

# **EXHIBIT B**

---

# STATISTICAL METHODS FOR THE SOCIAL SCIENCES

---

Fourth Edition

**Alan Agresti**  
*University of Florida*

**Barbara Finlay**  
*Texas A & M University*



*Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458*

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Agresti, Alan.

Statistical methods for the social sciences / Alan Agresti, Barbara Finlay. —  
4th ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-027295-9 (alk. paper)

1. Statistics. 2. Social sciences—Statistical methods. I. Finlay, Barbara. II.  
Title.

QA276.12.A34 2009

519.5—dc22

2007038723

Editor-in-Chief: *Deirdre Lynch*

Vice President and Editorial Director, Mathematics: *Christine Hoag*

Sponsoring Editor: *Dawn Murrin*

Editorial Assistant/Print Supplements Editor: *Joanne Wendelken*

Senior Managing Editor: *Linda Behrens*

Associate Managing Editor: *Bayani Mendoza de Leon*

Project Manager, Production: *Traci Douglas*

Senior Operations Supervisor: *Diane Peirano*

Executive Marketing Manager: *Kate Valentine*

Marketing Manager: *Wayne Parkins*

Assistant Manager, Marketing Support: *Diana Penha*

Marketing Assistant: *Kathleen DeChavez*

Art Director: *Jayne Conte*

Cover Designer: *Kiwi Design*

Cover Painting: "Harvest" by *Albina Felski*

Composition/Art Studio: *Laserwords*



© 2009, 1997 by Prentice Hall, Inc.

Pearson Prentice Hall

Pearson Education, Inc.

Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458

Previous edition copyright © 1986 and 1979 by Dellen Publishing Company, a division of Macmillan, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Pearson Prentice Hall™ is a trademark of Pearson Education, Inc.

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-027295-9

ISBN-10: 0-13-027295-7

Pearson Education Ltd., *London*

Pearson Education Australia Pty. Ltd., *Sydney*

Pearson Education Singapore, Pte. Ltd.

Pearson Education North Asia Ltd., *Hong Kong*

Pearson Education Canada, Inc., *Toronto*

Pearson Educación de México, S.A. de C.V.

Pearson Education—Japan, *Tokyo*

Pearson Education Malaysia, Pte. Ltd.

## 2.2 RANDOMIZATION

Inferential statistical methods use sample statistics to make predictions about population parameters. The quality of the inferences depends on how well the sample represents the population. This section introduces an important sampling method that incorporates *randomization*, the mechanism for achieving good sample representation.

### Simple Random Sampling

Subjects of a population to be sampled could be individuals, families, schools, cities, hospitals, records of reported crimes, and so on. *Simple random sampling* is a method of sampling for which every possible sample has equal chance of selection.

Let  $n$  denote the number of subjects in the sample, called the *sample size*.

#### Simple Random Sample

A *simple random sample* of  $n$  subjects from a population is one in which each possible sample of that size has the same probability (chance) of being selected.

For instance, suppose a researcher administers a questionnaire to one randomly selected adult in each of several households. A particular household contains four adults—mother, father, aunt, and uncle—identified as M, F, A, and U. For a simple random sample of  $n = 1$  adult, each of the four adults is equally likely to be interviewed. You could select one by placing the four names on four identical ballots and selecting one blindly from a hat. For a simple random sample of  $n = 2$  adults, each possible sample of size two is equally likely. The six potential samples are (M, F), (M, A), (M, U), (F, A), (F, U), and (A, U). To select the sample, you blindly select two ballots from the hat.

A simple random sample is often just called a *random sample*. The *simple* adjective is used to distinguish this type of sampling from more complex sampling schemes presented in Section 2.4 that also have elements of randomization.

Why is it a good idea to use random sampling? Because everyone has the same chance of inclusion in the sample, so it provides fairness. This reduces the chance that the sample is seriously biased in some way, leading to inaccurate inferences about the population. Most inferential statistical methods assume randomization of the sort provided by random sampling.

### How to Select a Simple Random Sample

To select a random sample, we need a list of all subjects in the population. This list is called the *sampling frame*. Suppose you plan to sample students at your school. The population is all students at the school. One possible sampling frame is the student directory.

