2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882) JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com jmcdonell@jonesday.com ewallace@jonesday.com Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784) Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776) JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939 Facsimile: (650) 739-3939 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900 tglanier@jonesday.com jfroyd@jonesday.com Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) JONES DAY 717 Texas, Suite 3300 Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939 Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	OAKLAND DIVISION	
22	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
23	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES TO
24	v.	ORACLE'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' DEPOSITION
25	SAP AG, et al.,	DESIGNATIONS
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
		DEFS.' RESPONSES TO ORACLE'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFS.' DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

1	Pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Order (Dkt. 914), attached as Exhibit "A" is a chart of	
2	Defendants' Responses to Oracle's Objections to Defendants' Deposition Designations (Dkt. 943).	
3	Oracle has requested that Defendants avoid the public filing of the testimony to which Oracle is	
4	objecting. Therefore, Defendants are publicly filing the attached chart with only citations to the	
5	disputed deposition designations and is separately lodging the proposed testimony. Moreover,	
6	Defendants are submitting true and correct copies of three potential trial exhibits in support of	
7	Defendants' responses, and, at Oracle's request, these three exhibits are also being lodged with	
8	the Court and not publicly filed at this time. If the Court sustains any of Oracle's objections,	
9	Oracle has agreed to subsequently file the testimony and any excluded evidence to preserve the	
10	appellate record.	
11	Defendants have not changed or altered any of the objections contained in Oracle's	
12	Objections and have inserted their Responses in the adjacent column. Defendants make no	
13	representations regarding the cited testimony or any emphasis added by Oracle in its objections.	
14	The parties have agreed that neither party's objections to the other party's deposition	
15	designations include objections on the basis of hearsay founded on the assertion that the deponent	
16	is actually available. Rather, the parties' hearsay objections are based on the specific portions of	
17	the deposition testimony noted in the objections.	
18		
19	Dated: October 27, 2010 JONES DAY	
20		
21	By: <u>/s/ Scott W. Cowan</u>	
22	Scott W. Cowan	
23	Counsel for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROW/INC	
24	TOMORROWNOW, INC.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	DEFS.' RESPONSES TO ORACLE'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFS.' DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)	