## **EXHIBIT JJ**

- 1		
1	Jerry Busby	
2	Bar No. 1107 Kimberly Maxson-Rushton	
3	Bar No. 005065 COOPER LEVENSON APRIL	
4	NIEDELMAN & WAGENHEIM, PA 900 South 4 <sup>th</sup> Street	
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 366-1125	
6.	Facsimile: (702) 366-1857	
7	Scott W. Cowan  Pro hac vice admission pending	
8	JONES DAY 717 Texas	
9	Suite 3300 Houston, TX 77002-2712	
10	Telephone: (832) 239-3939 Facsimile: (832) 239-3600	
11	Attorneys for Defendants	
12	SAP AĞ, SAP America, Inc., and TomorrowNow, Inc.	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
14		
15	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
16	Oracle USA, Inc., et al,	Case No. 2:09-cv-01591-KJD-GWF
17	Plaintiffs,	Pending In: Case No. 07-CV-01658 PJH
18	V.	(EDL), United States District Court, Northern District of California, Oakland
19	SAP AG, et al,	Division
20	Defendants.	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL
21	Defendants.	NON-PARTY SETH RAVIN TO ANSWER DEPOSITION QUESTIONS,
22		AND TO COMPEL NON-PARTY RIMINI STREET TO PRODUCE
23		DOCUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO ORACLE'S SUBPOENA
24		ORACLE 5 SUDI CENA
25	I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE</u>	
26	SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") file	
27	this response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Non-Party Seth Ravin to Answer Deposition	
28		
	HUI-118163v1	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

- 1	
1	the un
2	custon
3	busine
4	
5	agains
6	of tho
7	m.
8	
9	motion
10	depen
11	permi
12	case,"
13	
14	necess
15	reques
16	additio
17	Dated
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	HUI-118
	ll .

derlying case any alleged lost profits attributable to Rimini's service of Plaintiffs' ners. Defendants should not be required in the underlying litigation to defend Rimini's ess model. Otherwise, Plaintiffs would effectively be permitted to try a "case within a case" tt Ravin and Rimini in abstentia, impermissibly forcing Defendants to assume the defense se non-parties in the underlying action.

## DEFENDANTS' LIKELY FURTHER DISCOVERY FROM RAVIN AND RIMINI

If this Court or Judge Laporte grants any portion of the relief requested in Plaintiffs' n to compel, then Defendants may likely seek further discovery from Ravin and Rimini. ding, of course, on the nature and extent of any relief that may be granted. If Plaintiffs are tted in the underlying action to effectively try all or any portion of their "case within a then Defendants must be able to seek any additional discovery from Ravin and/or Rimini sary to defend against Plaintiffs' assertions. Defendants currently have no discovery ts outstanding to either Ravin or Rimini, and they currently do not intend to seek any onal discovery from either of them until there is a ruling on Plaintiffs' motion.

: September 14, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry Busby Bar No. 1107

Kimberly Maxson-Rushton

Bar No. 005065

COOPER LEVENSON APRIL

NIEDELMAN & WAGENHEIM, PA

900 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, NV 89101

Telephone:

(702) 366-1125

Facsimile:

(702) 366-1857

Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC. AND

TOMORROWNOW, INC.

163v1

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL