

1 BINGHAM McCUTCHEM LLP
 DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)
 2 GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)
 HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)
 3 ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)
 BREE HANN (SBN 215695)
 4 Three Embarcadero Center
 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
 5 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
 6 donn.pickett@bingham.com
 geoff.howard@bingham.com
 7 holly.house@bingham.com
 zachary.alinder@bingham.com
 8 bree.hann@bingham.com

9 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 10 333 Main Street
 Armonk, NY 10504
 11 Telephone: (914) 749-8200
 dboies@bsfllp.com
 12 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)
 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900
 13 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
 14 sholtzman@bsfllp.com

15 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)
 JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)
 16 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
 Redwood City, CA 94070
 17 Telephone: 650.506.4846
 Facsimile: 650.506.7114
 18 dorian.daley@oracle.com
 jennifer.gloss@oracle.com

19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 20 Oracle USA, Inc., *et al.*

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 22 OAKLAND DIVISION

23 ORACLE USA, INC., *et al.*,

24 Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 SAP AG, *et al.*,

27 Defendants.

No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO PERMIT PLAINTIFFS
 TO FILE UNDER SEAL
 INFORMATION SUPPORTING
 PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS *IN LIMINE***

1 Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International
2 Corporation, Oracle EMEA Limited, and Siebel Systems, Inc. (collectively, “Oracle,” and with
3 Defendants, the “Parties”) Administrative Motion to Permit Plaintiffs to File Under Seal
4 Information Supporting Plaintiffs’ Motions *in Limine* (the “Motion to Seal”).

5 A showing of good to seal documents is sufficient to justify protection under Rule
6 26(c) when the information supports a non-dispositive motion. *See Navarro v. Eskanos & Adler*,
7 Case No. C-06 02231 WHA(EDL), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24864 at *7 (March 22,2007) (citing
8 *Kamakana v. Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006). Through the Declaration of
9 Jennifer Gloss in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal (“Gloss Declaration”), Oracle provides
10 evidence of good cause sufficient for this Court to permit filing the requested exhibit and related
11 portions of Plaintiffs’ Motions *in Limine* under seal. The Gloss Declaration establishes both that
12 Oracle has considered and treated the information contained in the subject documents as
13 confidential, commercially sensitive and proprietary, and that public disclosure of such
14 information would create a risk of significant competitive injury and particularized harm and
15 prejudice to Oracle. *See Phillips v. General Motors Corp.* 307 F. 3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2006).
16 The Gloss Declaration also establishes that the Motion to Seal has been narrowly tailored.

17 Having considered Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal and the documents and exhibits filed
18 in support, including the Gloss Declaration and the Parties’ Stipulation to Permit Plaintiffs to
19 File Under Seal Information Supporting Plaintiffs’ Motions *in Limine*, and GOOD CAUSE
20 having been shown:

21 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Plaintiffs’ Request to Seal is GRANTED.

22 The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted version of Exhibit X to the
23 Declaration of Thomas S. Hixson In Support of Plaintiffs’ Motions *in Limine*, and pages 14:27-
24 15:1 and 15:3-4 of Plaintiffs’ Motions *in Limine*.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: _____, 2010

27 _____
28 Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Court Judge