that 12:04:36 11 telephone call? 12:04:37 12 MR. LANIER: So Mr. Crean, I instruct you 12:04:39 13 you may answer that question to the extent you would 12:04:41 14 be testifying about nonprivileged business 12:04:43 15 communications -- for example, the Board saying, 12:04:46 16 yes, go forward; or no, don't go forward -- but not 12:04:49 17 any legal advice given or received or discussed. 12:04:52 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you repeat the 12:04:53 19 question? 12:04:55 20 MR. PICKETT: Q. What happened during the 12:04:56 21 phone call? 12:04:57 22 MR. LANIER: Same instruction. 12:05:00 23 THE WITNESS: I believe that the Executive 12:05:01 24 Board approved closing the acquisition of 12:05:08 25 TomorrowNow.

Г				
			Page :	108
	12:05:10	1	MR. PICKETT: Q. Do you remember anything	
	12:05:10	2	else about the phone call?	
	12:05:16	3	MR. LANIER: That's a "yes" or "no"	
	12:05:16	4	question.	
	12:05:17	5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	12:05:18	6	MR. PICKETT: Q. What else do you	
	12:05:18	7	remember?	
	12:05:19	8	MR. LANIER: Same instruction to you. If	
	12:05:20	9	what you remember is discussions of attorney-client	•
	12:05:23	10	advice, then don't disclose that. I instruct you	
	12:05:25	11	not to answer.	
	12:05:27	12	But if you remember other things that are	
	12:05:28	13	not privileged, you may answer.	
	12:05:30	14	THE WITNESS: Given that instruction, I	
	12:05:32	15	won't be able to answer that question.	ė
	12:05:34	16	MR. PICKETT: Q. So there was no	
!	12:05:35	17	discussion at the meeting, for example, about the	
	12:05:36	18	business pros and cons?	
	12:05:38	19	MR. LANIER: Object that assumes facts not	
	12:05:39	20	in evidence.	
	12:05:40		To the extent you were at the meeting and	
	12:05:42		you recall discussion of those business issues, you	
	12:05:45		can answer the question.	
	12:05:46		THE WITNESS: Same answer.	
	12:05:51	25		1

Pag	e 114
12:14:52 1	
12:14:55 2	
12:14:58 3	
12:14:59 4 MR. PICKETT: Q. What was Andrew Nelson's	
12:15:01 5 response to Mr. Faye's directive from the Board?	
12:15:09 6 A. That TomorrowNow would move the customer	
12:15:12 7 environments off of TomorrowNow servers.	
12:15:17 8	
12:15:21 9	
12:15:24 10	
12:15:26 11	
12:15:30 12	
12:15:33 13	
12:15:34 14	
12:15:39 15	
12:15:41 16	
12:15:46 17	
12:15:47 18	Here is a second
12:15:49 19	
12:15:51 20	BHERRE
12:15:54 21	KASHIRI KA
12:15:56 22	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1
12:15:58 23	(5) 12 (5) 14 (5
12:15:59 24	en manus esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est
12:16:01 25	nadaman.
	¥

```
Page 116
12:17:25
           1
12:17:26
           2
12:17:29
12:17:31
12:17:35
12:17:40
12:17:48
12:17:50
12:17:54
12:17:56 10
12:18:00 11
                       What are the specifics of the directive to
12:18:02 12
               the best of your ability to recall them?
12:18:07 13
                        MR. LANIER: You may answer that question.
12:18:08 14
               He's asking you to recite the directive as you
12:18:10 15
               recall hearing it, but not any analysis of it.
12:18:14 16
                        You can give that testimony.
12:18:16 17
                        THE WITNESS: That the customer
12:18:20 18
               environments were to be moved to the computers of
12:18:28 19
               the customer, or to -- potentially to facilities
12:18:43 20 owned or leased by the customer.
12:18:47 21
                       MR. PICKETT: Q. Any other specifics?
12:18:48 22
                   Α.
                       Not that I recall.
12:18:51 23
                       To your knowledge, that's what Mr. Faye
                   Q.
12:18:54 24
              conveyed to Andrew Nelson?
12:18:56 25
                   A. Mr. Faye.
```

