1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332)
2	United States Attorney
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	SUSAN B. GRAY (CSBN 100374)
5	Assistant United States Attorney
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7	Telephone: 415.436.7324 Facsimile: 415.436.7234
8	Attorneys for the United States of America
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	A DAMED OF A MEDICA
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. C 06-7421 EDL
14	Plaintiff,) v. PROPOSED ORDER RE: DEFAULT
15) JUDGEMENT APPROXIMATELY \$43,029 AND)
16	APPROXIMATELY \$9,641 IN UNITED) STATES CURRENCY,)
17	Defendants.
18)
19	I. Background
20	On December 4, 2006, the United States filed this action to obtain the forfeiture of
21	defendant \$43,029 in United States Currency and of defendant \$9,641 in United States Currency
22	(hereinafter "defendant funds") pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) on the basis that defendant
23	funds were furnished or intended to be furnished in exchange for a controlled substance or listed
24	chemical in violation of Supchapter I, Chapter 13 of Title 21 of the United States Code, or was
25	proceeds traceable to such an exchange
26	On July 3, 2008, this Court granted the United States' Motion to Strike the untimely
27	claim and answer of Hector Vasquez ("Vasquez"), the only claimant to the defendant funds,
28	finding that Vasquez had failed to file a timely claim and answer and had failed to show "good

cause" for his failure to do so. Thereafter, on August 15, 2008, the United States requested a Clerk's Entry of Default, which was granted on August 21, 2008. On October 10, 2008, the United States filed a Motion for Default Judgement. On October 28, 2008, Vasquez filed his opposition to the United States' Motion for Default Judgement.

II. Motion for Default Judgment

This Court has the authority to either set aside the Entry of Default "for good cause", Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(c), or set aside a Default Judgment for various reasons, including "mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect", Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1). Since no Default Judgment has been entered, Rule 60 does not apply. Although Vasquez's latest filing is styled an Opposition to the Motion for Default, at this juncture the procedural posture of the case is such that his papers are considered a request to set aside the entry of default under Rule 55(c). Since under Rule 55, the Entry of Default can be set aside only upon a showing of good cause, the issue before the Court is whether Vasquez has shown good cause for his failure to file a timely claim and answer. However, the Court has already considered this question in the context of the United States' Motion to Strike and found that Vasquez has not shown good cause for his failure to file a timely claim and answer.

III. Argument

Vasquez's argues that he has discovered new evidence and thus, this Court should not grant the Motion for Default Judgment (or under Rule 55 it should allow him to set aside the Entry of Default.) However, the newly discovered evidence consists of documents and reports that, by his own admission, have been in the custody of his state criminal defense lawyer for the last 2 years. He offers no explanation for his failure to ask for these records at any time in the

¹ If Vasquez were to prevail in his Opposition to the Motion for Default Judgment, he would still be faced with the Entry of Default filed August 21, 2008. Although some courts disagree whether the "good cause" required for setting aside entry of default under Rule 55 (c) differs from the "excusable neglect" required to set aside a default judgement under Rule 60(b), the Ninth Circuit treats both grounds the same. TCI Goup Life Ins. Plan v. Knoebber (9th Cir. 2001) 244 F.3d 691, 696; Franchise Holding II, LLC v. Huntington Restaurants Group, Inc. (9th Cir. 2004) 375 F.3d 922, 926.

3

C 06-7421 EDL

FURTHER ORDERED that the United States Marshals Service shall, in accordance with law, dispose of the forfeited defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:__December 1, 2008



Default Judgment C 06-7421 EDL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee in the Office of the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be
competent to serve papers. The undersigned further certifies that she caused a copy of

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFAULT JUDGEMENT

to be served this date via first class mail delivery upon the person(s) below at the place(s) and address(es) which is the last known address(es):

Hector Vasquez, F-29468 California Training Facility - South P.O. Box 690 Soledad, CA 93960-0690

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 25th day of November, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

/S/
CAROLYN JUSAY
Legal Assistant
Asset Forfeiture Unit

Default Judgment C 06-7421 EDL