EXHIBIT C

In The Matter Of:

Oracle v. SAP

DONALD REIFER - Vol. 1 June 18, 2010

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

135 Main Street 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: 415.357.4300 Fax: 415.357.4301

	3 10	Page 86	***************************************		Page 88
1:38:51			11:42:13		
1:38:57		\$*	11:42:17		
1:38:59			11:42:24		
1:39:01			11:42:29		
11:39:11			11:42:44	5	Q. And with respect to the PeopleSoft
			11:42:47	6	software, what did you do to analyze those?
11:39:20 11:39:23			11:42:47	7	A. We tried to do a count, and we just ran
The second secon				8	out of time. We didn't have time. So we didn't
11:39:26			11:42:53		
11:39:31		*	11:42:56	9	fully analyze them at all. We just superficially
11:39:35			11:43:01	10	looked at them.
.1:39:35			11:43:15		
11:39:39			11:43:19		
11:39:41			11:43:22		
1:39:43	621		11:43:24		
11:39:50	15	Q. At the bottom of page three you say you	11:43:26		
11:39:53	16	"analyzed the actual Oracle suites of products which	11:43:34		
11:39:56	17	Mr. Pinto examined when estimating software	11:43:40		
1:40:00	18	development costs." Do you see that?	11:43:46		
11:40:01	19	A. Yes, I do.	11:43:53		
1:40:02	20	 Q. And there are a number of JDEdwards and 	11:43:58		
1:40:05	21	PeopleSoft software versions underneath that;	11:44:06		e. si
1:40:08	22	correct?	11:44:12		
1:40:08	23	A. That is correct.	11:44:18		
1:40:09	24	Q. Did you examine each of those software	11:44:21		
1:40:12	25	products for your report?	11:44:23		
		Page 87			Page 89
11:40:14	1	A. I examined the EnterpriseOne product	11:44:26		
11:40:18	2	thoroughly and the other the PeopleSoft	11:44:31		
11:40:21	3	superficially, because we ran out of time.	11:44:35		
11:40:28		<i></i>	11:44:40		
11:40:31			11:44:43		
11:40:36			11:44:45		
11:40:42			11:44:48		
11:40:45			11:44:48		
11:40:49			11:44:50		
11:40:45			11:44:55		
11:40:59			11:44:58		
11:40:59			11:45:04		
11:41:02			11:45:07		
I			11:45:07		
11:41:12			11:45:10		
11:41:16		ÿ	11:45:12		
11:41:19			·		
11:41:29			11:45:20		
11:41:36			11:45:23		
11:41:38			11:45:27		
11:41:46			11:45:29		
11:41:51			11:45:31		
11:41:55			11:45:36		
11:41:58			11:45:39		
11:42:01		e.	11:45:43		
11:42:05			11:45:44		

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 98	-		Page 100
1:55:19	11:58:32		
AND THE STATE OF T	11:58:33		
Market to the state of the stat	11:58:34		
the state of the state of	11:58:35		
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11:58:50		
	11:58:51		
	11:58:55		
	11:58:58		
	11:58:59		
	11:59:00		
	11:59:04		
The state of the s	11:59:06		
Manager of Caricola (Austria) and	11:59:11		
	11:59:14		
E CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	11:59:17		
101 A 1	11:59:23		a.
	11:59:25		
	11:59:27		
	11:59:27		
	11:59:33		
	11:59:36		
	11:59:56		
	11:59:58		
Bank in Open (SCONTON) with	12:00:00		
	12:00:00		
1:57:06	12:00:01		
Page 99	uran areasana	×	Page 101
11:57:08	12:00:02		
1:57:10	12:00:05		
1:57:14	12:00:06		
11:57:16	12:00:08		
1:57:16	12:00:11		
11:57:19	12:00:12		
11:57:20	12:00:15		
1:57:23	12:00:17		
11:57:26	12:00:34		
11:57:27	12:00:38		•
11:57:32	12:00:40		
1:57:36	12:00:52		
1:57:37	12:00:56		*
11:57:39	12:01:00		
11:57:46	12:01:09	15	Q. How many times have you run it with COCOMO
1:57:48	12:01:10	16	II '97?
1:57:52	12:01:14	17	A. Hundreds.
	12:01:15	18	Q. And COCOMO II.2000?
1:57:57	12:01:21	19	A. Oh, '97, none. Sorry. My apologies. Let
11:57:57 11:58:02	12.01.21		
11:58:02	12:01:22	20	me correct that answer.
l1:58:02 l1:58:07	1	20 <mark>21</mark>	me correct that answer. 1997 I have never developed an estimate
L1:58:02 L1:58:07 L1:58:20	12:01:22	21	
11:58:02 11:58:07 11:58:20 11:58:22	12:01:22 12:01:24		1997 I have never developed an estimate for a client with 1997. Hundreds of times with
11:58:02 11:58:07 11:58:20 11:58:22 11:58:25	12:01:22 12:01:24 12:01:26	21	1997 I have never developed an estimate
11:58:02 11:58:07 11:58:20 11:58:22	12:01:22 12:01:24 12:01:26 12:01:31	21	1997 I have never developed an estimate for a client with 1997. Hundreds of times with