Page 117 12:18:57 1 Q. Sorry. 12:18:59 Α. Yes. Q. Mr. Nelson's response was what? 12:19:01 12:19:07 MR. LANIER: Asked and answered, but you 12:19:08 5 can -- again, you can give an answer. 12:19:11 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe I previously 6 12:19:12 7 answered that, but my understanding is that Mr. Andrew Nelson indicated that he would comply 12:19:16 8 12:19:21. 9 with the Board directive. 12:19:29 10 MR. PICKETT: Q. Was that conversation 12:19:31 11 recorded in any way? Written, otherwise? 12:19:36 12 MR. LANIER: You can answer that "yes" or 12:19:37 13 "no." 12:19:38 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12:19:38 15 MR. PICKETT: O. How so? 12:19:43 16 MR. LANIER: You can describe the nature of 12:19:44 17 the document or recording to which you are 12:19:48 18 referring. 12:19:49 19 THE WITNESS: In an email. 12:19:56 20 MR. PICKETT: Q. Is the email on or about 12:19:58 21 the date -- well first of all, is the -- who are the addressees -- who is the writer and addressee of the 12:20:02 22 12:20:05 23 email? 12:20:06 24 MR. LANIER: You can provide that 12:20:07 25 information.

Page 118 12:20:07 1 THE WITNESS: Mr. Faye. 12:20:09 MR. PICKETT: Q. To whom? 12:20:10 To myself. Possibly others, but to myself. Α. 12:20:16 Q. Do you recall the date, approximately, of 12:20:19 5 the email? I don't remember the exact date. I believe 12:20:19 Α. 12:20:21 7 it was shortly after Mr. Faye communicated the Board 12:20:26 8 directive to Mr. Andrew Nelson. 12:20:31 9 Q. January? 12:20:33 10 Α. I don't remember -- as I said before, so if 12:20:36 11 the close happened on January 19th I believe that 12:20:40 12 communication happened, you know, within weeks after 12:20:50 13 that. 12:20:52 14 Q. So it could be January, it could be 12:20:55 15 February? 12:20:56 16 A. Yes, I believe so. 12:20:58 17 O. Not March? I --12:21:03 18 I have to think about that a little bit Α. 12:21:06 19 more --12:21:07 20 Q. All right. Let me ask a question of your 12:21:09 21 counsel. Maybe that cuts through it. 12:21:11 22 This is presumably subject to some privilege log? We can identify the date of this 12:21:14 23 email, I would take it. 12:21:16 24 12:21:18 25 MR. LANIER: I confess, I absolutely don't

		Page 119
12:21:19 1	know, but I will	
12:21:20 2	MR. PICKETT: Could you find out?	
12:21:21 3	MR. LANIER: I will inquire.	
12:21:27 4		
12:21:28 5		
12:21:36 6		
12:21:40 7		
12:21:41 8		
12:21:44 9		
12:21:45 10		
12:21:48 11		
12:21:50 12		
12:21:54 13		·
12:21:56 14		
12:21:59 15		
12:22:02 16		
12:22:14 17		
12:22:16 18		
12:22:18 19		· -
12:22:20 20		
12:22:25 21		
12:22:28 22		
12:22:30 23		
12:22:32 24		
12:22:34 25		

	Page 123
12:30:47 1	
12:30:48 2	
12:30:51 3	
12:30:52 4	
12:30:53 5	
12:30:55 6	
12:30:57 7	
12:31:07 8	
12:31:08 9	
12:31:08 10	
12:31:09 11	
12:31:16 12	
12:31:17 13	
12:31:18 14	
12:31:19 15	
12:31:23 16	
12:31:27 17	
12:31:29 18	
12:31:32 19	
12:31:33 20	
12:31:34 21	MR. PICKETT: Q. Did you know of a project
12:31:37 22	MR. PICKETT: Q. Did you know of a project to investigate removal of customer local
12:31:40 23	environments from the TomorrowNow computers?
12:31:42 24	A. Yes.
12:31:43 25	Q. Whether it was by that name or not?

