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|  |  | Page 962 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION |  |  |
| 19:09:54 | 8 | Q. But you used an intercept for SAP, which |
| 19:09:56 | 9 | was my question. |
| 19:09:57 | 10 | Why did you use the SAP intercept? That |
| 19:10:00 | 11 | includes billions of dollars as well. Right? |
| 19:10:02 | 12 | MR. McDONELL: Asked and answered. Object |
| 19:10:02 | 13 | to the form of the question. |
| 19:10:05 | 14 | THE WITNESS: The intercept that is in the |
| 19:10:08 | 15 | SAP equation, the A, if you recall, was connected |
| 19:10:12 | 16 | to a log function. It's not separable. |
| 19:10:17 | 17 | And the way that works is, you have this |
| 19:10:21 | 18 | curvilinear line, because we have -- we don't have |
| 19:10:24 | 19 | a linear equation, and the -- although there's an |
| 19:10:30 | 20 | intercept embodied in the calculation, that |
| 19:10:34 | 21 | intercept has no meaning. There is no use in my |
| 19:10:39 | 22 | analysis of an intercept value independent of its |
| 19:10:45 | 23 | role in that log function. |
| TEXT REMOVED - NOT RELEVANT TO MOTION |  |  |
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|  |  | Page 967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:14:52 | 1 | MR. PICKET' : Let's go back on the record. |
| 19:14:54 | 2 | MR. MCDONELL: 30 seconds. |
| 19:14:55 | 3 | MR. PICKETT: Q. Last question: Would |
| 19:14:56 | 4 | Oracle's fixed costs change if there were a 2 |
| 19:14:58 | 5 | percent change in the number of support customers? |
| 19:15:01 | 6 | A. I think that's unlikely. |
| 19:15:02 | 7 | MR. PICKETT: Thank you. We're done. |
| 19:15:05 | 8 | THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Very good. Going off |
| 19:15:06 | 9 | the record, the time now is 7:15. This also will |
| 19:15:09 | 10 | be the conclusion of Tape 5, Volume 3, in the |
| 19:15:12 | 11 | deposition of Stephen Clarke. |
| 19:15:14 | 12 | (Time noted, 7:15 p.m.) |
| 19:15:14 | 13 | --000-- |
| 19:15:14 | 14 | I declare under penalty of perjury that |
| 19:15:14 | 15 | the foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at |
| 19:15:14 | 16 | [ California, this _ day of |
| 19:15:14 | 17 |  |
| 19:15:14 | 18 |  |
| 19:15:14 | 19 |  |
| 19:15:14 | 20 | Stephen K. Clarke |
|  | 21 |  |
|  | 22 |  |
|  | 23 |  |
|  | 24 |  |
|  | 25 |  |
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