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Page 106			Page 108
12:07:11	-	12:09:48		
12:07:15		12:09:49		
12:07:19				
12:07:19		12:09:49 12:09:52		
12:07:27	•	12:09:54		
12:07:30		12:09:56		
12:07:32		12:09:58		
12:07:33		12:09:59		
12:07:34		12:10:02		
12:07:34		12:10:05		
12:07:35		12:10:07		3
1.2:07:43		12:10:11		
1.2:07:47		12:10:14		
1.2:07:50		12:10:18		,
12:07:54		12:10:20		
12:07:57		12:10:24		
12:08:02		12:10:28		
12:08:03		12:10:31		
12:08:11		12:10:36		
12:08:16		12:10:38		
12:08:18		12:10:40		v.
12:08:24		12:10:46		
12:08:27		12:10:52		
12:08:30		12:10:54		
12:08:32		12:10:56		
	Page 107	2		Page 109
12:08:35		12:11:00		
12:08:39		12:11:01		
12:08:42	5	12:11:03	3	You developed an estimate using
12:08:44		12:11:05	4	COCOMO II.2000 for certain software for the
12:08:47	0	12:11:10	5	government, and then you managed the project through
12:08:53		12:11:14	6	to deliver that software and against your
12:08:57		12:11:18	7	estimate.
12:09:00	é	12:11:19	8	A. That is correct.
12:09:05		12:11:19	9	Q. Okay. And tell me what you did to do
12:09:09	sit of	12:11:21	10	that.
12:09:10		12:11:22	11	A. Okay.
		12:11:23	12	MR. BUTLER: Before you do that, I don't
12:09:13		12:11:26	13	know whether you have any confidentiality
12:09:16		12:11:28	14	obligations, but I caution you to think about that
12:09:19				2
12:09:22	e	12:11:31	15	before disclosing information. I don't know what
12:09:25		12:11:34	16	agreements might bind you or not, but I urge you to
12:09:26	8	12:11:37	17	keep that in mind.
12:09:28		12:11:38	18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
12:09:30		12:11:43	19	Let's see what's a matter of public
12:09:31		12:11:45	20	record.
12:09:34		12:11:47	21	MR. ALINDER: Q. It's fine. I don't need
12:09:38		12:11:48	22	to know the details of it.
12:09:41		12:11:50	23	Is that the only time that you have used
12:09:41		12:11:54	24	COCOMO II.2000 to develop an estimate and then
12:09:44		12:11:58	25	managed the project to completion?

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

		Page 110	Page 112
12:12:01	1	A. (That is correct) Most of the other	12:14:50
12:12:02	2	estimates were used as estimating exercises to	12:14:52
12:12:06	3	develop estimates for clients, estimates	12:14:54
12:12:10	4	independent estimates which were used to look at the	12:14:58
12:12:14	5	reasonableness of the client's offer, or the	12:15:06
12:12:17	6	reasonableness of the operational organization, in	12:15:07
12:12:21	7	some cases their ability to deliver estimates, to	12:15:13
12:12:27	8	look at competitiveness.	12:15:16
12:12:29	9	But in terms of "in charge of the delivery	12:15:18
12:12:33	10	of the product," these are small R&D projects that	12:15:20
12:12:37	11	are less than 10 people that I have managed the	12:15:25
12:12:41	12	delivery of.	12:15:26
12:12:41		delivery of.	12:15:28
12:12:46			12:15:31
12:12:48			12:15:34
12:12:49			12:15:39
12:12:55			12:15:41
12:12:59			12:15:42
12:12:07			12:15:44
12:13:11			12:15:46
12:13:13			12:15:51
12:13:16			12:15:55
12:13:17			12:15:58
12:13:19		Α	12:16:03
12:13:20			12:16:03
		Page 111	Page 113
12:13:22		i age 111	12:16:05
1			12:16:06
12:13:25 12:13:28			12:16:07
12:13:28			12:16:07
1			12:16:10
12:13:30		,	12:16:12
12:13:30			12:16:14
12:13:34 12:13:36			12:16:16
12:13:36			12:16:18
12:13:39			12:16:20
12:13:41			12:16:25
12:13:47			12:16:29
12:13:49			12:16:30
12:13:57			12:16:33
12:13:37			12:16:36
12:14:05			12:16:43
12:14:03			12:16:45
12:14:11			12:17:05
12:14:16			12:17:12
12:14:27			12:17:15
12:14:27			12:17:20
12:14:39			12:17:22
12:14:39			12:17:24
12:14:42			12:17:27
12:14:48			12:17:29

