EXHIBIT C

Dockets.Justia.com

1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP	
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)	
3	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center	
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com	
7	geoff.howard@bingham.com holly.house@bingham.com	
8	zachary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com	
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	
10	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7	
11	Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846	
12	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com	
13	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corp., Oracle	
15	EMEA Ltd., and Siebel Systems, Inc.	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	OAKLAND DIVISION	
19		
20	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)
21	Plaintiffs, v.	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
22	SAP AG, et al.,	DEFENDANTS' FIFTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES (DATABASE)
23	Defendants.	
24		CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DESIGNATED PURSUANT TO
25		PROTECTIVE ORDER
26		
27		
28	1	07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

Limited and OEMEA

INTERROGATORY NO. 34:

State whether OEMEA is currently, or has been in the past, registered to do business in the state of California and, if so, the time periods during which the registrations were effective.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 34:

In addition to its General Objections, Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks disclosure of information protected from discovery by any privilege, protection or immunity, including but not limited to attorney-client privilege and work product protection. Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it purports to require Oracle to do anything beyond the reasonable search for responsive information required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in particular with respect to historical information related to PeopleSoft, J.D. Edwards and/or Siebel.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific objections, Oracle responds that it is currently unaware that Oracle EMEA Limited has ever been registered to do business in the State of California.

INTERROGATORY NO. 35:

For each PeopleSoft and J.D. Edwards entity to which OEMEA claims to be a successor in interest, state whether the entity was registered to do business in the state of California at any time between 2002 and the date on which OEMEA succeeded it in interest, and, if so, the times periods during which each registration was effective.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 35:

In addition to its General Objections, which Oracle incorporates here by reference, Oracle objects to the use of the undefined terms "successor in interest," "entity," "registered," and "business" on the grounds that they are vague and ambiguous. Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks disclosure of information protected from discovery by any privilege, protection or immunity, including but not limited to attorney-client privilege and work product protection. Oracle objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks expert testimony or a legal conclusion. Oracle further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for 23

1

1	revenues regarding the same. Oracle further responds that the amount of damage to Oracle from	
2	this illegal conduct is properly subject to expert opinion, which shall be provided at the	
3	appropriate time.	
4	DATED: November 11, 2009	
5	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	
6		
7	By:	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle international Corp., Oracle EMEA Ltd., and Siebel Systems, Inc.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
27		
28		
II	206 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL) PLAINTIEES' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND ODJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS? EVETUSET OF	