		Page 1	124
12:31:44	1	A. Yes.	
12:31:46	2	Q. What did you you know about that project?	
12:31:51	3	MR. LANIER: If you have factual	
12:31:51	4	information that you can sort out from privileged	
12:31:53	5	communications and analyses and opinions and	
12:31:55	6	impressions, then you may answer that question.	
12:31:59	7	THE WITNESS: I believe there was a project	
12:32:01	8	to investigate means by which the Board directive	
12:32:15	9	could be complied with.	
12:32:20	10	MR. PICKETT: Q. Who was involved with	
12:32:21	11	that project?	
12:32:31	12	A. Andrew Nelson, Greg Nelson, Chris Faye, on	
12:32:40	13	my team.	
12:32:44	14	Q. Did that project ever reach a point where	
12:32:49	15	the group concluded that there was a means by which	
12:32:53	16	the Board directive could be complied with?	
12:32:56	17	MR. LANIER: You may answer that question	
12:32:57	18	to the extent you know about the business or	
12:32:59	19	technical issues. Put aside any legal analysis.	
12:33:02	20	So if you know about the business or	
12:33:03	21	technical issues, you can answer that.	
12:33:35	22	THE WITNESS: Let me consult with my	
12:33:37	23	counsel on that question.	
12:33:39	24	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record,	
12:33:40	25	the time is 12:33.	

,		
		Page 125
12:33:42	1	(Recess from 12:33 p.m. to 12:37 p.m.)
12:37:32	2 .	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the record,
12:37:34	3 12:37.	
12:37:37	4	MR. LANIER: Do you have the question in
12:37:37	5 mind?	·
12:37:38	6	THE WITNESS: If you could repeat the
12:37:39	7 question	, that would be great.
12:37:41	8	MR. PICKETT: Q. Did that project ever
12:37:42	9 reach a p	point where the group concluded that there
12:37:44 1	0 was a mea	ans by which the Board directive could be
12:37:47 1	1 complied	with by TomorrowNow?
12:37:49 1	.2 A.	As
12:37:49 1	.3	MR. LANIER: Go ahead.
12:37:50 1	4	THE WITNESS: As I sit here today, I can't
12:37:52 1	5 separate	out what I understood from on the
12:37:57 1	6 technica	l business perspective from a legal
12:38:02 1	7 perspect	ive, so I don't believe I can answer that
12:38:05 1	8 question	-
12:38:06 1	.9	MR. LANIER: Based on that, I instruct you
12:38:08 2	not to a	nswer.
12:38:09 2	21	
12:38:10 2	22	
12:38:14 2	23	
12:38:18 2	2.4	
12:38:18 2	.5	

			Page	127
12:39:53	1			
12:39:54	2			
12:39:56	3			
12:39:58	4			
12:40:01	5			-
12:40:01	6			
12:40:04	7			
12:40:05	8			
12:40:08	9			
12:40:11	10			
12:40:12	11			
12:40:13	12			
12:40:14	13			
12:40:16	14			
12:40:18	15			
12:40:20	16			
12:40:23	17			
12:40:25	18			
12:40:28	19			
12:40:32	20			
12:40:40	21			
12:40:53	22	Q.	Who made the decision to put compliance	
12:40:57	23	with the	Board's directive in the hands of Mr. Faye	
12:41:01	24	and you?		
12:41:05	25	Α.	My recollection is that Mr. Brandt asked me	TOO SALE
		• .		

•	
	Page 128
12:41:12 1	to ensure that TomorrowNow was informed of the Board
12:41:25 2	directive, and I asked Mr. Faye to convey the Board
12:41:34 3	directive to Mr. Nelson.
12:41:36 4	Now, I believe your question was to ensure
12:41:44 5	compliance. I don't believe that I was asked to
12:41:46 6	ensure compliance. I believe I was asked to convey
12:41:51 7	the Board directive to ensure that the Board
12:41:56 8	directive was conveyed to TomorrowNow senior
12:41:59 9	management.
12:42:03 10	Q. Why did Mr. Faye continue to have a role
12:42:07 11	with Mr. Andrew Nelson concerning compliance after
12:42:12 12	he initially conveyed the Board directive?
12:42:16 13	A. To provide legal advice into complying with
12:42:21 14	the Board directive.
12:42:23 15	
12:42:25 16	
12:42:30 17	
12:42:31 18	
12:42:33 19	
12:42:35 20	
12:42:38 21	
12:42:38 22	
12:42:45 23	
12:42:45 24	
12:42:46 25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, HOLLY THUMAN, a Certified Shorthand

-	
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
5	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
6	within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken
7	down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the
8	time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of
9	the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting,
10	by computer, under my direction and supervision;
11	That before completion of the deposition,
12	review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested.
13 -	If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
14	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are
15	appended hereto.
16	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
j	

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of this cause, and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED February 23, 2009.

HOLLY THUMAN, CSR No. 6834