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

		Page 174	Daw	= 176
14 57 00		rage 1/4		= 1/0
14:57:00			15:00:04	
14:57:03			15:00:05	
14:57:04			15:00:07	
14:57:09			15:00:11	
14:57:12			15:00:25	
14:57:19			15:00:31	
14:57:24			15:00:34	
14:57:28			15:00:36	
14:57:31			15:00:39	
14:57:34			15:00:42	
14:57:35			15:00:45	
14:57:36			15:00:47	
14:57:36	13	Q. You believe that there was code provided	15:00:50	
14:57:38	14	in an appendix with the Pinto report?	15:00:55	
14:57:41	15	A. Yes, we did, at first.	15:00:59	
14:57:44	16	And then at first we did, and we	15:01:05	
14:57:49	17	searched for it. And what we found was access	15:01:09	
14:57:52	18	database containing spreadsheets pointing to text	15:01:12	
14:57:59	19	and five routines. And at that time we thought	15:01:14	
14:58:03	20	those five routines were Oracle routines.	15:01:23	
14:58:09	21	We were under the impression that we would	15:01:26	
14:58:12	22	be provided all the code, and all we would have to	15:01:29	
14:58:15	23	do was run our counters to verify his counts.	15:01:31	
14:58:19	24	Common practice in our in doing an independent	15:01:35	
14:58:25	25	my job was not to come up with an estimate. My job	15:01:40	
		Page 175	Page	e 177
14:58:29	1	was to verify Mr. Pinto's estimates.	15:01:43	
14:58:32	2	When I do that as common practice, it	15:01:49	
14:58:35	3	typically takes a week to two weeks to do that job,	15:01:52	
14:58:39	4	look at the estimates and look at its realism,	15:01:56	
14:58:43	5	accuracy, currency.	15:02:01	
14:58:48	6	In this case we had barriers in our way	15:02:04	
14:58:56	7	that made it almost impossible to get it done within	15:02:08	
14:59:00	8	six weeks. You know, we were told we had all the	15:02:11	
14:59:04	9	source code. We were not supplied source code in	15:02:13	
14:59:09	10	ReadMe files. We were not told where the source	15:02:15	
14:59:12	11		15:02:21	
14:59:15	12	from India, where the source code was extracted and	15:02:25	
14:59:19	13	manipulated, as we understand from Mr. Pinto's	15:02:27	
14:59:19	14	deposition testimony, and then destroyed. We didn't	15:02:27	
14:59:25	15	know that. We thought it would be a simple job.	15:02:20	
14:59:25	16	There was very little code in that Pinto CD. But	.5:02:30 .5:02:38	
14:59:32	17	what was there was five routines, so we used those	.5:02:38 .5:02:39	
14:59:36	18	as we attempted to unravel the product CDs.	15:02:39 15:02:42	
14:59:42	Τ0	as we attempted to unraver the product CDs.	15:02:42 15:02:44	
The second of the second			CONTROL FOR THE SECOND FOR THE SECOND	
14:59:50			5:02:47	
14:59:54			5:02:54	
14:59:56			5:02:57	
14:59:58			5:03:00	
15:00:00			5:03:02	
15:00:01		- <u>- </u>	5:03:04	

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, SARAH LUCIA BRANN, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that the witness
in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to
tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
truth in the within-entitled cause;
m1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

That said deposition was taken in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my direction and supervision;

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of this cause, and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED: June 25, 2010

Garal huas Brann

SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR No. 3887