The most common method for selecting a random sample is to (1) number the subjects in the sampling frame, (2) generate a set of these numbers randomly, and (3) sample the subjects whose numbers were generated. Using *random numbers* to select the sample ensures that each subject has an equal chance of selection.

#### Random Numbers

*Random numbers* are numbers that are computer generated according to a scheme whereby each digit is equally likely to be any of the integers 0, 1, 2, ..., 9 and does not depend on the other digits generated.

### The $\alpha$ -Level: Using the $P$ -Value to Make a Decision

A significance test analyzes the strength of the evidence against the null hypothesis,  $H_0$ . We start by presuming that  $H_0$  is true. We analyze whether the data would be unusual if  $H_0$  were true by finding the  $P$ -value. If the  $P$ -value is small, the data contradict  $H_0$  and support  $H_a$ . Generally, researchers do not regard the evidence against  $H_0$  as strong unless  $P$  is very small, say,  $P < 0.05$  or  $P < 0.01$ .

Why do smaller  $P$ -values indicate stronger evidence against  $H_0$ ? Because the data would then be more unusual if  $H_0$  were true. When  $H_0$  is true, the  $P$ -value is roughly equally likely to fall anywhere between 0 and 1. By contrast, when  $H_0$  is false, the  $P$ -value is more likely to be near 0 than near 1.

In practice, it is sometimes necessary to decide whether the evidence against  $H_0$  is strong enough to reject it. The decision is based on whether the  $P$ -value falls below a prespecified cutoff point. It's most common to reject  $H_0$  if  $P \leq 0.05$  and conclude that the evidence is not strong enough to reject  $H_0$  if  $P > 0.05$ . The boundary value 0.05 is called the  $\alpha$ -level of the test.

#### $\alpha$ -Level

The  $\alpha$ -level is a number such that we reject  $H_0$  if the  $P$ -value is less than or equal to it. The  $\alpha$ -level is also called the *significance level*. In practice, the most common  $\alpha$ -levels are 0.05 and 0.01.

Like the choice of a confidence level for a confidence interval, the choice of  $\alpha$  reflects how cautious you want to be. The smaller the  $\alpha$ -level, the stronger the evidence must be to reject  $H_0$ . To avoid bias in the decision-making process, you select  $\alpha$  before analyzing the data.

#### EXAMPLE 6.5 Adding Decisions to Previous Examples

Let's use  $\alpha = 0.05$  to guide us in making a decision about  $H_0$  for the examples of this section. Example 6.2 (page 149) tested  $H_0: \mu = 4.0$  about mean political ideology. With sample mean  $\bar{y} = 4.075$ , the  $P$ -value was 0.50. The  $P$ -value is not small, so if truly  $\mu = 4.0$ , it would not be unusual to observe  $\bar{y} = 4.075$ . Since  $P = 0.50 > 0.05$ , there is insufficient evidence to reject  $H_0$ . It is believable that the population mean ideology was 4.0.

Example 6.4 tested  $H_0: \mu = 0$  about the mean weight gain for teenage girls suffering from anorexia. The  $P$ -value was 0.017. Since  $P = 0.017 < 0.05$ , there is sufficient evidence to reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_a: \mu > 0$ . We conclude that the treatment results in an increase in mean weight. Such a conclusion is sometimes phrased as, "The increase in the mean weight is *statistically significant* at the 0.05 level." Since  $P = 0.017$  is *not* less than 0.010, the result is *not* significant at the 0.010 level. In fact, the  $P$ -value is the *smallest level for  $\alpha$  at which the results are significant*. So, with  $P$ -value = 0.017, we reject  $H_0$  if  $\alpha = 0.02$  or 0.05 or 0.10, but not if  $\alpha = 0.015$  or 0.010 or 0.001. ■

Table 6.3 summarizes significance tests for population means.

#### Robustness for Violations of Normality Assumption

The  $t$  test for a mean assumes that the population distribution is normal. This ensures that the sampling distribution of the sample mean  $\bar{y}$  is normal (even for small  $n$ ) and, after using  $s$  to estimate  $\sigma$  in finding the  $se$ , the  $t$  test statistic has the  $t$  distribution. As the sample size increases, this assumption of a normal population becomes less