28

1	TEAL MONTGOMERY & HENDERSON STEVEN O. TEAL (Bar No. 58454)	
2	MICHAEL S. HENDERSON (Bar No. 175608) 815 Fifth Street, Suite 200	
3	Santa Rosa, California 95404 Telephone: (707) 525-1212	
4	Facsimile: (707) 544-1388	
5	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PAUL MAZUR,	Case No. C 07-1904 JSW
12	Plaintiff,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
13	VS.	PURSUANT TO RULE 79-5 FOR SEALING ORDER
14	PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP	
15	COMPREHENSIVE DISABILITY	
16	BENEFITS PLAN, AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1, and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21	On October 22, 2008, this court signed a stipulated protective order. Pursuant to the	
22	terms of this order, certain documents were produced by defendants in response to plaintiff's	
23	request for discovery.	
24	Under the terms of the stipulated protective order, plaintiff is required to comply with	
25	Rule 79-5 and lodge with this court those documents produced by defendant under the protective	
26	order, as well as any pleadings which contain the information set forth in such documents.	
27		

Accordingly, plaintiff has lodged with the court the following: 1 1. Both a redacted and un-redacted version of his Reply in Support of 2 Amended Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment, which contains 3 references to certain confidential information and documentation produced 4 by defendants. 5 Pursuant to Rule 79-5(d), defendants have the burden to file with the court a declaration 6 establishing that this designated information is sealable, and must lodge and serve a narrowly 7 tailored proposed sealing order. 8 9 **TEAL MONTGOMERY & HENDERSON** DATED: March 6, 2009 10 11 By: 12 MICHAEL S. HENDERSON Attorney for Plaintiff 13 14 15 16 Plaintiff's motion to seal is HEREBY DENIED. Plaintiff shall file publicly a non-redacted version of his reply brief by no later than March 25, 2009. 17 18 19 20 IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: March 23, 2009 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28